

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 1

A. TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sheet No.

| В. | Арр | blicability9 |
|----|-----|--|
| | 1. | Applicability9 |
| | 2. | Definitions |
| | 3. | Applicable Codes and Standards10 |
| | 4. | Interaction with Other Tariffs for Storage Charging Load Treatment |
| C. | Def | initions10 |
| D. | Ge | neral, Rules, Rights and Obligations24 |
| | 1. | Authorization Required to Operate24 |
| | 2. | Separate Agreements Required for Other Services |
| | 3. | Services Under This Tariff Limited to Interconnection |
| | 4. | Compliance with Laws, Rules, and Tariffs24 |
| | 5. | Design Reviews and Inspections25 |
| | 6. | Right to Access |
| | 7. | Confidentiality |
| | | a. Scope25 |
| | | b. Limitations on Scope |
| | | c. Disclosure to Commission, FERC or their respective Staff |
| | | d. Required Disclosure |
| | 8. | Prudent Operation and Maintenance Required28 |
| | 9. | Curtailment and Disconnection28 |
| | 10. | Local Furnishing Bonds |
| | 11. | Coordination with Affected Systems29 |
| | 12. | Transferability of Interconnection Request29 |
| | 13. | Special Provisions Applicable to Certain Net Energy Metered Applicants |
| | 14. | Special Provisions Applicable to Non-Export Energy Storage Generating Facilities |

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3493-EDecision16-06-0521C13

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledOct 21, 2016EffectiveMar 30, 2017Resolution

(N)



| | | Rule 21 Sh GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | eet 2 | | |
|----|---|--|-------|-----|--|
| | | (Continued) | | | |
| Α. | TA | BLE OF CONTENTS (Continued) | | | |
| D. | Ge | neral, Rules, Rights and Obligations (Continued) | | | |
| | 15. | 32 | (T) | | |
| | 16. | Modification of Timelines | 32 | (T) | |
| E. | Inte | erconnection Request Submission Process | 32 | | |
| | 1. | Optional Standard and Enhanced Pre-Application Report | 32 | | |
| | | a. Standard Pre-Application Report | 32 | | |
| | | b. Enhanced Pre-Application Report | 33 | | |
| | | c. Limitation and Scope of Optional Standard and Enhanced Pre-Application Report | 36 | | |
| | 2. | Interconnection Request Process | 37 | | |
| | | a. Applicant Initiates Contact with Distribution Provider | 37 | | |
| | | b. Applicant Selects a Study Process | 37 | | |
| | c. Applicant Completes an Interconnection Request | | | | |
| | | d. Site Exclusivity | 41 | | |
| | 3. | Interconnection Request Fee and Study Deposit | 41 | | |
| | | a. Detailed Study Deposit | 41 | | |
| | 4. | Interconnection Cost Responsibility | 45 | | |
| | | a. Costs of Interconnection and Parallel Operation | 45 | | |
| | | b. Methodology and Timing of Cost Identification | 45 | | |
| | | c. Timing of Cost Identification | 46 | | |
| | | d. Producer Costs During Parallel Operation | 46 | | |
| | | e. Cost Allocation | 47 | | |
| | | f. Summary Tables | 48 | | |
| | 5. | Interconnection Request Validation and Assignment of Queue Position | 49 | | |
| | | a. Acknowledgement of Interconnection Request | 49 | | |
| | | b. Deficiencies in Interconnection Request | 49 | | |
| | | c. Assignment of Queue Position | 50 | | |
| | | d. Publication of the Interconnection Queue | 51 | | |
| | | | | | |

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3493-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledOct 21, 2016EffectiveMar 30, 2017Resolution



Rule 21 Sheet 3 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued) Α. F. (T) d. Optional Supplemental Review Results Meeting60 3. 4. 1 d. Third Posting of Interconnection Financial Security90 (T) e. General Effect of Withdrawal of Interconnection Request or Termination of the Generator (T) f. General Effect of Withdrawal of Interconnection Request or Termination of the Generator Interconnection Agreement on Interconnection Financial Security for Interconnection (T)

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 3C11

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



| | | | Rule 21 Sheet <u>GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS</u> | 4 |
|-----|-----------|------|---|----------|
| | | | (Continued) | |
| Α. | <u>TA</u> | BLE | OF CONTENTS (Continued) | |
| F. | Re | view | Process For Interconnection Requests (Continued) | |
| | 5. | Co | mmissioning Testing and Parallel Operation | 97 |
| | | a. | Commissioning Testing | 97 |
| | | b. | Parallel Operation or Momentary Parallel Operation | 98 |
| | 6. | Wi | thdrawal | 98 |
| | 7. | Co | st Envelope Option | 99 (N |
| | | a. | Eligibility for Cost Envelope Option | 99 |
| | | b. | Cost Responsibility for Facilities Subject to Cost Envelope | 100 |
| | | С | Timing of Applicant's Selection of Cost Envelope and Payment of Deposit | 101 |
| | | d. | Distribution Provider's Preparation of the Cost Envelope Estimate | 102 |
| | | e. | Cost Elements Not Subject to Cost Envelope | 102 |
| | | f. | Modifications | 103 |
| | | g. | Tender of the Generator Interconnection Agreement Under the Cost Envelope Option | n104 (N |
| G. | En | gine | ering Review Details | 105 (T) |
| | 1. | Init | ial Review Screens | 107 |
| | | a. | Screen A: Is the PCC on a Networked Secondary System? | 107 |
| | | b. | Screen B: Is Certified Equipment used? | 108 |
| | | c. | Screen C: Is the Starting Voltage Drop within acceptable limits? | 108 |
| | | d. | Screen D: Is the transformer or secondary conductor rating exceeded? | 109 |
| | | e. | Screen E: Does the Single-Phase Generator cause unacceptable imbalance? | 110 |
| | | f. | Screen F: Is the Short Circuit Current Contribution Ratio within acceptable limits? | 110 |
| | | g. | Screen G: Is the Short Circuit Interrupting Capability Exceeded? | 111 |
| | | h. | Screen H: Is the line configuration compatible with the Interconnection type? | 112 |
| | | i. | Screen I: Will power be exported across the PCC? | 113 |
| | | j. | Screen J: Is the Gross Rating of the Generating Facility 11 kVA or less? | 114 (T) |
| | | k. | Screen K: Is the Generating Facility a Net Energy Metering (NEM) Generating Facili | ty |
| | | | with nameplate capacity less than or equal to 500kW? | 115 (T) |
| | | I. | Screen L: Transmission Dependency and Transmission Dynamic/Stability Test | 115 (T) |
| | | | | (L) |
| | | | | |
| | | | (Continued) | |
| (To | be | inse | erted by utility) Issued by (To be inserted by C | al. PUC) |

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3458-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> 4C14

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



| | | Rule 21 Sheet 5 <u>GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS</u> | |
|----|-----|---|-------|
| | | (Continued) | |
| ۹. | TA | BLE OF CONTENTS (Continued) | |
| G. | Eng | gineering Review Details (Continued) | |
| | | m. Screen M: Is the aggregate Generating Facility capacity on the Line Section less than | (L) |
| | | 15% of Line Section peak load for all line sections bounded by automatic sectionalizing | I |
| | | devices? | (T)(L |
| | 2. | Supplemental Review Screens 116 | Ι |
| | | a. Screen N: Penetration Test 117 | I |
| | | b. Screen O: Power Quality and Voltage Tests 118 | I |
| | | c. Screen P: Safety and Reliability Tests 119 | Ι |
| | 3. | Detailed Study Screens 120 | (T) |
| | | a. Screen Q: Is the Interconnection Request electrically Independent of the Transmission | |
| | | System? | (T) |
| | | b. Screen R: Is the Interconnection Request independent of other earlier-queued and yet to be | |
| | | studied interconnection requests interconnecting to the Distribution System? | (T) |
| | | c. Independent Study Process and Distribution Group Study Process Interconnection Studies | |
| | | | (T) |
| ١. | Ge | nerating Facility Design and Operating Requirements | Ι |
| | 1. | General Interconnection and Protective Function Requirements | Ι |
| | | a. Protective Functions Required 126 | Ι |
| | | b. Momentary Paralleling Generating Facilities 127 | Ι |
| | | c. Suitable Equipment Required 128 | Ι |
| | | d. Visible Disconnect Required 128 | Ι |
| | | e. Drawings Required | Ι |
| | | f. Generating Facility Conditions Not Identified 130 | Ι |
| | 2. | Prevention of Interference 130 | Ι |
| | | a. Voltage Regulation | Ι |
| | | b. Voltage Trip Setting | Ι |
| | | c. Paralleling | Ι |
| | | d. Flicker | Ι |
| | | e. Integration with Distribution Provider's Distribution System Grounding | (T) |

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 5C12 Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



| Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 6 |
|---|----------------|
| (Continued) | |
| A. <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u> (Continued) | |
| | |
| H. Generating Facility Design and Operating Requirements (Continued) | |
| f. Frequency | 134 (T) |
| g. Harmonics | 135 |
| h. Direct Current Injection | 136 |
| i. Power Factor | 136 |
| 3. Technology Specific Requirements | 136 |
| a. Technology Specific Requirements | 136 |
| b. Induction Generators | 137 |
| c. Inverters | 137 |
| 4. Supplemental Generating Facility Requirements | 137 |
| a. Fault Detection | 137 |
| b. Transfer Trip | 138 |
| c. Reclose Blocking | 138 |
| Hh. Smart Inverter Generating Facility Design and Operating Requirements | 139 |
| 1. General Interconnection and Protective Function Requirements | 139 |
| a. Protective Functions Required | 139 |
| b. Momentary Paralleling Generating Facilities | 140 |
| c. Suitable Equipment Required | 140 |
| d. Visible Disconnect Required | 141 |
| e. Drawings Required | 141 |
| f. Generating Facility Conditions Not identified | 142 |
| 2. Prevention of Interference | 142 |
| a. Voltage Regulation | 142 |
| b. Voltage Trip and Ride Through Setting | 142 |
| c. Paralleling | 144 |
| d. Flicker | 145 |
| e. Integration with Distribution Provider's Distribution System Grounding | |
| f. Frequency | |
| g. Harmonics | <u>147</u> (Ť) |
| | |

(Continued)

| (To be inserted by utility) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Advice | 3458-E | | | | |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | | | | |
| 6C10 | | | | | |

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



| | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 7 |
|----|---|--------------|
| | (Continued) | |
| Α. | . <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u> (Continued) | |
| Hh | Ih. Smart Inverter Generating Facility Design and Operating Requirements (Con | itinued) |
| | 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued) | |
| | h. Direct Current Injection | |
| | i. Power Factor | |
| | j. Dynamic Volt/VAr Operations | |
| | k. Ramp Rate Requirements | 150 (T) |
| | I. Recommended Frequency-Watt Settings | 150 (N) |
| | m. Voltage-Watt Default Settings | . , |
| | n. Default Activation States for Phase 1 Functions | 151 |
| | 3. Technology Specific Requirements | (T) |
| | 4. Supplemental Smart Inverter Requirements | 152 |
| | a. Fault Detection | |
| | b. Transfer Trip | 153 |
| | c. Reclose Blocking | 153 |
| | 5. Communication Requirements | 153 |
| ١. | | |
| | 1. Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades | • |
| | 2. Third-Party Installations | |
| | 3. Reservation of Unused Facilities | |
| | 4. Refund of Salvage Value | |
| J. | | |
| | 1. General Requirements | |
| | 2. Metering by Non-Distribution Provider Parties | |
| | 3. Net Generation Output Metering | |
| | 4. Point of Common Coupling (PCC) Metering | 158 |
| | 5. Telemetering | 158 |
| | 6. Location | 158 |
| | 7. Costs of Metering | 159 |
| | 8. Multiple Tariff Metering | 159 |
| K. | Dispute Resolution Process | |
| | 1. Scope | |
| | 2. Procedures | |
| | 3. Performance During Dispute | 161 (T) |

(Continued)

| (To be inserted by utility) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Advice | 3623-E | | | | |
| Decision | 14-12-035 | | | | |
| 7C9 | | | | | |

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Date Filed Jun 27, 2017 Effective Jul 27, 2017 Resolution



| | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 8 |
|-------|--|--------------|
| | (Continued) | |
| Α. | TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued) | |
| L. | Certification and Testing Criteria | 161 |
| | 1. Introduction | 162 |
| | 2. Certified and Non-Certified Interconnection Equipment | 162 |
| | a. Certified Equipment | 162 |
| | b. Non-Certified Equipment | 164 |
| | 3. Type Testing | 164 |
| | a. Type Tests and Criteria for Interconnection Equipment Certification | 164 |
| | b. Anti-Islanding Test | 166 |
| | c. Non-Export Test | 166 |
| | d. In-rush Current Test | 167 |
| | e. Surge Withstand Capability Test | 167 |
| | f. Synchronization Test | 168 |
| | g. Paralleling Device Withstand Test | 169 |
| | 4. Production Testing | 169 |
| | 5. Commissioning Testing | 169 |
| | a. Commissioning Testing | 169 |
| | b. Review, Study, and Additional Commissioning Test Verification Costs | 170 |
| | c. Other Checks and Tests | 170 |
| | d. Certified Equipment | 171 |
| | e. Non-Certified Equipment | |
| | f. Verification of Settings | |
| | g. Trip Tests | |
| | h. In-service Tests | 173 |
| | 6. Periodic Testing | 173 |
| | 7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards | |
| | a. Non-Exporting Test Procedures | |
| | b. In-rush Current Test Procedures | |
| M. | Inadvertent Export | |
| | n. Inadvertent Export Utilizing UL-1741 or UL-1741 SA-Listed Grid Support (No Inverters | n-Islanding) |
| N. | Expedited Interconnection Process for Non-Export Energy Storage Generating Facilitie | |
| | pendix A | |
| • • • | pendix B | |

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3623-E Decision 14-12-035 8C8 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 9

(Continued)

- B. <u>APPLICABILITY</u>
 - 1. Applicability

This Rule describes the Interconnection, operating and Metering requirements for those Generating Facilities to be connected to Distribution Provider's Distribution System and Transmission System over which the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) has jurisdiction. All Generating Facilities seeking Interconnection with Distribution Provider's Transmission System shall apply to the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) for Interconnection and be subject to CAISO Tariff except for 1) Net Energy Metering Generating Facilities and 2) Generating Facilities that do not export to the grid or sell any exports sent to the grid (Non-Export Generating Facilities). NEM Generating Facilities and Non-Export Generating Facilities subject to Commission jurisdiction shall interconnect under this Rule regardless of whether they interconnect to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Subject to the requirements of this Rule, Distribution Provider will allow the Interconnection of Generating Facilities with its Distribution or Transmission System.

Generating Facility interconnections to Distribution Provider's Distribution System that are subject to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) jurisdiction shall apply under Distribution Provider's WDAT whether they interconnect to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System.

2. Definitions

Capitalized terms used in this Rule, and not defined in Distribution Provider's other tariffs shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in Section C of this Rule. The definitions set forth in Section C of this Rule shall only apply to this Rule, the Interconnection Request, study agreements and Generator Interconnection Agreements, and may not apply to Distribution Provider's other tariffs.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3050-E</u> Decision <u>14-04-003</u> 9013

Issued by Megan Scott-Kakures Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJun 9, 2014EffectiveJul 9, 2014Resolution

(T) (T)



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 10

(Continued)

- B. <u>APPLICABILITY</u> (Continued)
 - 3. Applicable Codes and Standards

This Rule has been harmonized with the requirements of American National Standards Institute/Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (ANSI/IEEE) 1547-2003 Standards for Interconnecting Distributed Resources with Electric Power Systems. In some sections, IEEE 1547 language has been adopted directly, in others, IEEE 1547 requirements were interpreted and this Rule's language was changed to maintain the spirit of both documents.

UL1741 - Supplement SA has been utilized for certification of Smart Inverters (Phase 1 Smart (N) Inverter Functions) as outlined in Section Hh of this Rule. (N)

The language from IEEE 1547 that has been adopted directly (as opposed to paraphrased language or previous language that was determined to be consistent with IEEE 1547) is followed by a citation that lists the Clause from which the language derived. For example, IEEE 1547-4.1.1 is a reference to Clause 4.1.1.

In the event of any conflict between this Rule, any of the standards listed herein, or any other applicable standards or codes, the requirements of this Rule shall take precedence.

4. Interaction with Other Tariffs for Storage Charging Load Treatment

For retail customers interconnecting energy storage devices pursuant to this Rule, the load aspects of the storage devices will be treated pursuant to Rules 2, 3, 15, and 16 just like other load, using the incremental net load for non-residential customers, if any, of the storage devices.

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

The definitions in this Section C are applicable only to this Rule, the Interconnection Request, Study Agreements and Generator Interconnection Agreements.

Added Facilities: As Defined in Distribution Provider's Rule 2.

Affected System: An electric system other than Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System that may be affected by the proposed Interconnection.

Affected System Operator: The entity that operates an Affected System.

Affiliate: With respect to a corporation, partnership or other entity, each such other corporation, partnership or other entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, such corporation, partnership or other entity.

(L)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3623-E Decision 14-12-035 (Continued)

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Sheet 11

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Allocated Capacity: Existing aggregate generation capacity in megawatts (MW) interconnected (L) to a substation/area bus, bank or circuit (i.e., amount of generation online).

Anti-Islanding: A control scheme installed as part of the Generating or Interconnection Facility that senses and prevents the formation of an Unintended Island. (L)

Applicant: The entity submitting an Interconnection Request pursuant to this Rule.

Application: See Interconnection Request.

Available Capacity: Total Capacity less the sum of Allocated Capacity and Queued Capacity.

Base Case: Data including, but not limited to, base power flow, short circuit and dynamic/stability data bases, underlying load, generation, and transmission facility assumptions, contingency lists, including relevant special protection systems, and transmission diagrams used to perform the Interconnection Studies. The Base Case may include Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (as that term is defined by FERC). The Base Case shall include (a) transmission facilities as approved by Distribution Provider or CAISO, as applicable, (b) planned Distribution Upgrades that may have an impact on the Interconnection Request, (c) Distribution Upgrades and Network Upgrades associated with generating facilities in (iv) below, and (d) generating facilities that (i) are directly interconnected to the Distribution System or CAISO Controlled Grid; (ii) have a pending request to interconnect to the Distribution System or an Affected System; or (iv) are not interconnected to the Distribution System or CAISO Controlled Grid, but are subject to a fully executed Generator Interconnection Agreement (or its equivalent predecessor agreement) or for which an unexecuted Generator Interconnection Agreement (or its equivalent predecessor agreement) has been requested to be filed with FERC.

Business Day: Monday through Friday, excluding Federal and State Holidays.

CAISO Controlled Grid: The system of transmission lines and associated facilities that have been placed under the CAISO's Operational Control.

CAISO Tariff: The California Independent System Operator FERC Electric Tariff.

Calendar Day: Any day including Saturday, Sunday or a Federal and State Holiday.

Certification Test: A test pursuant to this Rule that verifies conformance of certain equipment with Commission-approved performance standards in order to be classified as Certified Equipment. Certification Tests are performed by Nationally Recognized Test Laboratories (NRTLs).

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3623-E</u> Decision <u>14-12-035</u>

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 12

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Certification; Certified; Certificate: The documented results of a successful Certification Testing.

Certified Equipment: Equipment that has passed all required Certification Tests.

Commercial Operation: The status of a Generating Facility that has commenced generating electricity, excluding electricity generated during the period which Producer is engaged in onsite test operations and commissioning of the Generating Facility prior to Commercial Operation.

Commercial Operation Date: The date on which a Generator at a Generating Facility commences Commercial Operation as agreed to by the Parties.

Commission: The Public Utilities Commission of the State of California.

Commissioning Test: A test performed during the commissioning of all or part of a Generating Facility to achieve one or more of the following:

Verify specific aspects of its performance;

Calibrate its instrumentation;

Establish instrument or Protective Function set-points.

Confidential Information: See Section D.7.

Conservation Voltage Regulation (CVR): The CVR program that the Commission directed Distribution Provider to implement as applicable to the operation and design of distribution circuits and related service voltages.

Construction Activities: Actions by Distribution Provider that result in irrevocable financial commitments for the purchase of major electrical equipment or land for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Upgrades, or Network Upgrades assigned to the Interconnection Customer that occur after receipt of all appropriate governmental approvals needed for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Facilities, Distribution Facilities, Distribution Facilities, Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Upgrades, or Network Upgrades.

Continuous Operation: The Smart Inverter operates indefinitely without tripping. Any functions that protect the Smart Inverter from damage may operate as needed.

Control Area: As defined in the CAISO Tariff.

(L)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 (Continued)

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 Sheet 13 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) **DEFINITIONS** (Continued) C. (L) Cost Envelope: A cost-certainty framework defined as plus or minus twenty-five (25) percent of (N) the estimated cost of certain Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades identified in the Cost Envelope Estimate that is offered to an Applicant based on actual costs within such twenty-five (25) percent envelope. Applicant's cost responsibility for Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades subject to the Cost Envelope is set forth in Section F.7.b. Cost Envelope Option: A five-year pilot option described in Section F.7 applicable to Interconnection Requests for Generating Facilities that are processed under the Fast Track Process or Independent Study Process. Cost Envelope Estimate: An estimate prepared by the Distribution Provider and delivered to Applicant pursuant to the Cost Envelope Option that contains (i) the estimated cost of Distribution Provider's required Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades that are offered to Applicant that are subject to the Cost Envelope, and (ii) the estimated costs of related activities and facilities that are excluded from the Cost Envelope and offered on an actual cost basis, both pursuant to Section F.7. (N) The entity that receives or is entitled to receive Distribution Service through Customer: Distribution Provider's Distribution System or is a retail Customer of Distribution Provider connected to the Transmission System. Dedicated Transformer; Dedicated Distribution Transformer: A transformer that provides electricity service to a single Customer. The Customer may or may not have a Generating Facility. **Delivery Network Upgrades:** The transmission facilities at or beyond the point where Distribution Provider's Distribution System interconnects to the CAISO Controlled Grid, other than Reliability Network Upgrades, as defined in the CAISO Tariff. Detailed Study: An Independent Study, a Distribution Group Study or a Transmission Cluster Study. Detailed Study Agreement: The agreement entered into by the Interconnection Customer and Distribution Provider which sets forth the Parties' agreement to perform Interconnection Studies under the Independent Study Process or the Distribution Group Study Process. **Device:** A mechanism or piece of equipment designed to serve a purpose or perform a function. The term may be used interchangeably with the terms "equipment" and function without intentional difference in meaning. See also Function and Protective Function. DGS Phase I Interconnection Study: Distribution Group Study (DGS) Phase I Interconnection Study performed by the Distribution Provider under the Distribution Group Study Process per Section G.3.c.i. DGS Phase II Interconnection Study: Distribution Group Study (DGS) Phase II Interconnection Study performed by the Distribution provider under the Distribution Group Study Process per Section G.3.c.ii. (L) (Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Sheet 14

(L)

(L)

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Dispute Resolution: See Section K.

Distribution Group Study: An interconnection engineering study of a group comprised of Interconnection Requests that pass Screen Q as a group and fail Screen R demonstrating they are electrically interdependent in accordance with F.3.c.

Distribution Group Study Process: The interconnection study process set forth in Section F.3.c.

Distribution Provider: Southern California Edison

Distribution Service: The service of delivering energy over the Distribution System pursuant to the approved tariffs of Distribution Provider other than services directly related to the Interconnection of a Generating Facility under this Rule.

Distribution Study Group: A group comprised of Interconnection Requests that fail Screen R that will be studied pursuant to Section F.3.c because the Screen R results demonstrate they are electrically interdependent.

Distribution System: All electrical wires, equipment, and other facilities owned or provided by Distribution Provider, other than Interconnection Facilities or the Transmission System, by which Distribution Provider provides Distribution Service to its Customers.

Distribution Upgrades: The additions, modifications, and upgrades to Distribution Provider's Distribution System at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to facilitate interconnection of the Generating Facility and render the Distribution Service. Distribution Upgrades do not include Interconnection Facilities.

Electrical Independence Test: The tests set forth in Section G.3 used to determine eligibility for the Independent Study Process.

Emergency: Whenever in Distribution Provider's discretion an Unsafe Operating Condition or other hazardous condition exists or whenever access is necessary for emergency service restoration, and such immediate action is necessary to protect persons, Distribution Provider's facilities or property of others from damage or interference caused by Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility, or the failure of protective device to operate properly, or a malfunction of any electrical system equipment or a component part thereof.

Energy-Only Deliverability Status: A condition elected by an Interconnection Customer for a Generating Facility interconnected to Distribution System, the result of which is that the Interconnection Customer is responsible only for the costs of Reliability Network Upgrades and is not responsible for the costs of Delivery Network Upgrades, but the Generating Facility will be deemed to have a Net Qualifying Capacity as defined in the CAISO Tariff of zero.

(L)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 (Continued)

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 Sheet 15 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) C. **DEFINITIONS** (Continued) Engineering and Procurement Agreement: An agreement that authorizes Distribution Provider (L) to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the Interconnection in order to advance the implementation of the Interconnection Request. Exporting Generating Facility: Any Generating Facility other than a Non-Export Generating Facility, NEM Generating Facility, or uncompensated Generating Facility. Fast Track Process: The interconnection study process set forth in Section F.2. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission: Referred to herein as FERC. Field Testing: Testing performed in the field to determine whether equipment meets Distribution Provider's requirements for safe and reliable Interconnection. (L) Function: Some combination of hardware and software designed to provide specific features or capabilities. Its use, as in Protective Function, is intended to encompass a range of implementations from a single-purpose device to a section of software and specific pieces of hardware within a larger piece of equipment to a collection of devices and software. Generating Facility: All Generators, electrical wires, equipment, and other facilities, excluding Interconnection Facilities, owned or provided by Producer for the purpose of producing electric power, including storage. Generating Facility Capacity: The net capacity of the Generating Facility and the aggregate net capacity of the Generating Facility where it includes multiple Generators. **Generator:** A device converting mechanical, chemical, or solar energy into electrical energy, including all of its protective and control functions and structural appurtenances. One or more Generators comprise a Generating Facility. Generator Interconnection Agreement: An agreement between Distribution Provider and Producer providing for the Interconnection of a Generating Facility that gives certain rights and obligations to effect or end Interconnection. For the purpose of this Rule, Net Energy Metering or power purchase agreements authorized by the Commission are also defined as Generator Interconnection Agreements. Good Utility Practice: Any of the practices, methods and acts engaged in or approved by a significant portion of the electric utility industry during the relevant time period, or any of the practices, methods and acts which, in the exercise of reasonable judgment in light of the facts known at the time the decision was made, could have been expected to accomplish the desired result at a reasonable cost consistent with good business practices, reliability, safety and expedition. Good Utility Practice is not intended to be limited to the optimum practice, method, or act to the exclusion of all others, but rather to be acceptable practices, methods, or acts generally accepted in the region. (Continued) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by 3458-E Caroline Choi Date Filed Aug 22, 2016 Advice

Decision

16-06-052

Senior Vice President

Jun 8, 2017 Effective Resolution E-4850



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 16

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Governmental Authority: Any federal, state, local or other governmental regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power; provided, however, that such term does not include Interconnection Customer, Distribution Provider, or any Affiliate thereof.

Gross Rating; Gross Nameplate Rating; Gross Capacity or Gross Nameplate Capacity: The total gross generating capacity of a Generator or Generating Facility as designated by the manufacturer(s) of the Generator(s).

Host Load: The electrical power, less the Generator auxiliary load, consumed by the Customer, to which the Generating Facility is connected.

Inadvertent Export: The unscheduled and uncompensated export of real power from a Generating Facility (GF) for a limited duration as specified in Sections M and Mm.

(T)

Independent Study Process: The interconnection study process set forth in Section F.3.b.

Initial Review: See Section F.2.a.

In-rush Current: The current determined by the In-rush Current Test.

In-Service Date: The estimated date upon which Applicant reasonably expects it will be ready to begin use of Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities.

Interconnection; Interconnected: The physical connection of a Generating Facility in accordance with the requirements of this Rule so that Parallel Operation with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System can occur (has occurred).

Interconnection Agreement: See Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Interconnection Customer: See Applicant.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3475-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledSep 21, 2016EffectiveOct 21, 2016Resolution



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 17

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Interconnection Facilities: The electrical wires, switches and related equipment that are required in addition to the facilities required to provide electric Distribution Service to a Customer to allow Interconnection. Interconnection Facilities may be located on either side of the Point of Common Coupling as appropriate to their purpose and design. Interconnection Facilities may be integral to a Generating Facility or provided separately. Interconnection Facilities may be owned by either Producer or Distribution Provider.

Interconnection Facilities Study: A study conducted by Distribution Provider for an Interconnection Customer under the Independent Study Process to determine a list of facilities (including Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Upgrades, and Network Upgrades as identified in the Interconnection System Impact Study), the cost of those facilities, and the time required to interconnect the Generating Facility with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. The scope of the study is defined in Section G.3.c.

Interconnection Financial Security: Any of the financial instruments listed in Section F.4.a.

Interconnection Request: An Applicant's request to interconnect a new Generating Facility, or to increase the capacity of, or make a Material Modification to the operating characteristics of, an existing Generating Facility that is interconnected with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System.

Interconnection Study: A study to establish the requirements for Interconnection of a Generating Facility with Distribution Provider's Distribution System or Transmission System, pursuant to this Rule.

Interconnection System Impact Study: An engineering study conducted by Distribution Provider for an Interconnection Customer under the Independent Study Process that evaluates the impact of the proposed interconnection on the safety and reliability of Distribution Provider's Distribution and/or Transmission System and, if applicable, an Affected System. The scope of the study is defined in Section G.3.c.i.

Island; Islanding: A condition on Distribution Provider's Distribution System in which one or more Generating Facilities deliver power to Customers using a portion of Distribution Provider's Distribution System that is electrically isolated from the remainder of Distribution Provider's Distribution System.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3050-E</u> Decision <u>14-04-003</u> ^{17C10} Issued by <u>Megan Scott-Kakures</u> <u>Vice President</u>

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJun 9, 2014EffectiveJul 9, 2014Resolution



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 18

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Large Generating Facility: A Generating Facility having a Generating Facility Capacity of more than 20 MW.

Line Section: That portion of Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System connected to a Customer bounded by automatic sectionalizing devices or the end of the distribution line.

Local Furnishing Bond: Tax-exempt bonds utilized to finance facilities for the local furnishing of electric energy, as described in Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 142(f).

Local Furnishing Distribution Provider: Any Distribution Provider that owns facilities financed by Local Furnishing Bonds.

Mandatory Operation:The Smart Inverter operates at maximum available current without(N)tripping during Distribution Provider's Transmission or Distribution System excursions outside the|region of Continuous Operation.Any functions that protect the Smart Inverter from damage mayoperate as needed.(N)

Material Modification: Those modifications that have a material impact on cost or timing of any Interconnection Request with the same or a later queue priority date or a change in Point of Interconnection. A Material Modification does not include a change in ownership of a Generating Facility.

Metering: The measurement of electrical power in kilowatts (kW) and/or energy in kilowatt-hours (kWh), and if necessary, reactive power in kVAR at a point, and its display to Distribution Provider, as required by this Rule.

Metering Equipment: All equipment, hardware, software including meter cabinets, conduit, etc., that are necessary for Metering.

Momentary Cessation:The Smart Inverter momentarily reduces current output to the(N)Distribution Provider's Transmission or Distribution System to below 10 percent of the maximum|continuous output current rating.The Smart Inverter is allowed to increase current output to theDistribution Provider's Transmission or Distribution System without any intentional reconnectiondelay once voltage exits the Momentary Cessation region and enters a Permissive Operationregion or Continuous Operation region.

Momentary Parallel Operation: The Interconnection of a Generating Facility to the Distribution and Transmission System for one second (60 cycles) or less.

Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL): A laboratory accredited to perform the Certification Testing requirements under this Rule. (L)

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3167-E</u> Decision <u>14-12-035</u> 18C19 Issued by <u>Megan Scott-Kakures</u> <u>Vice President</u>

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJan 20, 2015EffectiveJan 25, 2015Resolution



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 19

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Net Energy Metering (NEM): Metering for the receipt and delivery of electricity between Producer and Distribution Provider pursuant to California Public Utilities Code (PUC) Sections 2827, 2827.1 (as currently implemented by Commission Decision (D.)16-01-044), 2827.8, or 2827.10.

NEM-1: Refers to Interconnection Requests for service pursuant to Schedules NEM, MASH-VNM, NEM-V and FC-NEM.

NEM-2: Refers to Interconnection Requests for service pursuant to Schedule NEM-ST, MASH-VNM-ST and NEM-V-ST.

Net Generation Output Metering: Metering of the net electrical power output in kW or energy in kWh, from a given Generating Facility. This may also be the measurement of the difference between the total electrical energy produced by a Generator and the electrical energy consumed by the auxiliary equipment necessary to operate the Generator. For a Generator with no Host Load and/or Section 218 Load, Metering that is located at the Point of Common Coupling. For a Generator with Host Load and/or Section 218 Load, Metering that is located at the Generator at the Generator but after the point of auxiliary load(s) and prior to serving Host Load and/or Section 218 Load.

Net Rating or Net Nameplate Rating: The Gross Rating minus the consumption of electrical power of the auxiliary load.

Network Upgrades: Delivery Network Upgrades and Reliability Network Upgrades.

Networked Secondary System: An AC distribution system where the secondaries of the distribution transformers are connected to a common bus for supplying electricity directly to consumers. There are two types of secondary networks: grid networks (also referred to as area networks or street networks) and Spot Networks. Synonyms: Secondary Network. Refer to IEEE 1547.6 for additional detail.

Nominal: Standard frequency and voltage.

Non-Emergency: Conditions or situations that are not Emergencies, including but not limited to meter reading, inspection, testing, routine repairs, replacement, and maintenance.

Non-Export; Non-Exporting: When the Generating Facility is sized and designed such that the Generator output is used for Host Load only and is designed to prevent the transfer of electrical energy from the Generating Facility to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System.

Non-Islanding: Designed to detect and disconnect from a stable Unintended Island with matched load and generation. Reliance solely on under/over voltage and frequency trip is not considered sufficient to qualify as Non-Islanding.

(Continued)

| (To be ins | erted by utility) | Issued by | (To be inserted by Cal. P | |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Advice | 3475-E | Caroline Choi | Date Filed | Sep 21, 2016 |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | Senior Vice President | Effective | Oct 21, 2016 |
| 19C8 | | | Resolution | |

(T)



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 20

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Open Loop Response Time: The duration from a step change in control signal input (reference (N) value) until the output changes by 90% of its final change, before any overshoot. (N)

Parallel Operation: The simultaneous operation of a Generator with power delivered or received by Distribution Provider while Interconnected. For the purpose of this Rule, Parallel Operation includes only those Generating Facilities that are Interconnected with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System for more than 60 cycles (one second).

Paralleling Device: An electrical device, typically a circuit breaker, operating under the control of a synchronization relay or by a qualified operator to connect an energized generator to an energized electric power system or two energized power systems to each other.

Party, Parties: Applicant or Distribution Provider

Periodic Test: A test performed on part or all of a Generating Facility/Interconnection Facilities at pre-determined time or operational intervals to achieve one or more of the following: 1) verify specific aspects of its performance; 2) calibrate instrumentation; and 3) verify and re-establish instrument or Protective Function set-points.

Permissive Operation: The Smart Inverter is allowed, but not required, to operate at any current level.

Point of Common Coupling (PCC): The transfer point for electricity between the electrical conductors of Distribution Provider and the electrical conductors of Producer.

Point of Interconnection: The point where the Interconnection Facilities connect with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. This may or may not be coincident with the Point of Common Coupling.

Pre-Construction Activities: The actions by Distribution Provider, other than those required by an Engineering and Procurement Agreement under Section F.3.f, undertaken prior to Construction Activities in order to prepare for the construction of Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Upgrades, or Network Upgrades assigned to the Interconnection Customer, including, but not limited to, preliminary engineering, permitting activities, environmental analysis, or other activities specifically needed to obtain governmental approvals for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Voyades, or Network Upgrades.

(L)

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3623-E Decision 14-12-035

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJun 27, 2017EffectiveJul 27, 2017ResolutionJul 27, 2017



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 21

(L)

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Producer: The entity that executes a Generator Interconnection Agreement with Distribution (L) Provider. Producer may or may not own or operate the Generating Facility, but is responsible for the rights and obligations related to the Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Production Test: A test performed on each device coming off the production line to verify certain aspects of its performance.

Protective Function(s): The equipment, hardware and/or software in a Generating Facility (whether discrete or integrated with other functions) whose purpose is to protect against Unsafe Operating Conditions.

Prudent Electrical Practices: Those practices, methods, and equipment, as changed from time to time, that are commonly used in prudent electrical engineering and operations to design and operate electric equipment lawfully and with safety, dependability, efficiency, and economy.

Queue Position: See Section E.5.C.

Queued Capacity: Aggregate queued generation capacity (in MW) for a substation/area bus, bank or circuit (i.e., amount of generation in the queue).

Reasonable Efforts: With respect to an action required to be attempted or taken by a Party under this Rule, efforts that are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a Party would use to protect its own interests.

Reliability Network Upgrades: The transmission facilities at or beyond the point where Distribution Provider's Distribution System interconnects to the CAISO Controlled Grid, necessary to interconnect one or more Generating Facility(ies) safely and reliably to the CAISO Controlled Grid, as defined in the CAISO Tariff.

Section 218 Load: Electrical power that is supplied in compliance with California PUC section 218. PUC section 218 defines an "Electric Corporation" and provides conditions under which a transaction involving a Generating Facility would not classify a Producer as an Electric Corporation. These conditions relate to "over-the-fence" sale of electricity from a Generating Facility without using Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System.

Short Circuit Contribution Ratio (SCCR): The ratio of the Generating Facility's short circuit contribution to the short circuit contribution provided through Distribution Provider's Distribution System for a three-phase fault at the high voltage side of the distribution transformer connecting the Generating Facility to Distribution Provider's Distribution System.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3623-E Decision 14-12-035

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u> (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJun 27, 2017EffectiveJul 27, 2017ResolutionState 100 (State 10



Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS**

Sheet 22

(Continued)

C. DEFINITIONS (Continued)

Single Line Diagram; Single Line Drawing: A schematic drawing, showing the major electric switchgear, Protective Function devices (including relays, current transformer and potential transformer configurations/wiring in addition to circuit breakers/fuses), wires, Generators, transformers, meters and other devices, providing relevant details to communicate to a qualified engineer the essential design and safety of the system being considered.

Site Exclusivity: Documentation reasonably demonstrating: (1) For private land: (a) Ownership of, a leasehold interest in, or a right to develop property upon which the Generating Facility will be located consisting of a minimum of 50% of the acreage reasonably necessary to accommodate the Generating Facility; or (b) an option to purchase or acquire a leasehold interest in property upon which the Generating Facility will be located consisting of a minimum of 50% of the acreage reasonably necessary to accommodate the Generating Facility. (2) For public land, including that controlled or managed by any federal, state or local agency, a final, non-appealable permit, license, or other right to use the property for the purpose of generating electric power and in acreage reasonably necessary to accommodate the Generating Facility, which exclusive right to use public land under the management of the federal Bureau of Land Management shall be in a form specified by the Bureau of Land Management. The demonstration of Site Exclusivity, at a minimum, must be through the Commercial Operation Date of the new Generating Facility or increase in capacity of the existing Generating Facility.

Small Generating Facility: A Generating Facility that has a Generating Facility Capacity of no more than 20 MW.

Smart Inverter: A Generating Facility's Inverter that performs functions that when activated can (N) autonomously contribute to grid support during excursions from normal operating voltage and frequency system conditions by providing dynamic reactive/real power support, voltage and frequency ride-though, ramp rate controls, communication systems with ability to accept external commands and other functions.

(N)

Special Facilities: See Added Facilities.

Spot Network: For purposes of this Rule, a Spot Network is a type of distribution system found within modern commercial buildings to provide high reliability of service to a single customer.

Starting Voltage Drop: The percentage voltage drop at a specified point resulting from In-rush Current. The Starting Voltage Drop can also be expressed in volts on a particular base voltage, (e.g. 6 volts on a 120-volt base, yielding a 5% drop).

Supplemental Review: See Section F.2.c.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) 3167-E Advice 14-12-035 Decision 22C17

Issued by Megan Scott-Kakures Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Jan 20, 2015 Date Filed Jan 25, 2015 Effective Resolution



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 23

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

System Integrity: The condition under which Distribution Provider's Distribution and Transmission System is deemed safe and can reliably perform its intended functions in accordance with the safety and reliability rules of Distribution Provider.

Telemetering: The electrical or electronic transmittal of Metering data on a real-time basis to Distribution Provider.

Total Capacity: Capacity (in MW) of substation/area bus, bank or circuit based on normal or operating ratings.

Transfer Trip: A Protective Function that trips a Generating Facility remotely by means of an automated communications link controlled by Distribution Provider.

Transient/Dynamic Stability: The ability of an electrical system to withstand disturbances. Transient/Dynamic Stability studies are performed to ensure power system stability and are time-based simulations that assess the performance of the power system during and shortly following system disturbances.

Transmission Cluster Study Process: The cluster study process as defined in Distribution Provider's Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff.

Transmission System: Transmission facilities owned by Distribution Provider that have been placed under the CAISO's operational control and are part of the CAISO Controlled Grid, as defined in the CAISO Tariff.

Trip: The act of a Generating Facility to cease to energize or disconnect from the Distribution Provider's Transmission or Distribution System automatically due to a Distribution Provider's Transmission or Distribution System disturbance. Following trip, the Smart Inverter must delay re-energization or reconnection for a preset period of time once the voltage and frequency of the Distribution Provider's Transmission or Distribution System are within normal ranges.

Type Test: A test performed on a sample of a particular model of a device to verify specific aspects of its design, construction and performance.

Unintended Island: The creation of an Island, usually following a loss of a portion of Distribution Provider's Distribution System, without the approval of Distribution Provider.

Unit Cost Guide: A published guide that is not binding for actual facility costs and is provided (N) only for additional cost transparency, developer reference, and Distribution Provider's reference | when preparing the study estimate. (N)

(L)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 (Continued)

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Sheet 24

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

C. <u>DEFINITIONS</u> (Continued)

Unsafe Operating Conditions: Conditions that, if left uncorrected, could result in harm to (L) personnel, damage to equipment, loss of System Integrity or operation outside pre-established | parameters required by the Generator Interconnection Agreement. (L)

Voltage excursion: Beginning when Distribution Provider's Transmission or Distribution System voltage at the PCC exits the Near Nominal magnitude range and ending when voltage re-enters the Near Nominal magnitude range.

VRef: The reference voltage or nominal voltage.

VRefOfs: The offset from the reference voltage due to the location of the Smart Inverter system on a distribution feeder. This may be setting or may be calculated dynamically from local voltage measurements.

Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff: SCE's Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff (WDAT).

D. <u>GENERAL, RULES, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS</u>

1. Authorization Required to Operate

A Producer must comply with this Rule, execute a Generator Interconnection Agreement with Distribution Provider, and receive Distribution Provider's express written permission before Parallel Operation of its Generating Facility with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Distribution Provider shall apply this Rule in a non-discriminatory manner and shall not unreasonably withhold its permission for Parallel Operation of Producer's Generating Facility with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System.

2. Separate Agreements Required for Other Services

A Producer requiring other electric services from Distribution Provider including, but not limited to, Distribution Service during periods of curtailment or interruption of Producer's Generating Facility, must enter into agreements with Distribution Provider for such services in accordance with Distribution Provider's Commission-approved tariffs.

3. Services Under This Tariff Limited To Interconnection

Interconnection with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System under this Rule does not provide a Producer any rights to utilize Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System for the transmission, distribution, or wheeling of electric power, nor does it limit those rights.

(L)

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

D. <u>GENERAL, RULES, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS</u> (Continued)

4. Compliance with Laws, Rules, and Tariffs

A Producer shall ascertain and comply with applicable Commission-approved tariffs of Distribution Provider; applicable FERC-approved rules, tariffs, and regulations; and any local, state or federal law, statute or regulation which applies to the design, siting, construction, installation, operation, or any other aspect of Producer's Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities.

5. Design Reviews and Inspections

Distribution Provider shall have the right to review the design of a Producer's Generating and Interconnection Facilities and to inspect a Producer's Generating and/or Interconnection Facilities prior to the commencement of Parallel Operation with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Distribution Provider may require a Producer to make modifications as necessary to comply with the requirements of this Rule. Distribution Provider's review and authorization for Parallel Operation shall not be construed as confirming or endorsing Producer's design or as warranting the Generating Facilities' and/or Interconnection Facilities' safety, durability or reliability. Distribution Provider shall not, by reason of such review or lack of review, be responsible for the strength, adequacy, or capacity of such equipment.

6. Right to Access

A Producer's Generating Facility and/or Interconnection Facilities shall be reasonably accessible to Distribution Provider personnel as necessary for Distribution Provider to perform its duties and exercise its rights under its tariffs approved by the Commission, and under any Generator Interconnection Agreement between Distribution Provider and Producer.

- 7. Confidentiality
 - a. Scope

Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, confidential, proprietary or trade secret information relating to the present or planned business of Applicant, Customer, Producer, or Distribution Provider (individually referred to in Section D.7 as Party or collectively as Parties), including all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing. Distribution Provider shall not use the information contained in the Interconnection Request to propose discounted tariffs to the Customer unless authorized to do so by the Customer or the information is provided to Distribution Provider by the Customer through other means.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u> (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJul 8, 2016EffectiveJul 8, 2016Resolution

(L)

(L)

Sheet 25



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 26

(Continued)

D. <u>GENERAL, RULES, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS</u> (Continued)

- 7. Confidentiality (Continued)
 - a. Scope (Continued)

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document (including electronic materials), or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential. For purposes of this Rule all design, operating specifications, and metering data provided by Applicant shall be deemed Confidential Information regardless of whether it is clearly marked or otherwise designated as such, except as provided in section D.7.b. below.

If requested by either Party, the other Party shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

b. Limitations on Scope

Confidential Information shall not include information pertaining to each Interconnection Request that may be provided in a publicly-posted queue pursuant to Section E.5.d of this Rule.

Confidential Information shall not include information that: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a third party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party; or (6) is required, in accordance with Section D.7.d, Required Disclosure, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena.

Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the other Party that it no longer is confidential.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3050-E</u> Decision <u>14-04-003</u> 26011 Issued by <u>Megan Scott-Kakures</u> <u>Vice President</u>

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJun 9, 2014EffectiveJul 9, 2014Resolution



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 27

(Continued)

D. <u>GENERAL, RULES, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS</u> (Continued)

- 7. Confidentiality (Continued)
 - c. Disclosure to Commission, FERC, or their respective Staff

Notwithstanding anything in this Section D.7 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR section 1b.20 in the case of disclosure to FERC, if the Commission, FERC, or their respective staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from one of the Parties that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this Rule, the Party shall provide the requested information to the Commission, FERC, or their respective staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to the Commission, FERC, or their respective staff, the Party shall, pursuant to PUC section 583 and General Order 66-C in the case of disclosure to the Commission, and consistent with 18 CFR section 388.112 in the case of disclosure to FERC, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the Commission, FERC, and their respective staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Requests from another state regulatory body with jurisdiction conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner, consistent with applicable state rules and regulations.

d. Required Disclosure

Subject to the exception in Section D.7.c, any information that a Party claims is Confidential Information shall not be disclosed by the other Party to any person not employed or retained by the other Party, except to the extent disclosure is (i) required by law or pursuant to an order of the Commission or FERC; (ii) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (iii) otherwise permitted by consent of the other Party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; (iv) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this Rule; or (v) as a transmission or distribution service provider or a Control Area operator, including disclosing the Confidential Information to a Regional Transmission Organization or CAISO, or to a subregional, regional or national reliability organization or planning group under the applicable confidentiality provisions in the relevant tariffs. Prior to any disclosures of the other Party's Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any third party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the disclosing Party agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the other Party in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order or other reasonable measures.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3050-E</u> Decision <u>14-04-003</u> 27C10 Issued by Megan Scott-Kakures Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Date Filed Jun 9, 2014 Effective Jul 9, 2014 Resolution



Resolution

Rule 21 Sheet 28 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) D. GENERAL, RULES, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS (Continued) 8. Prudent Operation and Maintenance Required A Producer shall operate and maintain its Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities in accordance with Prudent Electrical Practices and shall maintain compliance with this Rule. 9. Curtailment and Disconnection Distribution Provider may limit the operation or disconnect or require the disconnection of a Producer's Generating Facility from Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System at any time, with or without notice, in the event of an Emergency, or to correct Unsafe Operating Conditions. Distribution Provider may also limit the operation or disconnect or require the disconnection of a Producer's Generating Facility from Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System upon the provision of reasonable written notice: 1) to allow for routine maintenance, repairs or modifications to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System; 2) upon Distribution Provider's determination that a Producer's Generating Facility is not in compliance with this Rule; or 3) upon termination of the Generator Interconnection Agreement. Upon Producer's written request, Distribution Provider shall provide a written explanation of the reason for such curtailment or disconnection. 10. Local Furnishing Bonds If a proposed Interconnection of a Generating Facility would impair the tax-exempt status of interest on the Local Furnishing Bonds or the deductibility of interest expense on the Local Furnishing Bonds to the Local Furnishing Distribution Provider under the Internal Revenue Code, Treasury Regulations and/or applicable IRS rulings, the Interconnection Customer will be required to pay the costs properly attributable to the proposed Interconnection of such Generating Facility. The Interconnection Study shall specify and estimate the cost of all remedial measures that address the financial impacts, if any, on Local Furnishing Bonds that would result from an Interconnection. (Continued) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Advice 3050-E Megan Scott-Kakures Jun 9, 2014 Date Filed 14-04-003 Vice President Jul 9, 2014 Decision Effective

28C10



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 29

(Continued)

D. <u>GENERAL, RULES, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS</u> (Continued)

11. Coordination with Affected Systems

Distribution Provider will notify the Affected System Operators that are potentially affected by an Applicant's Interconnection Request or group of Interconnection Requests. Distribution Provider will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Affected Systems with Affected System Operators and, if possible, include those results (if available) in its applicable Interconnection Study within the time frame specified in this Rule. Distribution Provider will include such Affected System Operators in all meetings held with Applicant as required by this Rule. Applicant will cooperate with Distribution Provider in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Affected Systems. A transmission provider which may be an Affected System shall cooperate with Distribution Provider with whom interconnection has been requested in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Affected Systems. Applicant shall enter into an agreement with the owner of the Affected System, as applicable. The agreement shall specify the terms governing payments to be made by Applicant to the owner of the Affected System as well as the repayment, if applicable, by the owner of the Affected System.

12. Transferability of Interconnection Request

An Applicant may transfer its Interconnection Request to another entity only if such entity acquires the proposed Generating Facility identified in the Interconnection Request and the Point of Interconnection does not change.

(Continued)


(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3050-E</u> Decision <u>14-04-003</u> 29C10

Issued by Megan Scott-Kakures Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJun 9, 2014EffectiveJul 9, 2014Resolution



E-4850

Resolution



30C18



D.

Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

Rule 21 Sheet 31 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS (Continued) GENERAL, RULES, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS (Continued) 13. Special Provisions Applicable to Net Energy Metered Applicants (Continued) d. An Applicant with a Fast Track Interconnection Request for a NEM-1 or NEM-2

- d. An Applicant with a Past Track Interconnection Request for a NEM-1 of NEM-2 Generating Facility with a capacity of 1 MW or smaller that 1) goes for more than one year from the date of Distribution Provider's written notification that the Interconnection Request is valid without a signed Generator Interconnection Agreement, or 2) has a Generating Facility that has not been approved for Parallel Operation within one year of completion of all applicable review and/or studies, is subject to withdrawal by Distribution Provider; however, Distribution Provider may not deem the Interconnection Request to be withdrawn if i) Applicant provides reasonable evidence that the Interconnection Request is still active or ii) the delay is at no fault of Applicant.
- For the purposes of establishing the interconnection requirements for NEM Generating е Facilities qualifying for service under PUC Section 2827(b)(4)(B) (i.e., the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR)) and PUC Section 2827(b)(4)(C) (i.e., the United States Armed Forces as defined in Schedule NEM) with a capacity greater than one megawatt (1 MW) per Premises, Distribution Provider shall be afforded a prudent but necessary time as mutually agreed to in writing by the Distribution Provider and the Interconnection Customer, but not less than 60 Business Days for Interconnection Requests submitted by the United States Armed Forces, to study the impacts of the Interconnection Request. In the event that Distribution Provider and the Interconnection Customer are unable to mutually agree upon this prudent but necessary study time, the study time shall then be determined by the executive director of the Commission. If the study reveals the need for upgrades to the Transmission and/or Distribution System arising solely from the Interconnection Request, Distribution Provider shall be afforded the time necessary to complete those upgrades before the Generating Facility is interconnected. The costs of the Network and/or Distribution Upgrades shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer, but the exemption from application fees and study costs applicable to Generating Facilities eligible for Net Energy Metering under PUC Section 2827 shall apply. Distribution Provider shall consider the submission date of the completed Interconnection Request Application (T) when completing the study allowed for herein and for purposes of determining the appropriate cost responsibility for the necessary Network and/or Distribution Upgrades, if any, triggered solely by the Interconnection Request. All Generating Facilities interconnected pursuant to this section shall comply with applicable state and federal requirements, including requirements of the FERC. For the purposes of this section, the United States Armed Forces shall comply with all FERC interconnection procedures and requirements for any Generating Facility that interconnects directly to the Transmission System or that requires upgrades to the Transmission System. These same provisions also apply to the Interconnection Requests of the CDCR and United States Armed Forces for NEM-2 Generating Facilities with a capacity greater than 1 MW, except that the CDCR and the United States Armed Forces shall be additionally responsible for any application fees and study costs triggered by the NEM-2 Interconnection Request.
- f. Applicants with an Interconnection Request for a NEM-1 or NEM-2 Generating Facility (N) shall have the opportunity to select the Cost Envelope Option in accordance with Section F.7. Applicants who are determined to not have responsibility for any applicable upgrade costs will be automatically withdrawn from the Cost Envelope Option. (N)

(N) (Continued) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) 3458-E Aug 22, 2016 Advice Caroline Choi Date Filed 16-06-052 Senior Vice President Jun 8, 2017 Decision Effective Resolution E-4850 31C28



TIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

| | Rule 21 Sheet 32 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | | | |
|---------------|--|--------------|--|--|
| | (Continued) | | | |
| D. | D. GENERAL RULES, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS (Continued) | | | |
| | 14. Special Provisions Applicable to Non-Export Energy Storage Generating Facilities | (N) | | |
| | Applicants with Non-Export Energy Storage Generating Facilities that meet the criteria listed in Section N shall be eligible to elect to have their Interconnection Requests processed in an expedited timeframe, subject to the terms and conditions of Section N. | (N) | | |
| | 15. Compliance with Established Timelines | (T) | | |
| | Distribution Provider shall use Reasonable Efforts in meeting all the timelines provided for under this Rule. In the event Distribution Provider is not able to meet a particular timeline set forth in this Rule, Distribution Provider shall notify Applicant as soon as practicable and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is needed. Any Applicant dissatisfied with the Reasonable Efforts of Distribution Provider may use the informal procedures set out in Section F.1.d and/or the Dispute Resolution process in Section K | | | |
| | 16. Modification of Timelines | (T) | | |
| | Distribution Provider and Applicant, for good cause, may agree to modify any of the timelines in this Rule. The modified timeline shall be mutually agreed upon, in writing, between Distribution Provider and Applicant. | | | |
| E. | INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS | | | |
| | 1. Optional Standard and Enhanced Pre-Application Report | | | |
| | a. Standard Pre-Application Report | | | |
| | Upon receipt of a completed Pre-Application Report Request and a non-refundable processing fee of \$300, Distribution Provider shall provide pre-application data described in this section within ten (10) Business Days of receipt. The Pre-Application Report Request shall include a proposed Point of Interconnection, generation technology and fuel source. The proposed Point of Interconnection shall be defined by latitude and longitude, site map, street address, utility equipment number (e.g. pole number), meter number, account number or some combination of the above sufficient to clearly identify the location of the Point of Interconnection. | | | |
| | The Pre-Application Report will include the following information if available: | | | |
| | 1. Total Capacity (MW) of substation/area bus or bank and circuit likely to serve proposed site. | | | |
| | Allocated Capacity (MW) of substation/area bus or bank and circuit likely to serve proposed site. | (L) | | |
| | (Continued) | | | |
| (To l Advi | be inserted by utility) Issued by (To be inserted by Cal. Place 3493-E Caroline Choi Date Filed Oct 21, 201 | , | | |

16-06-052 Decision 32C16

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President Date Filed Oct 21, 2016 Mar 30, 2017 Effective Resolution



| | | | 5963 | 2-E |
|----|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------|
| | | Rule 21 Sheet | 133 | |
| E. | Interconne | (Continued) ection Request Submission Process (Continued) | | |
| | 1. Option | nal Standard and Enhanced Pre-Application Report (Continued) | | |
| | 3. | Queued Capacity (MW) of substation/area bus or bank and circuit likely to proposed site. | serve (| (L) |
| | 4. | Available Capacity (MW) of substation/area bus or bank and circuit most lik serve proposed site. | | (L) |
| | 5. | Substation nominal distribution voltage or transmission nominal volta applicable. | age if | |
| | 6. | Nominal distribution circuit voltage at the proposed site. | | |
| | 7. | Approximate circuit distance between the proposed site and the substation. | | |
| | 8. | Relevant Line Section(s) peak load estimate, and minimum load data, available. | when | |
| | 9. | Number of protective devices and number of voltage regulating devices betwe proposed site and the substation/area. | en the | |
| | 10 |). Whether or not three-phase power is available at the site. | | |
| | 11 | Limiting conductor rating from proposed Point of Interconnection to distri substation. | ibution | |
| | 12 | Based on proposed Point of Interconnection, existing or known constraints su but not limited to, electrical dependencies at that location, short circuit interr capacity issues, power quality or stability issues on the circuit, capacity const or secondary networks. | upting | |
| | 13 | 3. Nominal distribution circuit voltage and wiring configuration. | | |
| | b. Er | nhanced Pre-Application Report | | |
| | St Re | ne Enhanced Pre-Application Report Request shall be submitted in parallel witten candard Pre-Application Report. Requests that exclude the Standard Pre-Applice eport and select only one or both of the Enhanced Pre-Application Report pact nall be assessed an additional non-refundable fee of \$100. | ication | |
| | Int Int uti co | the Enhanced Pre-Application Report Request shall include a proposed Po- terconnection, generation technology and fuel source. The proposed Po- terconnection shall be defined by latitude and longitude, site map, street ad ility equipment number (e.g. pole number), meter number, account number or ombination of the above sufficient to clearly identify the location of the Po- terconnection. | oint of Idress, some | |
| | | (Continued) | | |
| | | | | |

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3493-E Decision 16-06-052 33C11 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledOct 21, 2016EffectiveMar 30, 2017Resolution



Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. Cal. PUC Sheet No.

| | emead, eame | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Rule 21 Sheet 34 (N) GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | | | | |
| | | (Continued) | | | | |
| Ε. | Interconnection Request Submission Process (Continued) | | | | | |
| | 1. Optional Standard and Enhanced Pre-Application Report (Continued) | | | | | |
| | The information included in the Enhanced Pre-Application Report is dependent upon the data package selected by Applicant | | | | | |
| | 1. | Primary Service Package | | | | |
| | | Upon receipt of a completed Enhanced Pre-Application Report Request for Primary Service Package and a non-refundable fee of \$225, and additional \$100 fee if applicable, Distribution Provider shall provide the enhanced pre-application data described in this section within ten (10) Business Days of receipt. | | | | |
| | | The Primary Service Package will include the following information if available: | | | | |
| | | Relevant line section(s) absolute minimum load and minimum load during the 10 AM – 4 PM period (provided when SCADA data is available). | | | | |
| | | ii) Existing upstream protection including: | | | | |
| | | (a) Device type (Fuse Breaker, Recloser) (b) Device controller (device make/model ex: 50E/50T) (c) Phase settings [IEEE Curve, Lever, Min Trip (A), Inst Trip(A)] (d) Ground settings [IEEE Curve, Lever, Min Trip (A), Inst Trip(A)] (e) Rated continuous current (f) Short Circuit interrupting capability (g) Confirm if the device is capable of bi-directional operation | | | | |
| | | iii) Provide the Available Fault Current at the proposed Point of Interconnection including existing distributed generation fault contribution. | | | | |
| | 2. | Behind the Meter Interconnection Package | | | | |
| | Upon receipt of a completed Enhanced Pre-Application Report Request for Behind the Meter Interconnection Package and a non-refundable fee of \$800, and additional \$100 fee if applicable, Distribution Provider shall provide the enhanced pre-application data described in this section within thirty (30) Business Days of receipt. Distribution Provider shall conduct a physical verification based on field confirmation. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 34C20 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJul 8, 2016EffectiveJul 8, 2016ResolutionImage: State Sta



Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. 59634-E Cal. PUC Sheet No.

(N)

Sheet 35

Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS**

(Continued)

- Ε. Interconnection Request Submission Process (Continued)
 - 1. Optional Standard and Enhanced Pre-Application Report (Continued)

If a third party is submitting an Enhanced Pre-Application Report Request for Behind the Meter Interconnection Package on behalf of the Applicant, an Authorization to Receive Customer Information on a Customer's Behalf (Form 14-796) shall be required to be submitted as part of the Pre-Application Report Request. Distribution Provider shall notify the Applicant if additional processing time will be required.

The Behind the Meter Interconnection Package will include the following information if available:

- Relevant line section(s) absolute minimum load, and minimum load during i) the 10 AM – 4 PM period (provided when SCADA data is available)
 - Existing service transformer kVA rating (a)
 - (b) Primary Voltage and secondary Voltage rating
 - Configuration on both Primary and Secondary Side (i.e., Delta, Wye, (c) Grounded Wye, etc.)
 - (d) Characteristic impedance (%Z)
 - Confirm if the transformer is serving only one customer or multiple (e) customers
 - (f) Provide the Available Fault Current on both the Primary and Secondary Side
- Secondary Service Characteristics ii)
 - Conductor type (AL or CU) and size (AWG) (a)
 - Conductor insulation type (b)
 - (c) Number of parallel runs
 - Confirm if the existing secondary service is 3 wire or 4 wire (d)
- iii) Primary Service Characteristics
 - (a) Conductor type (AL or CU and size (AWG)
 - (b) Conductor insulation type
 - (c) Number of parallel runs
 - Confirm if the existing primary service is 3 wire or 4 wire (d)

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) 3429-E Advice 16-06-052 Decision 35C19

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Jul 8, 2016 Date Filed Jul 8, 2016 Effective Resolution



An EDISON INTERNATIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. 59635-E Cal. PUC Sheet No.

| | GENER | Rule 21 ATING FACILITY INTERCONNE | Sheet 36 CTIONS | (N) | | |
|--------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| E. <u>Intercon</u> | | | | | | |
| 1. Opti | 1. Optional Standard and Enhanced Pre-Application Report (Continued) | | | | | |
| | 3. Combined Primary S | Service and Behind the Meter Inte | erconnection Packages | | | |
| | Service Package ar of the applicable r applicable, Distribut | ose to request a combination of Behind the Meter Interconnect non-refundable fee of \$1,025, ion Provider shall provide the en ction within thirty (30) Business D | tion Package. Upon receipt and additional \$100 fee if hanced pre-application data | | | |
| | Behind the Meter Authorization to Re (Form 14-796) shal and shall be requir | ubmitting an Enhanced Pre-App Interconnection Package on b ceive Customer Information or I be processed in accordance w red to be submitted as part of on Provider shall notify the Applie | ehalf of the Applicant, an Act on a Customer Behalf vith the instructions therein, the Pre-Application Report | | | |
| C. | c. Limitation and Scope of Optional Standard and Enhanced Pre-Application Report | | | | | |
| | Enhanced Pre-Applicatio existing data and does n analysis of the propose Provider cannot complete lack of available data, | ecifically in this Section E.1, t n Reports (Pre-Application Repo ot obligate Distribution Provider d project. For all Pre-Applicat e all or some of the Pre-Applicati Distribution Provider will prov cludes the information that is ava | rt(s)) need only include pre- to conduct a study or other tion Reports, if Distribution on Report responses due to ride Applicant with a Pre- | (T) | | |
| | "Available Capacity" in ne completed without impa- interconnection review p change and 3) data provi not useful at the time Notwithstanding any of t | cation Report, Applicant understa o way implies that an interconnect cts since there are many varial rocess, 2) the distribution system ded in the Pre-Application Report of submission of the complete he provisions of this Section, D Application Report data that re reporting. | ction up to this level may be bles studied as part of the n is dynamic and subject to t may become outdated and e Interconnection Request. vistribution Provider shall, in | (L) | | |
| | | (Continued) | | | | |
| (To be inserted | d by utility) | Issued by | (To be inserted by Cal. F | PUC) | | |
| Advice 34 | 29-E -06-052 | Caroline Choi Senior Vice President | Date Filed Jul 8, 2016 Effective Jul 8, 2016 | | | |

36C20

Senior Vice President

Effective Jul 8, 2016 Resolution



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 37

(Continued)

- E. Interconnection Request Submission Process (Continued)
 - 2. Interconnection Request Process
 - a. Applicant Initiates Contact with Distribution Provider

Upon request, Distribution Provider will provide information and documents (such as sample agreements, Interconnection Request, technical information, listing of Certified Equipment, Initial and Supplemental Review fee information, applicable tariff schedules and Metering requirements) to a potential Applicant. Unless otherwise agreed upon, all such information shall normally be sent to an Applicant within three (3) Business Days following the initial request from Applicant. Distribution Provider will establish an individual representative as the single point of contact for Applicant, but may allocate responsibilities among its staff to best coordinate the Interconnection of an Applicant's Generating Facility.

b. Applicant Selects a Study Process

An Applicant may select one of two interconnection evaluation processes in accordance with the following eligibility requirements:

i) Fast Track Eligibility

Non-Exporting Generating Facilities and NEM-1 Generating Facilities are eligible (T) for Fast Track evaluation regardless of the Gross Nameplate Rating of the (T) proposed Generating Facility. NEM-2 Generating Facilities and Exporting (N) Generating Facilities with a Gross Nameplate Rating no larger than 3.0 MWs on a 12 kV, 16 kV or 33 kV are also eligible for Fast Track evaluation.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3371-E</u> Decision <u>16-01-044</u> 37C18

Issued by <u>R.O. Nichols</u> Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledFeb 29, 2016EffectiveSep 1, 2016ResolutionE-4792



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 38 (T)

(Continued)

E. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued)

- 2. Interconnection Request Process (Continued)
 - b. Applicant Selects a Study Process (Continued)
 - i) Fast Track Eligibility (Continued)

For an Exporting Generating Facility that agrees to the installation of Distribution Provider-approved protective devices at Applicant's cost such that the Exporting Generating Facility's net export will never exceed the Fast Track eligibility limits, the Generating Facility's net export will be considered for purposes of Fast Track eligibility. However, these Interconnection Requests will be required to complete Supplemental Review and Applicants should pre-pay for Supplemental Review at the time the Interconnection Request is submitted.

ii) Detailed Study Eligibility

Interconnection Requests that are not eligible for Fast Track evaluation must apply for Detailed Study. An Applicant may also choose to apply directly for Detailed Studies. Detailed Study shall require either (i) an Independent Study Process, (ii) a Distribution Group Study Process, or (iii) a Transmission Cluster Study Process. The specific study process used will depend on the results of the Electrical Independence Tests for the Transmission and Distribution Systems.

iii) Request for Deliverability Assessment

Unless specified otherwise in the Interconnection Request, Generating Facilities eligible to be studied under the Fast Track Process, Independent Study Process or Distribution Group Study Process will be assumed to have selected Energy-Only Deliverability Status. Nothing herein will prohibit an Applicant from seeking a deliverability assessment in accordance with the WDAT. Applicants studied under the Transmission Cluster Study Process may seek a deliverability assessment in accordance with the WDAT.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

38C14

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 39 (T)

(Continued)

E. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued)

- 2. Interconnection Request Process (Continued)
 - c. Applicant Completes an Interconnection Request

All Applicants shall submit a complete and valid Interconnection Request. When applicable per Table E.1, a nonrefundable \$800 Interconnection Request fee, and for Applicants that elect Detailed Study in the Interconnection Request, a study deposit shall be required per instructions in the Interconnection Request. Applicants who proceed to Detailed Study after Fast Track will provide a Detailed Study deposit as specified in Section E.3.a.

Applicant shall submit a separate Interconnection Request for each Point of Interconnection. An Interconnection Request for the expansion of capacity of an existing operating Generating Facility shall be treated the same as an Interconnection Request for a new Generating Facility pursuant to this Rule.

- i) Interconnection Requests for the Independent Study Process will be accepted throughout the year, except during the Distribution Group Study windows. All Detailed Study Interconnection Requests (except those applying directly to the Transmission Cluster Study Process) submitted during the Distribution Group Study windows will be processed as Distribution Group Study Process Applicants.
- ii) Interconnection Requests to be studied under the Distribution Group Study Process shall either be (a) an Independent Study Process Interconnection Request that passed screen Q and failed Screen R for which the Applicant elects to continue to the next available Distribution Group Study, or (b) an Interconnection Request submitted during a Distribution Group Study Application window that passes Screen Q.

There will normally be two (2) Distribution Group Study Application windows annually. The first Distribution Group Study Application window will usually open on March 1 and close on March 31. The second Distribution Group Study Application window will usually open on September 1 and close on September 30. In the event that any date set in this Section is not a Business Day, then the applicable date shall be the next Business Day thereafter.

The Distribution Provider may change the Distribution Group Study Application window interval and opening or closing dates. Any changes will be posted on the Distribution Provider's website. If there is a conflict between the Distribution Group Study Application window interval and opening or closing dates posted on the Distribution Provider's website and the dates identified in the paragraph above, the dates posted on the Distribution Provider's website on the Distribution Provider's website and the dates identified in the paragraph above, the dates posted on the Distribution Provider's website shall apply.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS**

Sheet 40

(Continued)

Ε. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued)

2. Interconnection Request Process (Continued)

Applicant Completes an Interconnection Request (Continued) C.

| | | | | | | 40 |
|--|----------------|---------------|--|----------------------|-------------|-----|
| Generating Facility | Interconne | Supplemental | Detailed Study Deposit | Additional | <u>Cost</u> | (N) |
| <u>Type</u> | <u>ction</u> | Review Fee | | Commissioning Test | Envelope | |
| | <u>Request</u> | | | Verification | Option | |
| | <u>Fee</u> | | | | Deposit**** | (N) |
| Non-Net Energy Metering and >1 MW NEM-2 | \$800 | \$2,500* | For a Generating Facility with a Gross Nameplate Rating of 5 MW or less and applying to the Independent Study Process, \$10,000 for a System Impact Study or the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process and \$15,000 for an Interconnection Facilities Study or DGS Phase II Interconnection Study in the case of the Distribution Group Study | \$150/Person Hour** | \$2,500 | (N) |
| ≤ 1 MW NEM-2*** | \$75 | \$0 | Process. For a Generating Facility with a Gross Nameplate Rating above 5 MW, \$50,000 plus \$1,000 per MW of electrical output of the Generating Facility, or the increase in electrical output of the existing Generation Facility, as applicable, rounded up to the nearest whole MW, up to a maximum of \$250,000 \$0 | N/A | \$2,500 | |
| | | | | | | (N) |
| NEM-1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | N/A | \$2,500 | (N) |
| Solar 1MW or less that does not sell power to Distribution Provider (per D.01- 07-027) nor participate in NEM-1 or NEM-2 | | First \$5,000 | of study fees waived | \$150/Person Hour ** | \$2,500 | (N) |

* Optional \$1,000 additional fault current study fee pursuant to Section F.2.c.ii.

** Plus additional costs for travel, lodging and meals.

*** Applicants that participate in the Single-Family Affordable Solar Homes (SASH) program are exempt from the Interconnection Request fee.

**** Interconnection Requests that have selected the Cost Envelope Option and that subsequently qualify for and pass the Fast Track Process evaluation, as well as NEM-1 and NEM-2 Generating Facilities with a capacity of 1 MW or smaller and Solar ≤ 1 MW Generating Facilities evaluated under the Independent Study Process, must provide the Cost Envelope Option deposit in accordance with Section F.7 to remain eligible for the Cost Envelope Option.

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E 16-06-052 Decision 40C18

(Continued)


Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Date Filed Aug 22, 2016 Jun 8, 2017 Effective Resolution E-4850

(N)

(N)






16-01-044

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President Date Filed Feb 9, 2017 May 31, 2017 Effective Resolution E-4792







Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 43 (T)

(Continued)

E. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued)

- 3. Interconnection Request Fee and Study Deposit (Continued)
 - a. Detailed Study Deposit (Continued)
 - ii) Use of Detailed Study Deposit (Continued)
 - (3) Should an Interconnection Request be withdrawn by Applicant or be deemed withdrawn by Distribution Provider by written notice under Section F.6 at any time more than thirty (30) Calendar Days after the results meeting for the Interconnection System Impact Study or DGS Phase I Interconnection Study, or thirty (30) Calendar Days after issuance of the final Interconnection System Impact Study Report or DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report if a results meeting is not held, the Detailed Study deposit shall be non-refundable.
 - (4) Upon execution of a Generator Interconnection Agreement by an Applicant and Distribution Provider Distribution Provider shall refund to Applicant any portion of Applicant's Detailed Study deposit that exceeds the costs Distribution Provider, CAISO, and third parties have incurred on Applicant's behalf, including interest from the date of receipt by Distribution Provider to the date of payment to Applicant. The applicable interest shall be one-twelfth of the Federal Reserve three-month Commercial Paper Rate – Non-Financial, from the Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15 (expressed as an annual rate).
 - iii) Impact of Withdrawal
 - (1) Notwithstanding the foregoing, an Applicant that withdraws or is deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request shall be obligated to pay to Distribution Provider all costs in excess of the Detailed Study deposit that have been prudently incurred or irrevocably have been committed to be incurred with respect to that Interconnection Request prior to withdrawal. Distribution Provider will reimburse the CAISO or third parties, as applicable, for all work performed on behalf of the withdrawn Interconnection Request at Distribution Provider's direction. Applicant must pay all monies due before it is allowed to obtain any Interconnection Study data or results. Any proceeds of the Detailed Study deposit not otherwise reimbursed to Applicant or applied to costs incurred or irrevocably committed to be incurred for the interconnection studies shall be applied as directed by the Commission. Where an Applicant with remaining proceeds from a Detailed Study deposit cannot be located, such remaining proceeds shall escheat to the State pursuant to the Unclaimed Property Law commencing with the California Code of Civil Procedure § 1500.

| | | (Continued) | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---|--|----------------|
| d by utility) 29-E -06-052 | <u>S</u> | Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> enior Vice President | (To be Date F Effectiv Resolu | ve Jul 8, 2016 |



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 44 (T)

(Continued)

E. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued)

- 3. Interconnection Request Fee and Study Deposit (Continued)
 - a. Detailed Study Deposit (Continued)
 - iii) Impact of Withdrawal (Continued)
 - (2) Forfeited Study Deposit for Distribution Group Study Process

Non-refundable Detailed Study deposits, as pursuant to Section E.3.a.ii, for a Distribution Group Study Process Interconnection Request, shall be applied to the costs associated with any following Interconnection Study or restudy work performed by Distribution Provider, CAISO, or third party for the withdrawn Interconnection Request's Distribution Study Group. Any remaining proceeds of the Detailed Study deposit, after the withdrawn Interconnection Request's Distribution Study Group has completed all relevant Interconnection Studies or restudies, or all Interconnection Requests associated with the specific Distribution Study Group have withdrawn, not otherwise applied to costs incurred, or irrevocably committed to be incurred for the Interconnection Studies or restudies, shall be allocated to individual Applicants on a kVA basis who have remained in the Distribution Study Group by executing a Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Such funds shall be allocated to Applicants sixty (60) Calendar Days following the conclusion of the Generator Interconnection Agreement negotiation pursuant to Section F.3.e.ii. If no Applicants remain in the Distribution Study Group, such funds shall escheat to the State pursuant to the Unclaimed Property Law commencing with the California Code of Civil Procedure § 1500.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

44C12

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Sheet 45

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

E. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued)

- 3. Interconnection Request Fee and Study Deposit (Continued)
 - a. Detailed Study Deposit (Continued)
 - iv) Special Circumstances

Applicant may propose, and Distribution Provider may agree, to reduced costs for reviewing atypical Interconnection Requests, such as Interconnection Requests submitted for multiple Generating Facilities, multiple sites, or otherwise as conditions warrant.

4. Interconnection Cost Responsibility

An Applicant, or a Producer where those are different entities, is responsible for all fees and/or costs, including Commissioning Testing, required to complete the interconnection process. A Producer that interconnects to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System is responsible for all costs associated with Parallel Operation to support the safe and reliable operation of the Distribution and Transmission System. NEM-1 Generating Facilities and NEM-2 ≤1 MW Generating Facilities are exempt from any costs associated with Distribution or Network Upgrades.

(T) (N)

a. Costs of Interconnection and Parallel Operation

The Interconnection and Parallel Operation of a Producer may trigger the need for Interconnection Facilities, Special Facilities or Added Facilities, Upgrades, Delivery Network Upgrades, and/or Reliability Network Upgrades. Interconnection Facilities installed on Producer's side of the PCC may be owned, operated and maintained by Producer or Distribution Provider. Interconnection Facilities installed on Distribution Provider's side of the PCC and Distribution System modifications shall be owned, operated, and maintained only by Distribution Provider.

b. Methodology and Timing of Cost Identification

Any costs triggered by a Producer are based on Producer's unique Interconnection requirements, Producer's impact on the Distribution System and/or Transmission System following allocation of capacity to earlier-queued interconnection requests, and Producer's electrical interdependence with any earlier-queued interconnection requests. Earlier-queued interconnection requests include interconnection requests under any applicable tariff.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3371-EDecision16-01-04445C18

Issued by <u>R.O. Nichols</u> Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledFeb 29, 2016EffectiveSep 1, 2016ResolutionE-4792



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 46 (T)

(Continued)

E. <u>INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS</u> (Continued)

- 4. Interconnection Cost Responsibility (Continued)
 - c. Timing of Cost Identification

For Applicants to Fast Track, Independent Study Process, or Distribution Group Study Process, costs may be identified during the study process, or after the study process is complete and a Generator Interconnection Agreement is executed. The purpose of later identification of costs is to facilitate Applicant's Interconnection while accommodating incomplete interconnection studies for earlier-queued interconnection requests to the same Line Section, distribution circuit and/or substation, incomplete interconnection studies for earlier-queued interconnection studies for earlier-queued interconnection requests with which Applicant is electrically interdependent with respect to short circuit duty, withdrawal of earlier-queued interconnection to the Distribution or Transmission System, and delay or cancellation of planned Distribution System Upgrades.

d. Producer Costs During Parallel Operation

All Producers are required to provide and maintain Interconnection Facilities, for the duration of the Generator Interconnection Agreement, that meet Distribution Provider's technical design and operating standards for Parallel Operation as set out in Section H or Hh, including any updates to those standards. This includes Producer responsibility for costs associated with changes to the operating characteristics at the Point of Interconnection necessitated by Distribution Provider's upgrades to the Transmission or Distribution System from time to time.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 47 (T)

(Continued)

E. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued)

4. Interconnection Cost Responsibility (Continued)

e. Cost Allocation

For cost allocation under the Fast Track Process or the Independent Study Process: Except where exempt by law or Commission decision, costs triggered by an Interconnection Request are the responsibility of the triggering Interconnection Request.

For cost allocation under the Distribution Group Study Process: The costs of Interconnection Facilities will be assigned to the triggering Interconnection Request. The costs of Distribution Upgrades or Network Upgrades identified through a Distribution Group Study shall be allocated among the Interconnection Requests in a Distribution Study Group based on nameplate kilovolt amperes (kVA) and, in some instances, as determined by Distribution Provider, also based on an Applicant's specific contributions to the upgrade costs. Costs for upgrades will be allocated based upon an Applicant's specific contributions to a particular upgrade only if the Distribution Provider determines that; based on overall fairness to the Distribution Study Group, the individual applicant, rather than the Distribution Study Group, should be responsible for the costs. Cost allocation within the Distribution Study Group will not always align with cost contribution under a per kVA plus specific contribution allocation method. The DGS Phase I and Phase II study reports will indicate how cost allocation is determined. Examples of the possible types of shared costs include but may not be limited to: upgraded transformers, reconductoring, circuit switchers, and breakers.

Costs triggered by an Interconnection Request under this Rule that transitions to the Transmission Cluster Study Process are allocated pursuant to the terms of Distribution Provider's WDAT or other applicable tariff.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

47C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



| | | | G | FNF | RATIN | G FA | <u>Rule</u> | | RCONN | ECTIO | NS | She | et 48 |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|--------|--------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | | <u> </u> | | | 0170 | | | | | <u>110</u> | | |
| E. <u>INTE</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. In | 4. Interconnection Cost Responsibility (Continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| f. | f. Summary Tables | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Table E.2 summarizes cost responsibility for costs and fees that may arise in the course of the interconnection process for NEM and non-NEM Applicants. Table E.3 summarizes cost responsibility for costs and fees that may arise in the course of the interconnection process for NEM Applicants under various sequences of interconnecting NEM and non- NEM Generators on the same PCC interconnecting to the Distribution or Transmission System. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | | t Respo | nsibility | <u>/</u> | | |
| <u>Generating</u> <u>Facility</u> <u>Type</u> | Intercon Reque | | Supple Revie | | e . | <u>Detai</u> Study SP, DG TCS | <u>Cost</u> SP, or | - | connectior ities Cost | Up | tribution ogrades Cost | <u>Netwo</u> Cost (F | smission rk Upgrade Ref. CAISO |
| | YES | NO | YES | N | D Y | ES ES | <u>P)</u> NO | YES | NO | YE | S NO | YES | <u>f Sec)</u> NO |
| Non-NEM and NEM-2 (>1MW) | х | | х | | | х | | х | | x | | х | |
| NEM-1 | | Х | | Х | (| | Х | Х | | | Xa | | X ^{a, **} |
| NEM-2 (≤1MW)* | х | | | × | (| | X** | х | | | х | | X** |
| ** Except as p | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Facility | Facility | _ | - | | | 1 | | | | | 2/50 | | 10 |
| NEM-1 | Non-NEM NEM-2 (>1 MW | Л, | ES I K | NO | YES X | NO | YES X | NO | YES X | NO | YES X⁵ | r | 10 |
| NEM-1 | NEM-1 | | | Х | | Х | | Х | Х | | | | Xa |
| NEM-1 | NEM-2 (≤1MW) | * > | x | | | х | | х | х | | | | х |
| Non-NEM | NEM-1 | | | Xc | | Xc | | Xc | Х | | | Х | a,b,c |
| Non-NEM | NEM-2 (>1 MW | | x | | х | | х | | Х | | Х | | |
| Non-NEM | NEM-2 (≤1MW) | * / | × | | | Xc | | Xc | х | | | × | b,c |
| Simultaneou Non-N | | | X | | Х | | Х | | Х | | Xp | | |
| a) Except a | as provideo | l in Secti | on D.13. | e. | | | | | | | | | |
| modified clearly a | l by techno ssigned to | logy-spe each typ | cific capa be of tarif | acity/a f. | availabilit | y facto | rs of all N | EM and | d non-NEI | M Genera | | e costs tha | at cannot be |
| | of operation nection Re | | | | | | | | | d as a si | multaneou | s NEM and | d non-NEM |
| | | | | | | | (Contin | ued) | | | | | |
| (To be inse Advice | (To be inserted by utility)Issued by(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Advice3371-ER.O. NicholsDate FiledFeb 29, 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision | Decision 16-01-044 Senior Vice President Effective Sep 1, 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

48C22



Sheet 49

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

E. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued)

5. Interconnection Request Validation and Assignment of Queue Position

Any Applicant for Interconnection to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System must submit a complete and valid Interconnection Request. An Interconnection Request will be considered complete and valid when all items required for an Interconnection Request have been received by Distribution Provider and deemed valid by Distribution Provider.

a. Acknowledgement of Interconnection Request

For Interconnection Requests that have not elected the Cost Envelope Option, (N) Distribution Provider shall provide a first written notification to the Interconnection Customer within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the Interconnection Request, which notice shall state whether the Interconnection Request is deemed complete and valid.

For Interconnection Requests that have elected the Cost Envelope Option, Distribution Provider shall provide a first written notification to the Interconnection Customer within fifteen (15) Business Days of receipt of the Interconnection Request, which notice shall state whether the Interconnection Request is deemed complete and valid. (N)

- b. Deficiencies in Interconnection Request
 - i) First Notification of Deficiency

If an Interconnection Request fails to meet the requirements, Distribution Provider shall state in its first written notification the reasons for such failure and that the Interconnection Request does not constitute a valid request.

Applicant shall provide Distribution Provider the additional requested information needed to constitute a complete and valid request within ten (10) Business Days from the date of the first written notification that the Interconnection Request is invalid.

ii) Second Notification of Deficiency

Distribution Provider shall provide a second written notification to Applicant within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the additional requested information, stating whether the Interconnection Request is valid or the reasons for any failure.

Applicant shall provide Distribution Provider the additional requested information needed to constitute a complete and valid request within five (5) Business Days from the date of the second written notification that the Interconnection Request is invalid.

(Continued)

| (To be inserted by utility) | | Issued by | (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Advice | 3458-E | Caroline Choi | Date Filed | Aug 22, 2016 | | |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | Senior Vice President | Effective | Jun 8, 2017 | | |
| 49C14 | | | Resolution | E-4850 | | |



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 50

(Continued)

E. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued)

- 5. Interconnection Request Validation and Assignment of Queue Position (Continued)
 - b. Deficiencies in Interconnection Request
 - iii) Extension Request

Upon request, Applicant can receive one extension of up to twenty (20) Business Days to resolve deficiencies in the Interconnection Request.

iv) Failure to Resolve Deficiencies

If Applicant does not resolve deficiencies in the Interconnection Request within the time frames set out above, Distribution Provider will deem the Interconnection Request withdrawn. Applicant may submit a new Interconnection Request.

Applicants with invalid Interconnection Requests under this Section may seek relief under the dispute resolution provisions in Section K by so notifying Distribution Provider within two (2) Business Days of receipt of the first or second written notification that the Interconnection Request is incomplete and/or invalid.

c. Assignment of Queue Position

Distribution Provider shall assign a queue position to all non-Net Energy Metering Applicants and NEM-2 Applicants with Generating Facilities sized above 1 MW (except (N) as provided in Section D.13.e). If there were no deficiencies in the Interconnection (N) Request, the queue position will be based on the date Distribution Provider received the Interconnection Request. If there were deficiencies in the Interconnection Request, the queue position will be based on the date Distribution Provider determines an Interconnection Request to be complete and valid. Should Distribution Provider not meet any deadline for providing the first (Section E.5.b.i) or second written notification (Section E.5.b.ii) to Applicant regarding the Interconnection Request, Applicant's queue position shall be set on the final day of the period in which Distribution Provider was obligated to provide such written notification, provided, however, that Applicant meets deadlines as set out above to submit any additional information required for a valid Interconnection Request following such written notification under Section E.5.b.i or E.5.b.ii, and that Distribution Provider determines that the Interconnection Request is valid.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3371-E Decision 16-01-044

Issued by <u>R.O. Nichols</u> Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledFeb 29, 2016EffectiveSep 1, 2016ResolutionE-4792



Rule 21 Sheet 51 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) Ε. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued) 5. Interconnection Request Validation and Assignment of Queue Position (Continued) c. Assignment of Queue Position (Continued) Distribution Provider shall maintain a single queue for all non-Net Energy Metering and (T) >1 MW NEM-2 (except as provided in Section D.13.e) Interconnection Requests (N) governed by this Rule with a Point of Interconnection on Distribution Provider's Distribution System. For Interconnection Requests that are studied under the Distribution Group Study Process, the effective queue position for all Interconnection Requests in a Distribution Study Group will be derived on the last day of the Distribution Group Study window for that Distribution Study Group. For Interconnection Requests that are studied under the Transmission Cluster Study Process, the queue position will be the applicable cluster's queue position. d. Publication of the Interconnection Queue Distribution Provider shall publish and update monthly on its website the interconnection queue for all Interconnection Requests governed by this Rule with a Point of Interconnection on Distribution Provider's Distribution System that have been assigned a queue position. Nothing here prohibits Distribution Provider from publishing this queue combined with other interconnection requests to Distribution Provider's Distribution System. The published interconnection queue may include the following information for each Interconnection Request governed by this Rule, subject to Energy Division approval: i) Interconnection Request and Queue Position Data (1) The assigned number, if any; (2) the queue position; (3) the date the Interconnection Request was received by Distribution Provider; (4) the date the Interconnection Request was determined to be complete and valid; (5) the review process to which Applicant originally applied, and is currently assigned; (6) the original requested In-Service Date; (7) the currently requested In-Service Date; the agreed-upon Commercial Operation Date or actual Commercial (8) Operation Date. (Continued) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by

 (10 be inserted by utility)

 Advice
 3371-E

 Decision
 16-01-044

 51019

Issued by <u>R.O. Nichols</u> Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledFeb 29, 2016EffectiveSep 1, 2016ResolutionE-4792



| | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 52 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| E. INTERCONNECTION | (Continued) I REQUEST SUBMISSION PROCESS (Continued) | | | | |
| | Request Validation and Assignment of Queue Position | | | | |
| | | r (Continued) | | | |
| | of the Interconnection Queue (Continued) | | | | |
| | cant Generating Facility/Storage System and Point of | | | | |
| (1) | the maximum summer and winter MW electrical out | put; | | | |
| (2) | the type of generating or storage facility to be const | ructed; | | | |
| (3) | the fuel source; | | | | |
| (4) | the proposed Point of Interconnection location by co | ounty; | | | |
| (5) | the proposed Point of Interconnection location by applicable, circuit. | substation/area and, if | | | |
| F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS</u> | FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS | | | | |
| 1. Overview of the | Interconnection Review Process | | | | |
| a. Valid Interco | onnection Request | | | | |
| perform Fas eligible for F are set forth timeframe a Section D.1 | After an Interconnection Request is deemed complete and valid, Distribution Provider will perform Fast Track evaluation unless an Applicant applies for Detailed Study or is not eligible for Fast Track evaluation. The eligibility requirements for Fast Track evaluation are set forth in Section E.2.b. See Section D.13 for special provisions related to the timeframe and costs applicable to certain NEM Applicants, as provided therein. See Section D.14 and Section N for special provisions applicable to Non-Export Energy Storage Generating Facilities, as provided therein. | | | | |
| b. Fast Track F | Review | | | | |
| Facilities that Facilities sh | Fast Track evaluation allows for rapid review of the Interconnection of those Generating Facilities that do not require Detailed Study. Regardless of study process, all Generating Facilities shall be designed to meet the applicable requirements of Section H which identifies Generating Facility Design and Operation Requirements. | | | | |
| | (Continued) | | | | |
| (To be inserted by utility) Advice 3493-E | | be inserted by Cal. PUC) Filed Oct 21, 2016 | | | |

Decision 16-06-052

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President 

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 53 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 1. Overview of the Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - b. Fast Track Review (Continued)

Fast Track review consists of an Initial Review and, if required, a Supplemental Review. The need for Supplemental Review will be determined based on the results of Initial Review Screens A through M in Section G. Applicants that successfully pass Initial Review Screens A through M will be allowed to interconnect without Supplemental Review.

If Supplemental Review is required, Distribution Provider will notify Applicant and Applicant must pay a nonrefundable Supplemental Review fee or withdraw its Interconnection Request. Supplemental Review shall consist of the application of Screens N through P in Section G.2. Applicants that pass Screens N through P will be allowed to interconnect without additional review.

If Supplemental Review reveals that a proposed Generating Facility cannot be interconnected to Distribution Provider's Distribution System by means of Fast Track evaluation, Distribution Provider will notify Applicant that Detailed Study will be required.

Failure to pass Fast Track evaluation means only that further review and/or study are required before the Generating Facility can be interconnected with Distribution Provider's Distribution System. It does not mean that the Generating Facility cannot be interconnected.


c. Detailed Studies

Detailed Study will be required for Interconnection Requests that either apply directly for Detailed Study, are not eligible for Fast Track evaluation, or do not pass Fast Track evaluation. Detailed Study shall consist of one of three study processes: (i) Independent Study Process; (ii) Distribution Group Study Process; or (iii) Transmission Cluster Study Process. The specific study process that is applied will depend on the results of Screens Q and R in Section G.3. Interconnection Requests that are found to be electrically interdependent with earlier-queued interconnection requests with impacts on the Transmission System, and thereby fail screen Q, will proceed to the Transmission System, and thereby fail screen Q, will proceed to the Transmission System, and thereby fail screen R.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President






Advice <u>3493-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> 54C10 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledOct 21, 2016EffectiveMar 30, 2017Resolution



Rule 21 Sheet 55 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)** 2. Fast Track Interconnection Review Process (Continued) a. Initial Review (Continued) Upon receipt of a complete and valid Interconnection Request, Distribution Provider shall perform Initial Review using the process in Section G.1. The Initial Review determines if (i) the Generating Facility qualifies for Fast Track Interconnection through Initial Review, or (ii) the Generating Facility requires a Supplemental Review. Absent extraordinary circumstances, Distribution Provider shall notify Applicant in writing of the results of Initial Review within fifteen (15) Business Days following validation of an Interconnection Request. For all Interconnection Requests that pass Initial Review and do not require (N) Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades, Distribution Provider shall provide Applicant with a Generator Interconnection Agreement within fifteen (15) Business Days of providing notice of Initial Review results. For Interconnection Requests that pass Initial Review but do require Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades, within fifteen (15) Business Days of providing notice of Initial Review results, Distribution Provider shall provide Applicant with a non-binding cost estimate of the Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades. For those Interconnection Requests where Applicant (N) has selected the Cost Envelope Option, within ten (10) Business Days of providing Applicant the non-binding cost estimate for the required Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades, Applicant shall provide the Distribution Provider the Cost Envelope Option deposit, in accordance with Section F.7.a.i.3. If Applicant fails to provide the Cost Envelope Option deposit in accordance with Section F.7.a.i.3, Applicant's request for the Cost Envelope Option shall be deemed withdrawn and the (N) Interconnection Request shall not be eligible for the Cost Envelope Option. For all Interconnection Requests that pass Initial Review, refer to Section F.2.e for cost responsibility and time frames for completing the Generator Interconnection Agreement. For Interconnection Requests that fail Initial Review, Distribution Provider shall provide the technical reason, data and analysis supporting the Initial Review results in writing and provide Applicant the option to either attend an Initial Review results meeting or proceed directly to Supplemental Review. NEM-1 and ≤1 MW NEM-2 Applicants covered under Section D.13.a shall proceed directly to Supplemental Review without an Initial Review results meeting. Applicant shall notify Distribution Provider within ten (10) Business Days following such notification whether to (i) proceed to an Initial Review results meeting, (ii) proceed to Supplemental Review, or (iii) withdraw the Interconnection Request. Applicant may request one extension of no more than ten (10) Business Days to respond. If Applicant fails to notify Distribution Provider within ten (10) Business Days of such notification, or at the end of the extension, if one was requested, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn. (Continued) (To be inserted by utility) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Issued by


(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3458-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President





(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President





(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 58 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 2. Fast Track Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - c. Supplemental Review (Continued)
 - ii) (Continued)

This fault current study will determine if the Generating Facility can detect phase and ground faults on the Distribution Provider's Distribution System or the distribution feeder breaker where the Applicant proposes to connect the Generating Facility. The result of the fault current study will determine if direct transfer trip (DTT) will be required from the Distribution System to the Generating Facility site. Note that for Applicants proposing to interconnect to the Distribution System, DTT from the transmission may still be required and a Detailed Interconnection Study will be required to make this determination. Should the Applicant request a Supplemental Review results meeting, as described in Section F.2d, the optional fault current study analysis and related results shall, at the Applicant's request, be reviewed to determine what modifications, if any, may permit the Generating Facility to be connected safely and reliably.

The Applicant must provide the following data to Distribution Provider when requesting Supplemental Review in order to select this option:

Generator:

MVA Rating kV Rating Base MVA Base kV Xd" (direct axis subtransient reactance) Xd' (direct axis transient reactance Xd (Synchronous reactance) X2 (Negative Sequence reactance)

X0 (Zero Sequence reactance)

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



An EDISON INTERNATIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

| | | | <u>(</u> | GENERAT | <u>Rule</u> ING FACILITY | | Shee ECTIONS | ət 59 |
|---|------------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|--|-----------------------|
| | | | | | (Contir | nued) | | |
| F. | <u>REV</u> | EW PR | OCESS FOR | R INTERCO | ONNECTION F | REQUESTS (C | Continued) | |
| | 2. F | ast Tra | ck Interconne | ection Revi | ew Process (C | continued) | | |
| | С | . Sup | plemental Re | view (Cont | inued) | | | |
| | | ii) | (Continued) |) | | | | |
| | | | XFMR Data Winding of MVA Rati KV Ratin Base MV Base KV Z1 HV -L Z0 HV-LV | configuratio ing g 'A V | on (delta-Wye | grd or Wye gr | d-Delta) | |
| | | | Line Data: Impedane Z1 Z0 | ce data for | line from XFM | IR to POI (if a | oplicable). | |
| | | | POI Locatio | on: | | | | |
| For Interconnection Requests that pass require Interconnection Facilities or Distribution shall provide Applicant with a Generator fifteen (15) Business Days of providing not For Interconnection Requests that pass S Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Up Days of providing notice of Supplemental shall provide Applicant with a non-binding Facilities or Distribution Upgrades. For the Applicant has selected the Cost Envelop Days of providing Applicant the non-binding Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution the Distribution Provider the Cost Envelope Section F.7.a.i.3. If Applicant fails to provid in accordance with Section F.7.a.i.3, Applie Option shall be deemed withdrawn and the be eligible for the Cost Envelope Option. | | | | Distribution U enerator Intere- ling notice of pass Supplen- ution Upgrades mental Review inding cost es For those Int Envelope Option on-binding cost stribution Upg nvelope Option oprovide the G, Applicant's in and the Inter | pgrades, Distribution Pro- connection Agreement of Supplemental Review re- nental Review and do re- s, within fifteen (15) Bus results, Distribution Pro- stimate of any Interconne- erconnection Requests v on, within ten (10) Bus ost estimate for the req rades, Applicant shall pro- n deposit, in accordance Cost Envelope Option de request for the Cost Envelope | ovider within sults. equire iness ovider ection where (N) iness quired ovide e with eposit elope | | |
| | | | | | (Contir | nued) | | |
| · | | | | | · · | | / ~ • • · · · · | |
| (To b Advio | | rted by 3458-E | | | Issue Caroline | • | (To be inserted by Date Filed Aug 2 | Cal. PUC) 22, 2016 |
| Decis | | 16-06-0 | | | Senior Vice | | | 3, 2017 |
| 59C13 | | | | | | | | 50 |



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 60

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

2. Fast Track Interconnection Review Process (Continued)

For all Interconnection Requests that pass Supplemental Review, refer to (L) Section F.2.e for cost responsibility and time frames for completing the Generator Interconnection Agreement. (L)

- c. Supplemental Review (Continued)
 - iv) For Interconnection Requests that fail Supplemental Review, Distribution Provider shall provide the technical reason, data and analysis supporting the Supplemental Review results in writing, including, if Distribution Provider can make the determination, which Detailed Study track Applicant qualifies for, and provide Applicant the option to attend a Supplemental Review results meeting or proceed directly to Detailed Study. Applicant shall notify Distribution Provider within fifteen (15) Business Days following such notification whether to (i) proceed to a Supplemental Review results meeting, (ii) proceed to Detailed Study, or (iii) withdraw the Interconnection Request. Applicant may request one extension of no more than fifteen (15) Business Days to respond. If Applicant fails to notify Distribution Provider within fifteen (15) Business Days of such notification, or at the end of the extension, if one was requested, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn.

Applicants that elect to proceed to Detailed Study shall provide the applicable study deposit set forth in Section E.3.a with their response. Detailed Study fees for solar Generating Facilities up to 1 MW interconnecting to the Distribution System that do not sell power to Distribution Provider will be waived up to the amount of \$5,000. Except as provided for in Section F.3.d, NEM-1 and ≤1 MW NEM-2 Generating Facilities are exempt from any costs associated with Detailed Studies.

d. Optional Supplemental Review Results Meeting

Within five (5) Business Days of Applicant's request for a Supplemental Review results meeting, Distribution Provider shall contact Applicant and offer to convene a meeting at a mutually acceptable time to review the Supplemental Review screen analysis and related results to determine what modifications, if any, may permit the Generating Facility to be connected safely and reliably without Detailed Study.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet 61 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)** Fast Track Interconnection Review Process (Continued) 2. d. Optional Supplemental Review Results Meeting (Continued) If modifications that obviate the need for Detailed Study are identified and Applicant and Distribution Provider agree to such modifications, Distribution Provider shall provide Applicant with a Generator Interconnection Agreement within fifteen (15) Business Days of the Supplemental Review results meeting if no Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades are required. If Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades are required, Distribution Provider shall provide Applicant with a non-binding cost estimate of any Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades within fifteen (15) Business Days of the Supplemental Review results meeting. For those Interconnection Requests where Applicant has selected the Cost Envelope (N) Option, within ten (10) Business Days of providing Applicant the non-binding cost estimate for the required Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades, Applicant shall provide the Distribution Provider the Cost Envelope Option deposit, in accordance with Section F.7.a.i.3. If Applicant fails to provide the Cost Envelope Option deposit in accordance with Section F.7.a.i.3, Applicant's request for the Cost Envelope Option shall be deemed withdrawn and the Interconnection Request shall not be eligible for the Cost Envelope Option. (N) For all Interconnection Requests that pass Supplemental Review, refer to Section F.2.e for cost responsibility and time frames for completing the Generator Interconnection Agreement. If Applicant and Distribution Provider are unable to identify or agree to modifications, Applicant shall notify Distribution Provider within twenty (20) Business Days of the Supplemental Review Results Meeting whether it would like to proceed with Detailed Study or withdraw its Interconnection Request. Applicant may request one extension of no more than twenty (20) Business Days to respond. If Applicant fails to notify Distribution Provider within twenty (20) Business Days of the Supplemental Review results meeting, or at the end of the extension, if one was requested, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn. Applicants that elect to proceed to Detailed Study shall provide the applicable study deposit set forth in Section E.3.a. Execution of the Generator Interconnection Agreement e. For Interconnection Requests where Applicant has not selected the Cost Envelope (N) Option, following the receipt of a cost estimate for any Distribution Upgrades and/or (N) Interconnection Facilities that have been identified, Applicant shall notify Distribution Provider within fifteen (15) Business Days whether Applicant: (i) requests a Generator Interconnection Agreement, or (ii) withdraws its Interconnection (L) (Continued) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)

(To be inserted by utility)Issued by(To be inserted by Cal. PUCAdvice3458-ECaroline ChoiDate FiledAug 22, 2016Decision16-06-052Senior Vice PresidentEffectiveJun 8, 201761C14ResolutionE-4850



Rule 21 Sheet 62 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)** 2. Fast Track Interconnection Review Process (Continued) e. Execution of the Generator Interconnection Agreement (Continued) Request. Applicant may request one extension of no more than fifteen (15) Business (L) Days to respond. If Applicant fails to notify Distribution Provider within fifteen (15) Business Days, or at the end of the extension, if one was requested, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn. If Applicant elects to proceed to a Generator Interconnection Agreement, Distribution Provider shall provide Applicant with a Generator Interconnection Agreement for Applicant's signature within fifteen (15) Business Days of Applicant's request. (L) For those Interconnection Requests where Applicant has selected the Cost Envelope (N) Option and has provided the Cost Envelope Option deposit in accordance with Section F.7.a.i.3, Distribution Provider shall complete and issue to Applicant the Cost Envelope Estimate within twenty (20) Business Days following Distribution Provider's receipt of the Cost Envelope Option deposit in accordance with F.7.d. Once the Cost Envelope Estimate is issued, Applicant shall notify Distribution Provider within fifteen (15) Business Days of notification whether Applicant: (i) requests a Generator Interconnection Agreement, or (ii) withdraws its Interconnection Request. Applicant may request one extension of no more than fifteen (15) Business Days to respond. If Applicant fails to notify Distribution Provider within fifteen (15) Business Days, or at the end of the extension if one was requested, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn. If Applicant elects to proceed to a Generator Interconnection Agreement, Distribution Provider shall provide Applicant with a Generator Interconnection Agreement for Applicant's signature within fifteen (15) Business Days of Applicant's request. (N) Upon receipt of a draft Generator Interconnection Agreement, Applicant has ninety (90) Calendar Days to sign and return the Generator Interconnection Agreement. Applicant shall provide written comments, or notification of no comments, to the draft Generator Interconnection Agreement and appendices within thirty (30) Calendar Days. At the request of Applicant, Distribution Provider shall begin negotiations with Applicant at any time after Distribution Provider provides Applicant with the draft Generator Interconnection Agreement, which contains in its appendices the cost estimate for any Distribution Upgrades and/or Interconnection Facilities that have been identified by Distribution Provider. Distribution Provider and Applicant shall negotiate concerning the cost estimate, or any disputed provisions of the appendices to a draft Generator Interconnection Agreement, for not more than ninety (90) Calendar Days after Distribution Provider provides Applicant with the Generator Interconnection Agreement. (L) (Continued) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E-A Decision 16-06-052 Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



63C13

Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 63

(Continued)

F. REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)

2. Fast Track Interconnection Review Process (Continued)

If Applicant determines that negotiations are at an impasse, it may request termination (L) of the negotiations and initiate Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to Section K. If Applicant fails to sign the Generator Interconnection Agreement or initiate Dispute Resolution within ninety (90) Calendar Days, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn.

After Applicant, or a Producer where those are different entities, has executed the Generator Interconnection Agreement, Distribution Provider will commence design, procurement, construction and installation of Distribution Provider's Distribution Upgrades and/or Interconnection Facilities that have been identified in the Generator Interconnection Agreement. Distribution Provider and Producer will use good faith efforts to meet schedules in accordance with the requirements of the Generator Interconnection Agreement and estimated costs as appropriate. Producer is responsible for all applicable costs associated with Parallel Operation to support the safe and reliable operation of the Distribution System and Transmission System as set forth in Section E.4.

Distribution Provider and Producer shall negotiate in good faith concerning a schedule for the construction of Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades.

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process
 - a. Detailed Study Track Selection Process

For all Detailed Study Applicants, as well as Applicants that have failed Fast Track initial review and/or supplemental review, the specific Detailed Study track for which Applicant is eligible will be determined by the application of Screens Q and R. For Applicants that require application of Screens Q and R, absent extraordinary circumstances, within twenty (20) Business Days following validation of an Interconnection Request and receipt of the appropriate study deposit set forth in Section E.3.a, Distribution Provider will apply Screen Q, and if applicable, Screen R and provide Applicant with the screen results as set forth below.

(L)

E-4850

Resolution

(T)

(L)

| | (Continued) | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------------|
| (To be inserted by utility) | Issued by | | rted by Cal. PUC |
| Advice <u>3458-E</u> | Caroline Choi | Date Filed | Aug 22, 2016 |
| Decision 16-06-052 | Senior Vice President | Effective | Jun 8, 2017 |



Sheet 64 Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)** 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued) a. Detailed Study Track Selection Process (Continued) If Applicant fails Screen Q, Distribution Provider shall provide the data and analysis (L) supporting Screen Q results in writing. The Interconnection Request will be processed in accordance with Section F.3.d below. If Applicant passes Screen Q, but fails Screen R, Distribution Provider shall provide data and analysis supporting the Screen R results in writing. Applicant shall notify Distribution Provider within twenty (20) Business Days following such notification whether it would like to (i) proceed to the Distribution Group Study Process or (ii) withdraw the Interconnection Request. Applicant may request one extension of no more than twenty (20) Business Days to respond. However, Applicant's decision must be received prior to the close of a given Distribution Group Study window, to participate in that Distribution Study Group. If the decision is received after the close of a particular Distribution Group Study window, then Applicant's Interconnection Request will be included in the next available Distribution Group Study window. If Applicant fails to notify Distribution Provider within twenty (20) Business Days of receiving Screen R results, or at the end of the extension, if one was requested, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn. (L) If Applicant elects to proceed to the Distribution Group Study Process, the Interconnection Request will be processed in accordance with Section F.3.c below. A Distribution Study Group will be comprised of all Interconnection Requests that are determined to be electrically interdependent based on results of Screen R. A Distribution Study Group may contain only one Interconnection Request. Applicant(s) that opt to proceed to the Distribution Group Study Process will be reevaluated under Screen Q. If the Distribution Study Group fails Screen Q, the Applicants will be required to withdraw and move to the Transmission Cluster Process. If Applicant passes Screens Q and R, the Interconnection Request will be processed in accordance with Section F.3.b below. If Applicant elects to proceed to the Transmission Cluster Study Process, Interconnection Request will be processed in accordance with Section F.3.d below. (Continued)


(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3458-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet 65 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)** 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued) b. Independent Study Process i) Scoping Meeting Within five (5) Business Days after Distribution Provider notifies Applicant that the Interconnection Request has passed Screens Q and R and is thus eligible for the Independent Study Process, Distribution Provider shall contact Applicant to establish a date agreeable to Applicant and Distribution Provider for a scoping meeting. The purpose of the scoping meeting shall be: (i) to discuss reasonable Commercial Operation Dates and alternative interconnection options; (ii) to exchange information, including any transmission data that would reasonably be expected to impact Applicant's interconnection options; (iii) to analyze such information; and (iv) to determine feasible Points of Interconnection and eliminate alternatives given resources and available information. Distribution Provider will bring to the scoping meeting, as reasonably necessary to accomplish its purpose, such already available technical data, including, but not limited to; (i) general facility loadings, (ii) general instability issues, (iii) general short circuit issues, (iv) general voltage issues, and (v) general reliability issues. Applicant will bring to the scoping meeting, in addition to the technical data in Attachment A of the Rule 21 Exporting Generating Facility Interconnection Request form, any system studies previously performed. Distribution Provider, the CAISO, if applicable, and Applicant will also bring to the meeting personnel and other resources as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting in the time allocated for the meeting. On the basis of the meeting, Applicant shall designate its Point of Interconnection. The duration of the meeting shall be only what is sufficient to accomplish its purpose. Within fifteen (15) Business Days after the scoping meeting. Distribution Provider shall provide Applicant with a Detailed Study Agreement, which shall contain an outline of the scope of the Interconnection System Impact Study and Interconnection Facilities Study, contain a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost to perform such studies, and shall specify that Applicant is responsible for the actual cost of the Interconnection Studies, including reasonable administrative costs. Applicant shall execute and deliver to Distribution Provider the Detailed Study Agreement no later than thirty (30) Business Days after the scoping meeting, or the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn. (Continued) (To be inserted by utility)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3429-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President





16-06-052 Decision 66C12

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President

Jul 8, 2016 Date Filed Jul 8, 2016 Effective Resolution



Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS**

Sheet 67

(Continued)

F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)**

- Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - b. Independent Study Process (Continued)
 - iv) Initial Posting of Interconnection Financial Security.

Applicant shall make its initial posting of Interconnection Financial Security in accordance with the requirements of Section F.4.b, within sixty (60) Calendar Days after issuance of the final Interconnection System Impact Study report, or its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn. The initial posting of Interconnection Financial Security will be based on the cost responsibility for Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, and Distribution Network Provider's Interconnection Facilities set forth in the final Interconnection System Impact Study report.

V) Modifications

> At any time during the course of the Interconnection Studies, Applicant, Distribution Provider, or the CAISO, as applicable, may identify changes to the planned Interconnection that may improve the costs and benefits (including reliability) of the Interconnection, and the ability of the proposed change to accommodate the Interconnection Request. To the extent the identified changes are acceptable to Distribution Provider, the CAISO, as applicable, and Applicant, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, Distribution Provider shall modify the Point of Interconnection and/or configuration in accordance with such changes without altering the Interconnection Request's eligibility for participating in Interconnection Studies.

At the Interconnection System Impact Study results meeting, Applicant should be prepared to discuss any desired modifications to the Interconnection Request. After the issuance of the final Interconnection System Impact Study report, but no later than five (5) Business Days following the Interconnection System Impact Study results meeting, Applicant shall submit to Distribution Provider, in writing, (i) (T) modifications to any information provided in the Interconnection Request, including (N) that information required for the Cost Envelope Option, if applicable, or (ii) confirmation of no modifications. Distribution Provider will forward Applicant's (N) request for modification to the CAISO, if applicable, within two (2) Business Days of receipt. If no Interconnection System Impact Study results meeting is held, Applicant shall submit to Distribution Provider any requested modifications within twenty-five (25) Business Days of the receipt of the final Interconnection System Impact Study report.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) 3458-E Advice 16-06-052 Decision 67C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Aug 22, 2016 Date Filed Jun 8, 2017 Effective Resolution E-4850



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 68

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - b. Independent Study Process (Continued)
 - v) Modifications (Continued)

Modifications permitted under this Section F.3.b.v shall include specifically: (a) a decrease in the electrical output (MW) of the proposed Generating Facility; (b) modifying the technical parameters associated with the Generating Facility technology or the Generating Facility step-up transformer impedance characteristics; and (c) modifying the interconnection configuration. For any modifications other than those permitted above, Distribution Provider, in coordination with CAISO, if applicable, will evaluate whether the proposed modification to the interconnection request constitutes a Material Modification. Distribution Provider will inform Applicant in writing whether the modifications would constitute a Material Modification (i) for Interconnection Requests that (N) have not elected the Cost Envelope Option, within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the proposed request for modification, and (ii) for Interconnection Requests that have elected the Cost Envelope Option, within twenty (20) Business Days of receipt of the proposed request for modification. Any change (N) to the Point of Interconnection, except for that specified by Distribution Provider in an Interconnection Study or otherwise allowed under this Section F.3.b.v, shall constitute a Material Modification.

If the proposed modification is determined to be a Material Modification, Applicant may either withdraw the proposed modification or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification. Applicant shall make such determination within ten (10) Business Days after being provided the Material Modification determination results.

Proposed modifications determined not to be Material Modifications may still necessitate the need to re-evaluate the System Impact Study to determine modifications to the Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades, or to (N) update the Cost Envelope Estimate, if applicable, in accordance with Section F.7. Distribution Provider will provide Applicant an estimate of time to complete (N) the re-evaluation and the associated incremental cost required to complete the re-evaluation. Applicant may either accept the additional time and cost to complete the re-evaluation, withdraw the proposed modification request, or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification. Applicant shall make such determination within ten (10) Business Days after being provided the Material Modification results.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3458-EDecision16-06-05268C18

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



16-06-052

Decision

69C16

| | | Rule 21 Sheet 69 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|---|
| | | | |
| F. | <u>REVIEW P</u> | ROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued) | |
| | 3. Detaile | ed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued) | |
| | b. Inde | ependent Study Process (Continued) | |
| | vi) | Scope and Purpose of the Interconnection Facilities Study and Study Deposit. | |
| | | Distribution Provider's determination that the Interconnection System Impact Study does not require re-evaluation, Applicant shall submit to Distribution Provider the | (T) (T) (D) |
| | | elected the Cost Envelope Option, Applicant must (i) submit to Distribution Provider the Cost Envelope Option deposit within ten (10) Business Days of Applicant's confirmation of no modifications or Distribution Provider's determination that the Interconnection System Impact Study does not require re-evaluation, or (ii) provide Distribution Provider written notice that it elects to withdraw its request for the Cost Envelope Option, in accordance with Section F.7. Should Applicant fail to provide the required Cost Envelope Option deposit or provide written notice within such timeframe, Applicant's request for the Cost Envelope Option shall be deemed withdrawn, and the Interconnection Request shall continue to be processed in | (N) |
| | vii) | Waiver of the Interconnection Facilities Study. | |
| | | The Interconnection Facilities Study may be waived if Distribution Provider and Applicant mutually agree to such waiver within either (i) five (5) Business Days following the Interconnection System Impact Study results meeting, or (ii) within twenty-five (25) Business Days of the issuance of the final Interconnection System Impact Study results meeting is held. | (L) |
| | | Study for an Interconnection Request where Applicant has selected the Cost | (N) (N) |
| | | (Continued) | |
| (To l Advi | be inserted b ice 3458- | |) |

Senior Vice President

Jun 8, 2017

Effective

Resolution E-4850



Rule 21 Sheet 70 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)** Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued) b. Independent Study Process (Continued) Cost Envelope Option and Applicant's request for the Cost Envelope Option shall (N) be deemed withdrawn. The Interconnection Facilities Study may not be waived for Interconnection Requests that have selected the Cost Envelope Option and for which the Applicant elects to proceed with Distribution Provider's preparation of the Cost Envelope Estimate. (N) Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after Distribution Provider and Applicant (L) mutually agree to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study, Distribution Provider shall tender a draft Generator Interconnection Agreement, together with draft appendices, to Applicant. If Applicant chooses to forgo the Interconnection Facilities Study and move directly to a Generator Interconnection Agreement, Applicant must agree in writing to be responsible for all actual costs of all required facilities deemed necessary by Distribution Provider. Applicant is responsible for all applicable costs associated with Parallel Operation to support (T) the safe and reliable operation of the Distribution and Transmission System as set forth in Section E.4. Refer to Section F.3.e for cost responsibility and time frames for completing the Generator Interconnection Agreement. (L) Timing of the Interconnection Facilities Study. viii) The Interconnection Facilities Study shall be completed and provided to Applicant within sixty (60) Business Days after Applicant posts the initial Interconnection Financial Security in accordance with Section F.4.b where Distribution Upgrades or Network Upgrades are identified and, for Generating Facilities with a Gross Nameplate Rating of 5 MW or less, Applicant submits the Interconnection Facilities Study deposit in accordance with Section E.3.a and F.3.b.vi. In cases where no Distribution Upgrades and/or Network Upgrades are identified and the required facilities are limited to Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities only, the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be completed within forty-five (45) Business Days after Applicant posts the initial Interconnection Financial Security and, for Generating Facilities with a Gross Nameplate Rating of 5 MW or less, Applicant submits the Interconnection Facilities Study deposit. If applicable, Distribution Provider will share the study results with the CAISO for review and comment, and will incorporate CAISO comments, if any, into the study report prior to issuing a final Interconnection Facilities Study report to Applicant. (L) (Continued) (To be inserted by utility) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Issued by 3458-E Caroline Choi Date Filed Aug 22, 2016 Advice

Senior Vice President

Jun 8, 2017

E-4850


Effective Resolution

70C15

Decision

16-06-052





16-06-052 Decision 71C13

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President Date Filed Aug 22, 2016 Jun 8, 2017 Effective Resolution E-4850



Resolution

| | | | GENER | Rule 21 ATING FACILITY INTERCONNE | CTIONS | Sheet 72 | (T) |
|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|-----|
| F. | <u>RE</u> | VIEW P | ROCESS FOR INTER | (Continued) RCONNECTION REQUESTS (Co | ntinued) | | |
| | 3. | Detaile | d Study Interconnectio | on Review Process (Continued) | | | |
| | | c. Dis | tribution Group Study | Process | | | |
| | | i) | Initiation of Distribut | tion Group | | | |
| | | | fail Screen R will be must submit all mai later than ten (10) Group Study windo | ly for the Independent Study Proc e eligible for inclusion in a Distributerials required to complete their Business Days after the close w. This includes notification from istribution Group Study Process, | Ition Study Group Interconnection of the relevant Applicant that the | Applicant Request no Distribution hey want to | |
| | | | Group within thirty (available information | r shall perform a Screen Q analy (30) Business Days of the close of about projects that have enter or Rule 21 and the WDAT. | of the window, usi | ing the best | |
| | | | the projects withdra | tudy Group fails Screen Q, the Di wn from Rule 21 and notify Appli e Transmission Cluster Study P | icants. Applicant | s may elect | |
| | | | the scoping meetir | ble to participate in the DGS Phang must be complete and the preement prior to the start data day. | Applicant must e | execute the | |
| | | ii) | Scoping Meeting | | | | |
| | | | Independence Test, Interconnection Req eligible for the Distri | ness Days after Distribution Prov , it will contact the Applicant(s uest has passed Screen Q and bution Group Study Process, and ribution Provider for a scoping me | s) to notify the failed Screen R d establish a date | m that the and is thus | |
| | | | determine whether the Affected System(s) determination of pote Affected System Ope | ovider, in coordination with the he Interconnection Request is at so as to potentially impact suc ential impact is made, the Distribu erator(s) to the scoping meeting b eduled scoping meeting as soon a | or near the bound h Affected System ution Provider share by informing them | ndary of an em(s). If a all invite the | |
| | | | | (Continued) | | | |
| | | serted b | | Issued by | | ted by Cal. PL | JC) |
| Advi Deci | ce ision | <u>3429-</u> 16-06 | | <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President | Date Filed Effective | Jul 8, 2016 Jul 8, 2016 | |

72C12



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 73 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - c. Distribution Group Study Process (Continued)
 - ii) Scoping Meeting (Continued)

The purpose of the scoping meeting shall be: (i) to discuss reasonable Commercial Operation Dates and alternative interconnection options; (ii) to exchange information, including any transmission data that would reasonably be expected to impact Applicant's interconnection options; (iii) to analyze such information; (iv) to determine feasible Points of Interconnection and eliminate alternatives given resources and available information; and (v) to advise Applicant of the expected start date of the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study.

Distribution Provider will bring to the scoping meeting, as reasonably necessary to accomplish its purpose, such already available technical data, including, but not limited to; (i) general facility loadings, (ii) general instability issues, (iii) general short circuit issues, (iv) general voltage issues, and (v) general reliability issues.

Applicant will bring to the scoping meeting, in addition to the technical data in Attachment A of the Rule 21 Exporting Generating Facility Interconnection Request form, any system studies previously performed. Distribution Provider, the CAISO, if applicable, and Applicant will also bring to the meeting personnel and other resources as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting in the time allocated for the meeting.

During the meeting, Applicant shall confirm its Point of Interconnection. The duration of the meeting shall be only what is sufficient to accomplish its purpose.

Within fifteen (15) Business Days after the scoping meeting, Distribution Provider shall provide Applicant with a Detailed Study Agreement, which shall contain an outline of the scope of the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study and DGS Phase II Interconnection Study, contain a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost to perform such studies, and shall specify that Applicant is responsible for the actual cost of the Interconnection Studies, including reasonable administrative costs. Applicant shall execute and deliver to Distribution Provider the Detailed Study Agreement no later than thirty (30) Business Days after the scoping meeting or the start date of the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study, whichever is earlier, or the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 74 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - c. Distribution Group Study Process (Continued)
 - iii) Grouping of Interconnection Requests for a Distribution Group Study

The results of Screen R will determine the Interconnection Requests to be grouped together for each Distribution Group Study. An Interconnection Request that failed Screen R will be grouped with other projects that are determined to be electrically interdependent through the application of Screen R. No later than the date a DGS Phase I Interconnection Study begins, Distribution Provider may send to each Applicant in a Distribution Study Group a list of the Interconnection Requests in its Distribution Study Group.

At the Distribution Provider's option, an Interconnection Request received during a particular Distribution Group Study Application window may be studied individually (Independent Study Process) or in a Distribution Group Study for the purpose of conducting one or more of the analyses forming the Interconnection Studies. For each Interconnection Study received within the same Distribution Group Study Application window, the Distribution Provider may develop one or more Distribution Study Groups.

iv) Timing of the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study

Absent extraordinary circumstances, Distribution Provider shall complete and issue a final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report within sixty (60) Business Days from the start of the study. If the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study indicates a need for Network Upgrades, Distribution Provider will share applicable study results with the CAISO for review and comment and will incorporate comments into the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

74C12

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



| | | | GENER | Rule 21 ATING FACILITY INTERCONNEC | Sheet 75 TIONS | (T) |
|---------------|----|---------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | (Continued) | | |
| F. | RE | VIEW | inued) | | | |
| | 3. | Detai | led Study Interconnection | on Review Process (Continued) | | |
| | | c. D | stribution Group Study | Process (Continued) | | |
| | | iv |) Timing of the DGS P | hase I Interconnection Study (Cont | inued) | |
| | | | frame for completing shall notify all Applic Phase I Interconnec | tion Provider determines that it wing the DGS Phase I Interconnection ants in the Distribution Study Grout ction Study and provide an estimation asons why additional time is require | n Study, Distribution Provide p as to the status of the DGS ated completion date with a | er S |
| | | | Study Group all Interconnection Req and dynamic/stabili relevant to the Interc Applicant may be re | ibution Provider shall provide any relevant supporting documentat uest and post-Interconnection Req ty databases, and currently pla connection Request for the DGS P quired to sign a non-disclosure agr arding Confidentiality. | ion, workpapers and pre uest power flow, short circu nned Distribution Upgrade hase I Interconnection Study | e- it S /. |
| | | | CAISO for review ar the study report prio | ution Provider will share the appl nd comment, and will incorporate (r to issuing a final DGS Phase I In tribution Study Group. | CAISO comments, if any, inte | 0 |
| | | V) | DGS Phase I Interco | nnection Study Results Meeting. | | |
| | | | results meeting shal and the Applicant to including assigned c Distribution Provide Applicant, Distributio If the Applicant or D completed within thi | pplicant in a Distribution Study Gro I be held among Distribution Provid discuss the results of the DGS Plost responsibility. Within five (5) Bur r shall contact Applicant to est n Provider and the CAISO, if applied istribution Provider has requested rty (30) Calendar Days after issuand dy report, unless otherwise agre- ant. | der, the CAISO, if applicable hase I Interconnection Study usiness Days of such request ablish a date agreeable to cable, for the results meeting a results meeting, it must be nce of the final DGS Phase | e, /, t, o g. e I |
| | | | | (Continued) | | |
| (To l Advi | | serted 342 | by utility) a-⊑ | Issued by Caroline Choi | (To be inserted by Cal. PL Date Filed Jul 8, 2016 | JC) |

Decision 16-06-052

Senior Vice President

Effective Jul 8, 2016 Resolution



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 76 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - c. Distribution Group Study Process (Continued)
 - v) DGS Phase I Interconnection Study Results Meeting. (Continued)

At the Phase I Interconnection Study results meeting, the Applicant shall provide a schedule outlining key milestones including environmental survey start date, expected environmental permitting submittal date, expected procurement date of project equipment, back-feed date for project construction, and expected project construction date. This will assist the parties in determining if proposed Commercial Operation Dates are reasonable. If large-scale Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades for the Generating Facility have been identified in the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study, such as telecommunications equipment, distribution feeders to support back feed, a new substation, and/or expanded substation work, permitting and material procurement lead times may result in the need to alter the proposed Commercial Operation Date, the Applicant and Distribution Provider may agree to a new Commercial Operation Date. In addition, where an Applicant intends to establish Commercial Operation separately for different Electric Generating Units or project phases at its Generating Facility, it may only do so in accordance with an implementation plan agreed to in advance by the Distribution Provider and the CAISO, if applicable, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld.

Where the parties cannot agree to a revised Commercial Operation Date, the Commercial Operation Date determined reasonable by the Distribution Provider will be used for the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study, or the Generator Interconnection Agreement (in accordance with Section F.3.e.iii) if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived in accordance with Section F.3.c.ix, where the revised Commercial Operation Date is needed to accommodate the anticipated completion, assuming Reasonable Efforts by the Distribution Provider of necessary Distribution Upgrades and/or Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, pending the outcome of any relief sought by the Applicant under Sections F.1.d. or K. The Applicant must notify the Distribution Provider within five (5) Business Days following the Results Meeting if it is initiating dispute procedures under Sections F.1.d. or K.

Within five (5) Business Days following the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study results meeting, the Applicant shall submit to the Distribution Provider all requested information. If no DGS Phase I Interconnection Study results meeting is held, Applicant shall submit to Distribution Provider any requested information within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the receipt of the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 77 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - c. Distribution Group Study Process (Continued)
 - vi) Initial Posting of Interconnection Financial Security.

Each Applicant in a Distribution Study Group shall make its initial posting of Interconnection Financial Security in accordance with the requirements of Section F.4.b, within sixty (60) Calendar Days after being provided with the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report, or its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn. The initial posting of Interconnection Financial Security will be based on the cost responsibility for Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, and Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities set forth in the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report.

vii) Modifications

At any time during the course of the Interconnection Studies, Applicant, Distribution Provider, or the CAISO, as applicable, may identify changes to the planned Interconnection that may improve the costs and benefits (including reliability) of the Interconnection, and the ability of the proposed change to accommodate the Interconnection Request. To the extent the identified changes are acceptable to Distribution Provider, the CAISO, as applicable, and Applicant, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, Distribution Provider shall modify the Point of Interconnection and/or configuration in accordance with such changes without altering the Interconnection Request's eligibility for participating in Interconnection Studies.

At the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study results meeting, if elected by Applicant or Distribution Provider, Applicant should be prepared to discuss any desired modifications to the Interconnection Request. After the publication of the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report, but no later than five (5) Business Days following the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study results meeting, Applicant shall submit to Distribution Provider, in writing, modifications to any information provided in the Interconnection Request. Distribution Provider will forward Applicant's request for modification to the CAISO, if applicable, within two (2) Business Days of receipt.

If no DGS Phase I Interconnection Study results meeting is held, Applicant shall submit to Distribution Provider any requested modifications within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the receipt of the final Phase I Interconnection Study report.

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 (Continued)

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 78 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - c. Distribution Group Study Process (Continued)
 - vii) Modifications (Continued)

Modifications permitted under this Section F.3.c.vii shall include specifically: (a) a decrease in the electrical output (MW) of the proposed Generating Facility; (b) modifying the technical parameters associated with the Generating Facility technology or the Generating Facility step-up transformer impedance characteristics; and (c) modifying the interconnection configuration. For any modifications other than those permitted above, Distribution Provider, in coordination with CAISO, if applicable, will evaluate whether the proposed modification to the interconnection request constitutes a Material Modification. Distribution Provider will inform Applicant in writing whether the modifications would constitute a Material Modification. Any change to the Point of Interconnection, except for that specified by Distribution Provider in an Interconnection Study or otherwise allowed under this Section F.3.c.vii, shall constitute a Material Modification.

If the proposed modification is determined to be a Material Modification, Applicant may either withdraw the proposed modification or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification. Applicant shall make such determination within ten (10) Business Days after being provided the Material Modification determination results.

Proposed modifications determined not to be Material Modifications may still necessitate the need to re-evaluate the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study to determine modifications to the Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades. Such re-evaluation will occur during the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

78C12

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 79 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - c. Distribution Group Study Process (Continued)
 - viii) Scope and Purpose of the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study and Study Deposit.

Within either (i) five (5) Business Days following the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study results meeting, or (ii) within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the receipt of the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report if no DGS Phase I Interconnection Study results meeting is held, Applicant shall submit to Distribution Provider the data required by Distribution Provider. Within thirty (30) Business Days of the issuance of the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report, for Generating Facilities 5 MW or less, Applicant shall submit the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study deposit, as set out in Section E.3.a, unless the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived in accordance with Section F.3.c.ix.

ix) Waiver of the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study

The DGS Phase II Interconnection Study may be waived if Distribution Provider and all Applicants included in the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study mutually agree to such waiver within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of the final DGS Phase I interconnection Study report. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after Distribution Provider and Applicants agree to waive the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study, Distribution Provider shall tender a draft Generator Interconnection Agreement, together with draft appendices, to Applicant. Applicant is responsible for all costs associated with Parallel Operation to support the safe and reliable operation of the Distribution and Transmission System as set forth in Section E.4. Refer to Section F.3.e for cost responsibility and time frames for completing the Generator Interconnection Agreement.

x) DGS Phase II Interconnection Study Procedures


Distribution Provider shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable in conducting the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study. The Distribution Provider shall commence the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the issuance of the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report.

Distribution Provider shall complete and distribute to Applicants the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study reports within sixty (60) Business Days after the commencement of each DGS Phase II Interconnection Study. The Distribution Provider will issue a final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study report to Applicant.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>








| | | | | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 81 | (T) |
|--|---|--------|----------------|--|---|-----|
| F. | (Continued) F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued) | | | | | |
| | 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued) | | | | | |
| | 0. | | | ribution Group Study Process (Continued) | | |
| | | C. | | | D. | |
| | | | xi) | DGS Phase II Interconnection Study Results Meeting (Continu | ed) | |
| | | | | Distribution Provider shall tender a draft Generator Intercompursuant to F.3.e.i. Refer to Section F.3.e for cost responsibilities for completing the Generator Interconnection Agreement. | - | |
| | | | xii) | Timing of the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study. | | |
| | | | | At any time Distribution Provider determines that it will not time frame for completing the DGS Phase II Interconnection Distribution Provider shall notify each Applicant in the Distribution in writing as to the status of the DGS Phase II Interconnection provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of additional time is required. | on Facilities Study, oution Study Group nection Study and | |
| | | | xiii) | Second and Third Postings of Interconnection Financial Secu | rity | |
| Each Applicant in a Distribution Study Group will post its second and thir postings of Interconnection Financial Security as set forth in Sections F.4.c an F.4.d based on the cost responsibility for Network Upgrades, Distributio Upgrades, and Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities set forth in th final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study, or the final DGS Phase Interconnection Study if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived i accordance with Section F.3.c.ix. | | | | Sections F.4.c and rades, Distribution ies set forth in the al DGS Phase I | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | (Continued) | | |
| /T ~ - | | 0.0 mt | ad by | | | |
| Advid | | | эа бу 429-Е | | e inserted by Cal. PU Filed Jul 8, 2016 | 0) |
| Decision 16-06-052 | | | 6-06-0 | 052 Senior Vice President Effect | tive Jul 8, 2016 | |

81C12

Effective Jul 8, 2016 Resolution





82C12



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 83 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - d. Transmission Cluster Study Process

If Applicant's Interconnection Request fails Screen Q or elects to be studied under the Transmission Cluster Study Process, Applicant shall have the option of applying for Interconnection under the Transmission Cluster Study Process of the WDAT in accordance with its provisions. If Applicant fails Screen Q, Applicant's Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn under this Rule regardless of whether Applicant applies for Interconnection under the WDAT.

An Applicant that chooses to apply under the Transmission Cluster Study Process of the WDAT must file a valid Interconnection Request and post the applicable study deposit as set out in Distribution Provider's WDAT. If Applicant chooses to apply under the WDAT, then Applicant's Interconnection Request will be subject to the terms of Distribution Provider's WDAT applicable to the Transmission Cluster Study Process, including those provisions establishing cost responsibility. Upon completion of the Transmission Cluster Study Process under the WDAT, Applicants that are eligible for a State-jurisdictional Interconnection can, in accordance with the WDAT, either execute the applicable Commission-approved Rule 21 Generator Interconnection Agreement for Exporting Generating Facilities or the WDAT Generator Interconnection Agreement. Such Commission-approved Generator Interconnection Agreement for Exporting Facilities will include the cost responsibility established in the Transmission Cluster Study.

If and when an Applicant submits a new interconnection request under the WDAT, Applicant is under the jurisdiction of FERC. On the date the applicable Commissionapproved Rule 21 Generator Interconnection Agreement for Exporting Generating Facilities is executed by Applicant, or Producer where those are different entities, and Distribution Provider, jurisdiction over the Interconnection reverts back to the Commission.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet 84 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)** 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued) e. Generator Interconnection Agreement for Detailed Studies i) Tender The Distribution Provider shall tender a draft Generator Interconnection Agreement, together with draft appendices within thirty (30) Calendar days of the following: 1) Agreement by the Distribution Provider and Applicant to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study in accordance with Section F.3.b.vii. 2) Issuance of the final Interconnection Facilities Study report (or results meeting, if held) to Applicant. Agreement by the Distribution Provider and all Applicants included in a 3) DGS Phase I Interconnection Study to waive the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study in accordance with Section F.3.c.ix. 4) Issuance of the final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study report to each Applicant in the Distribution Study Group (or results meeting, if held). Applicant(s) shall provide written comments, or notification of no comments, to the draft appendices within thirty (30) Calendar Days.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 85 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - e. Generator Interconnection Agreement for Detailed Study (Continue)
 - ii) Negotiation

Notwithstanding Section F.3.e.i, at the request of Applicant, Distribution Provider shall begin negotiations with Applicant concerning the appendices to the Generator Interconnection Agreement at any time after Distribution Provider provides Applicant with the final Interconnection Facilities Study report (or final Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived) or final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study report (or the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived) in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process. Distribution Provider and Applicant shall negotiate concerning any disputed provisions of the appendices to the draft Generator Interconnection Agreement for not more than ninety (90) Calendar Days after Distribution Provider provides Applicant with the final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study report (or the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived) in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process or the final Interconnection Facilities Study report (or final Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived) in the case of the Independent Study Process. Producers whose Interconnection Requests were studied in a Distribution Group Study Process will be required to fund upgrades triggered by more than one Interconnection Request in accordance with a payment schedule that allows such upgrades to be completed in time for the earliest Commercial Operation Date of such Interconnection Requests. Producer is responsible for all costs associated with Parallel Operation to support the safe and reliable operation of the Distribution System and Transmission System as set forth in Section E.4.

If Applicant determines that negotiations are at an impasse, it may request termination of the negotiations at any time after tender of the draft Generator Interconnection Agreement pursuant to Section F.3.e.i and initiate Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to Section K. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, if Applicant or Producer, where those are different entities, has not executed the Generator Interconnection Agreement, or initiated Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to Section K, within ninety (90) Calendar Days after issuance of the final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study report (or the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study report of the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study report (or final Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived) in the case of the Independent Study Process, it shall be deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 86 (T)

(Continued) F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Interconnection Review Process (Continued)
 - e. Generator Interconnection Agreement for Detailed Study (Continue)
 - iii) Extensions of Commercial Operation Date.

The Commercial Operation Date will be agreed upon in the executed Generator Interconnection Agreement. Reasonable Commercial Operation Dates will be discussed at the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study results meeting, or the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study results meeting if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study results meeting is waived, in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process, the Interconnection Facilities Study results meeting, or the Interconnection System Impact Study results meeting if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived in the case of the Independent Study Process. A request for an extension of Commercial Operation date after the Generator Interconnection Agreement is executed will be agreed to provided that, the Producer is still responsible for funding any Distribution Upgrades and Network Upgrades as specified in the Generator Interconnection Agreement and under the same payment schedule agreed upon in the Generator Interconnection Agreement. This provision has no impact on any power purchase agreement terms.

f. Engineering & Procurement (E&P) Agreement

Prior to executing a Generator Interconnection Agreement, in order to advance the implementation of its interconnection, an Applicant may request, and Distribution Provider shall offer, an E&P Agreement that authorizes Distribution Provider to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection. However, Distribution Provider shall not be obligated to offer an E&P Agreement if Applicant is in Dispute Resolution as a result of an allegation that Applicant has failed to meet any milestones or comply with any prerequisites specified in other parts of this Rule. The E&P Agreement is an optional procedure. The E&P Agreement shall provide for Applicant to pay the cost of all activities authorized by Applicant and to make advance payments or provide other satisfactory security for such costs.

Applicant shall pay the cost of such authorized activities and any cancellation costs for equipment that is already ordered for its interconnection, which cannot be mitigated as hereafter described, whether or not such items or equipment later become unnecessary. If Applicant withdraws its Interconnection Request, or either Applicant or Distribution Provider terminates the E&P Agreement, to the extent the equipment ordered can be canceled under reasonable terms, Applicant shall be obligated to pay the associated cancellation costs. To the extent that the equipment cannot be reasonably canceled, Distribution Provider may elect: (i) to take title to the equipment, in which event Distribution Provider shall refund Applicant any amounts paid by Applicant for such equipment and shall pay the cost of delivery of such equipment, or (ii) to transfer title to and deliver such equipment to Applicant, in which event Applicant shall pay any unpaid balance and cost of delivery of such equipment.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052


Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet 87 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)** 4. Interconnection Financial Security a. Types of Interconnection Financial Security. The Interconnection Financial Security posted by an Applicant may be any combination of the following types of Interconnection Financial Security provided in favor of Distribution Provider: i) an irrevocable and unconditional letter of credit issued by a bank or financial institution that has a credit rating of A or better by Standard and Poor's or A2 or better by Moody's; ii) an unconditional and irrevocable guaranty issued by a company that has a credit rating of A or better by Standard and Poor's or A2 or better by Moody's; iii) a cash deposit standing to the credit of Distribution Provider and in an interestbearing escrow account maintained at a bank or financial institution that is reasonably acceptable to Distribution Provider; Interconnection Financial Security instruments as listed above shall be in such form as Distribution Provider may reasonably require from time to time by notice to Applicants, or in such other form as has been evaluated and approved as reasonably acceptable by Distribution Provider. Distribution Provider shall require the use of standardized forms of Interconnection Financial Security to the greatest extent possible. If at any time the guarantor of the Interconnection Financial Security fails to maintain the credit rating required by this Section F.4.a, Applicant shall provide to Distribution Provider replacement Interconnection Financial Security meeting the requirements of this Section F.4.a within five (5) Business Days of the change in credit rating. Interest on a cash deposit standing to the credit of Distribution Provider in an interestbearing escrow account under subpart (iii) of this Section F.4.a will accrue to Applicant's benefit. (Continued) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by

Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President





(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3429-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

88C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 89 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 4. Interconnection Financial Security (Continued)
 - c. Second Posting of Interconnection Financial Security

On or before one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after issuance of the final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study report (or final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived) or final Interconnection Facilities Study report (or final Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived in the case of the Independent Study Process), Applicant shall post two separate Interconnection Financial Security instruments.

First, Applicant proposing to interconnect a Large Generating Facility shall post an Interconnection Financial Security instrument such that the total Interconnection Financial Security posted by Applicant for Network Upgrades equals the lesser of (i) \$15 million, or (ii) thirty percent (30%) of the total cost responsibility assigned to Applicant for Network Upgrades in either the final Interconnection System Impact Study (final DGS Phase I Interconnection Facilities Study (final DGS Phase I Interconnection Facilities Study (final DGS Phase II interconnection Facilities Study (final DGS Phase II interconnection Study in the case of the Distribution Group Study in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process), whichever is lower.

Applicant proposing to interconnect a Small Generating Facility shall post an Interconnection Financial Security instrument such that the total Interconnection Financial Security posted by Applicant for Network Upgrades equals the lesser of (i) \$1 million, or (ii) thirty percent (30%) of the total cost responsibility assigned to Applicant for Network Upgrades in either the final Interconnection System Impact Study or final Interconnection Facilities Study (final DGS Phase I or final DGS Phase II Interconnection Studies, respectively, for the Distribution Group Study Process), whichever is lower.

Second, Applicant shall also post an Interconnection Financial Security instrument such that the total Interconnection Financial Security posted by Applicant for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades equals thirty percent (30%) of the total cost responsibility assigned to Applicant in the final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study (or final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study if the DGS Phase II Interconnection study is waived) in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process, or final Interconnection Facilities Study (or final Interconnection System Impact Study if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived) in the case of the Independent Study Process, for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Sheet 90 (T) Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS** (Continued) 4 Interconnection Financial Security (Continued) c. Second Posting of Interconnection Financial Security (Continued) If the start date for Construction Activities of Network Upgrades, Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades on behalf of Applicant is prior to one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after issuance of the final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study report (or final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study report if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived) in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process or final Interconnection Facilities Study report (or final Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived) in the case of the Independent Study Process, that start date must be set forth in Applicant's Generator Interconnection Agreement and Applicant shall make its second posting of Interconnection Financial Security pursuant to Section F.4.d rather than Section F.4.c. The failure by an Applicant to timely post the Interconnection Financial Security required by this Section F.4.c shall result in the Interconnection Request being deemed withdrawn and subject to Section F.6 or, if applicable, shall constitute grounds for termination of the Generator Interconnection Agreement. d. Third Posting of Interconnection Financial Security. On or before the start of Construction Activities for Network Upgrades or Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades on behalf of Applicant, whichever is earlier, Applicant shall modify the two separate Interconnection Financial Security instruments posted as follows. With respect to the Interconnection Financial Security instrument for Network Upgrades, Applicant shall modify this instrument so that it equals one hundred percent (100%) of the total cost responsibility assigned to Applicant for Network Upgrades in the final DGS Phase II Interconnection Study (or the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived) in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process or final Interconnection Facilities Study (or the final Interconnection System Impact Study if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived) in the case of the Independent Study Process. With respect to the Interconnection Financial Security instrument for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades, Applicant shall modify this instrument so that it equals one hundred percent (100%) of the total cost responsibility assigned to Applicant for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades in the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study (or the final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived) in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process or final Interconnection Facilities Study (or the final Interconnection System Impact Study if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived) in the case of the Independent Study Process. The failure by an Applicant to timely post the Interconnection Financial Security required by this Section F.4.d shall constitute grounds for termination of the Generator Interconnection Agreement. (Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3429-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

90C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 91 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 4. Interconnection Financial Security (Continued)
 - e. General Effect of Withdrawal of Interconnection Request or Termination of the Generator Interconnection Agreement on Interconnection Financial Security for Interconnection Requests Studied Under the Independent Study Process.

Except as set forth in Section F.4.e.i, withdrawal of an Interconnection Request or termination of a Generator Interconnection Agreement shall allow Distribution Provider to liquidate the Interconnection Financial Security, or balance thereof, posted by Applicant for Network Upgrades at the time of withdrawal. To the extent the amount of the liquidated Interconnection Financial Security plus capital, if any, separately provided by Applicant to satisfy its obligation to finance Network Upgrades in accordance with Section E.4 exceeds the total cost responsibility for Network Upgrades assigned to Applicant by the final Interconnection Facilities Study, or the final Interconnection System Impact Study if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived, Distribution Provider shall remit to Applicant the excess amount.

Withdrawal of an Interconnection Request or termination of a Generator Interconnection Agreement shall result in the release to Applicant of any Interconnection Financial Security posted by Applicant for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades, except with respect to any amounts necessary to pay for costs incurred or irrevocably committed by Distribution Provider on behalf of Applicant for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades and for which Distribution Provider has not been reimbursed.

 Conditions for Partial Recovery of Interconnection Financial Security Upon Withdrawal of Interconnection Request or Termination of Generator Interconnection Agreement.

A portion of the Interconnection Financial Security shall be released to Applicant, consistent with Section F.4.e.ii, if the withdrawal of the Interconnection Request or termination of the Generator Interconnection Agreement occurs for any of the following reasons:

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 92 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 4. Interconnection Financial Security (Continued)
 - e. General Effect of Withdrawal... (Continued)
 - i) Conditions for Partial Recovery... (Continued)
 - (1) Failure to Secure a Power Purchase Agreement.

At the time of withdrawal of the Interconnection Request or termination of the Generator Interconnection Agreement, Applicant demonstrates to Distribution Provider that it has failed to secure an acceptable power purchase agreement for the energy or capacity of the Generating Facility after a good faith effort to do so. A good faith effort can be established by demonstrating participation in a competitive solicitation process or bilateral negotiations with an entity other than an Affiliate that progressed, at minimum, to the mutual exchange by all counter-parties of proposed term sheets.

(2) Failure to Secure a Necessary Permit.

At the time of withdrawal of the Interconnection Request or termination of the Generator Interconnection Agreement, Applicant demonstrates to Distribution Provider that it has received a final denial from the primary issuing Governmental Authority of any permit or other authorization necessary for the construction or operation of the Generating Facility.

(3) Increase in the Cost of Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades.

Applicant withdraws the Interconnection Request or terminates the Generator Interconnection Agreement based on an increase of: (i) more than 30% or \$300,000, whichever is greater, in the estimated cost of Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities; or (ii) more than 30% or \$300,000, whichever is greater, in the estimated cost of Distribution Upgrades allocated to Applicant from the Interconnection System Impact Study to the Interconnection Facilities Study. This Section F.4.e.i.(3) shall not apply if the cause of the cost increase under (i) or (ii) above is the result of a change requested by Applicant pursuant to Section F.3.b.v.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Sheet 93 (T) Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)** 4. Interconnection Financial Security (Continued) e. General Effect of Withdrawal... (Continued) i) Conditions for Partial Recovery... (Continued) Material Change in Applicant's Interconnection Facilities Created by Distribution (4) Provider's Change in the Point of Interconnection. Applicant withdraws the Interconnection Request or terminates the Generator Interconnection Agreement based on a material change from the Interconnection System Impact Study in the Point of Interconnection for the Generating Facility mandated by Distribution Provider and included in the final A material change in the Point of Interconnection Facilities Study. Interconnection shall be where the Point of Interconnection has moved to (i) a different substation, (ii) a different line on a different right of way, or (iii) a materially different location than previously identified on the same line. ii) Schedule for Determining Non-Refundable Portion of the Interconnection Financial Security for Network Upgrades. (1)Up to One Hundred Twenty Calendar Days (120) after the final Interconnection Facilities Study report (or final Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Facilities Study is Waived). If, at any time after the initial posting of the Interconnection Financial Security for Network Upgrades under Section F.4.b and on or before one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after the date of issuance of the final Interconnection Facilities Study report (or final Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived), Applicant withdraws the Interconnection Request or terminates the Generator Interconnection Agreement, as applicable, in accordance with Section F.4.e.i, Distribution Provider shall liquidate the Interconnection Financial Security for Network Upgrades under Section F.4.b and reimburse Applicant in an amount of (i) any posted amount less fifty percent (50%) of the value of the posted Interconnection Financial Security for Network Upgrades (with a maximum of \$10,000 per requested and approved MW value of the Generating Facility Capacity at the time of withdrawal being retained by Distribution Provider), or (ii) if the Interconnection Financial Security has been drawn down to finance Pre-Construction Activities for Network Upgrades on behalf of Applicant, the lesser of the remaining balance of the Interconnection Financial Security or the amount calculated under (i) above. If Applicant has separately provided capital apart from the Interconnection Financial Security to finance Pre-Construction Activities for Network Upgrades, Distribution Provider will credit the capital provided as if drawn from the Interconnection Financial Security and apply (ii) above. (Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3429-EDecision16-06-052

93C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 94 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 4. Interconnection Financial Security (Continued)
 - e. General Effect of Withdrawal... (Continued)
 - ii) Schedule for Determining Non-Refundable Portion of the Interconnection Financial Security for Network Upgrades.
 - (2) Between One Hundred Twenty-One (121) Calendar Days and After Final Interconnection Facilities Study Report and the Commencement of Construction Activities.

If, at any time between one hundred twenty-one (121) Calendar Days and after the date of issuance of the final Interconnection Facilities Study report (or final Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Facilities Study is waived), and the commencement of Construction Activities for either Network Upgrades or Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades, Applicant withdraws the Interconnection Request or terminates the Generator Interconnection Agreement, as applicable, in accordance with Section F.4.e.i, Distribution Provider shall liquidate the Interconnection Financial Security for Network Upgrades under Section F.4.c and reimburse Applicant in an amount of (i) any posted amounts less fifty percent (50%) of the value of the posted Interconnection Financial Security for Network Upgrades (with a maximum of \$20,000 per requested and approved MW value of the Generating Facility Capacity at the time of withdrawal being retained by Distribution Provider), or, (ii) if the Interconnection Financial Security has been drawn down to finance Pre-Construction Activities for Network Upgrades on behalf of Applicant, the lesser of the remaining balance of the Interconnection Financial Security or the amount calculated under (i) above. If Applicant has separately provided capital apart from the Interconnection Financial Security to finance Pre-Construction Activities for Network Upgrades, Distribution Provider will credit the capital provided as if drawn from the Interconnection Financial Security and apply (ii) above.

(3) After Commencement of Construction Activities.

Once Construction Activities on Network Upgrades on behalf of Applicant commence, any withdrawal of the Interconnection Request or termination of the Generator Interconnection Agreement by Applicant will be treated in accordance with this Section F.4.e.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 94C12 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 95 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 4. Interconnection Financial Security (Continued)
 - e. General Effect of Withdrawal... (Continued)
 - ii) Schedule for Determining Non-Refundable Portion of the Interconnection Financial Security for Network Upgrades.
 - (4) Notification and Accounting by Distribution Provider.

Distribution Provider will notify Applicant within three (3) Business Days of liquidating any Interconnection Financial Security. Within seventy-five (75) Calendar Days of any liquidating event, Distribution Provider will provide Applicant with an accounting of the disposition of the proceeds of the liquidated Interconnection Financial Security and all proceeds not otherwise reimbursed to Applicant or applied to costs incurred or irrevocably committed by Distribution Provider on behalf of Applicant in accordance with this Section F.4.e shall be applied as directed by the Commission. Where an Applicant with remaining proceeds from Interconnection Financial Security cannot be located, such remaining proceeds shall escheat to the State pursuant to the Unclaimed Property Law commencing with the California Code of Civil Procedure § 1500.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

95C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 96 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 4. Interconnection Financial Security (Continued)
 - f. General Effect of Withdrawal of Interconnection Request or Termination of the generator Interconnection Agreement on Interconnection Financial Security for Interconnection Requests Studied Under the Distribution Group Study Process.

Withdrawal of an Interconnection Request or termination of a Generator Interconnection Agreement shall allow Distribution Provider to liquidate the Interconnection Financial Security, or balance thereof, posted by Applicant for Network Upgrades or Distribution Upgrades at the time of withdrawal. To the extent the amount of the liquidated Interconnection Financial Security plus capital, if any, separately provided by Applicant to satisfy its obligation to finance Network Upgrades or Distribution Upgrades in accordance with Section E.4 exceeds the total cost responsibility for Network Upgrades or Distribution Upgrades assigned to Applicant by the final DGS Phase II Interconnection study (or final DGS Phase I Interconnection Study if the DGS Phase II Interconnection Study is waived), Distribution Provider shall remit to Applicant the excess amount.

Withdrawal of an Interconnection Request or termination of a Generator Interconnection Agreement shall result in the release to Applicant of any Interconnection Financial Security posted by Applicant for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, except with respect to any amounts necessary to pay for costs incurred or irrevocably committed by Distribution Provider on behalf of Applicant for Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and for which Distribution Provider has not been reimbursed.

i) Notification and Accounting by Distribution Provider.

Distribution Provider will notify Applicant within three (3) Business Days of liquidating any Interconnection Financial Security. Within seventy-five (75) Calendar Days of any liquidating event, Distribution Provider will provide Applicant with an accounting of the disposition of the proceeds of the liquidated Interconnection Financial Security and all proceeds not otherwise reimbursed to Applicant or applied to costs incurred or irrevocably committed by Distribution Provider on behalf of Applicant in accordance with this Section F.4.f. Where an Applicant with remaining proceeds from Interconnection Financial Security cannot be located, such remaining proceeds shall escheat to the State pursuant to the Unclaimed Property Law commencing with the California Code of Civil Procedure § 1500.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 97 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 5. Commissioning Testing and Parallel Operation
 - a. Commissioning Testing

Producer Arranges for and Completes Commissioning Testing of Generating Facility and Producer's Interconnection Facilities: Producer is responsible for testing new Generating Facilities and associated Interconnection Facilities according to Section L.5 to ensure compliance with the safety and reliability provisions of this Rule prior to being operated in parallel with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. For non-Certified Equipment, Producer shall develop a written testing plan to be submitted to Distribution Provider for its review and acceptance. Alternatively, Producer and Distribution Provider may agree to have Distribution Provider conduct the required testing at Producer's expense. Where applicable, the test plan shall include the installation test procedures published by the manufacturer of the Generating Facility or Interconnection Facilities. Facility testing shall be conducted at a mutually agreeable time, and depending on who conducts the test, Distribution Provider or Producer shall be given the opportunity to witness the tests.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3429-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> 97C12

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 98 (T)

(Continued)

F. <u>REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS</u> (Continued)

- 5. Commissioning Testing and Parallel Operation (Continued)
 - b. Parallel Operation or Momentary Parallel Operation

Producer shall not commence Parallel Operation of its Generating Facility with Distribution Provider's system unless it has received Distribution Provider's express written permission to do so. Distribution Provider shall authorize Producer's Generating Facility for Parallel Operation or Momentary Parallel Operation with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System, in writing, within five (5) Business Days of satisfactory compliance with the terms of all applicable agreements. Compliance may include, but not be limited to, provision of any required documentation and satisfactorily completing any required inspections or tests as described herein or in the agreements formed between Producer and Distribution Provider.

6. Withdrawal

Applicant may withdraw its Interconnection Request at any time by written notice of such withdrawal to Distribution Provider. In addition, after receipt of the Interconnection Request, if Applicant fails to adhere to the requirements and timelines of this tariff, except as provided in Section K (Disputes), Distribution Provider shall deem the Interconnection Request to be withdrawn and shall provide written notice to Applicant of the deemed withdrawal within five (5) Business Days and an explanation of the reasons for such deemed withdrawal. Upon receipt of such written notice, Applicant shall have five (5) Business Days in which to either respond with information or action that either cures the deficiency or supports its position that the deemed withdrawal was erroneous and notifies Distribution Provider of its intent to pursue Dispute Resolution. If Applicant cures the deficiency or supports its position that the deemed withdrawal was erroneous, Applicant shall not lose its Queue Position established pursuant to Section E.5.

Withdrawal shall result in the removal of the Interconnection Request from the Interconnection Study process. If Applicant disputes the withdrawal and removal from the Interconnection Study process and has elected to pursue Dispute Resolution as set forth in Section K, Applicant's Interconnection Request is not required to be considered in any ongoing Interconnection Study during the Dispute Resolution process.

In the event of such withdrawal, Distribution Provider, subject to the provisions in Section D.7 and Sections E.3.a, as applicable, shall provide, at Applicant's request, all information that Distribution Provider developed for any completed study conducted up to the date of withdrawal of the Interconnection Request.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3429-E Decision 16-06-052 98C12 Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. 59956-E Cal. PUC Sheet No.

| <u>Rule 21</u> |
|--------------------------------------|
| GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS |

Sheet 99 (N)

(Continued)

F. **REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)**

Cost Envelope Option 7.

> Interconnection Requests for Generating Facilities evaluated under the Fast Track Process or Independent Study Process may qualify for the Cost Envelope Option described in this Section F.7 provided the requirements set forth in subsection (a) below are met. As further described below, under the Cost Envelope Option, Distribution Provider will prepare a Cost Envelope Estimate. The Cost Envelope Estimate will be based on the Applicant's estimated costs to interconnect a Generating Facility and will identify which elements of the estimated costs are subject to the Cost Envelope, and which are excluded from the Cost Envelope. Should an Applicant decide to proceed to a Generator Interconnection Agreement following receipt of the Cost Envelope Estimate in accordance with Section F.2.e for the Fast Track Process, or Section F.3.e.i for the Independent Study Process, the Generator Interconnection Agreement shall include cost responsibility for required upgrades based upon the Cost Envelope Estimate.

- a. Eligibility for Cost Envelope Option
 - i) Eligibility of Interconnection Requests Under the Fast Track Process

Interconnection Requests processed under the Fast Track Process are eligible for the Cost Envelope Option if all of the following criteria are met:

- (1) Applicant selects the Cost Envelope Option in its Interconnection Request and provides the additional required information specified therein for the Cost Envelope Option; and
- (2) The Interconnection Request passes the Fast Track Process evaluation pursuant to Section F.2.; and
- (3) Applicant provides Distribution Provider the Cost Envelope Option deposit of \$2,500 within ten (10) Business Days following receipt of the Distribution Provider's non-binding cost estimate provided in accordance with Sections F.2.a, F.2.b, F.2.c.iii, or F.2.d, as applicable. The Cost Envelope Option deposit of \$2,500 is subject to adjustment upon mutual agreement of the Distribution Provider and Applicant.

In the event it is determined under the Initial Review or Supplemental Review that i) there are no Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades required for interconnection of the Generating Facility, or ii) there are no Distribution Provider Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades required for interconnection of the Generating Facility for which Applicant bears cost responsibility, Applicant's election of the Cost Envelope Option shall be considered not applicable and shall be deemed withdrawn. In such event, the Interconnection Request shall continue to be processed in accordance with the Fast Track Process.

| | | (•••••••••• |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | | |
| (To be ins | erted by utility) | Issued by |
| Advice | 3458-E | Caroline Choi |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | Senior Vice President |
| 99C17 | | |

(Continued) Issued by

Date Filed Effective Resolution

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Aug 22, 2016 Jun 8, 2017 E-4850



Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. Cal. PUC Sheet No.

| ROSE | emead, Cal | liomia | (U 338-E) | Cancelling | Cal. PUC Sheet No. | |
|------|------------|---|--|---|---|-----|
| | | | <u>GENERATII</u> | Rule 21 NG FACILITY INTERCONNEC | Sheet 100 CTIONS | (N) |
| F. | REVIEW | PROCES | SS FOR INTERCO | (Continued) NNECTION REQUESTS (Con | ntinued) | |
| | 7. Cost | t Envelop | e Option (Continue | ed) | | |
| | i | ii) Eligit | oility of Interconnec | tion Requests Under the Indep | pendent Study Process | |
| | | | | processed under the Indepe e Option if all of the following o | | |
| | | а | | ne Cost Envelope Option in it additional required informatior on; and | | |
| | | • • • | | n Request qualifies for evaluat uant to Section F.3.a; and | tion under the Independent | |
| | | S | Study are complete | System Impact Study and d for the Interconnection Rec i, respectively; and | | |
| | | N E ti \$ | /W or smaller or Distribution Provide imeframe set forth | EM-1 or NEM-2 Generating F Solar ≤1 MW Generating F r the Cost Envelope Option d in Section F.3.b.vi. The Cost o adjustment upon mutual age ant. | Facility, Applicant provides leposit of \$2,500 within the Envelope Option deposit of | |
| | b. | Cost Res | ponsibility Under th | ne Cost Envelope Option | | |
| | i |) Cost | Responsibility for F | Facilities Subject to Cost Envel | lope | |
| | | Facili range facilit for th subje the e actua exce cost Distri amou | ities and/or Distrib e of plus or minus ities identified in the nat portion of the ect to the Cost Enve stimated cost, and al cost of such Inte eds twenty-five (25 responsibility for bution Upgrades sunt determined as | ensible for the actual cost of the ution Upgrades subject to the twenty-five (25) percent of t e Cost Envelope Estimate. Ap Interconnection Facilities and relope shall be capped at twen Applicant shall not be respon- erconnection Facilities and/or b) percent of the estimate. Co the portion of the Interco subject to the Cost Envelope twenty-five (25) percent belo I cost of such Interconnection I | e Cost Envelope within the the estimated cost of such oplicant's cost responsibility d/or Distribution Upgrades nty-five (25) percent above nsible for the portion of the Distribution Upgrades that correspondingly, Applicant's onnection Facilities and/or shall not be less than the ow the estimated cost, and | |
| 1 | | | | | | |

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3458-EDecision16-06-052100C19

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u>

Senior Vice President

(Continued)



An EDISON INTERNATIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. 59958-E Cal. PUC Sheet No.

| | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 101 | (N) | | |
|---|--|---------------|--------|--|--|
| (Continued) F. REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 7. Cost Envel | 7. Cost Envelope Option (Continued) | | | | |
| | Distribution Upgrades that is below twenty-five (25) percent of the estimate shall not be refundable to Applicant. | | | | |
| ii) Co | ost Responsibility for Facilities Not Subject to Cost Envelope | | | | |
| Fa | oplicant shall be responsible for the actual cost of the portion of I acilities and/or Distribution Upgrades that are not subject to the ad the actual cost of Network Upgrades in accordance with Section | Cost Envelope | | | |
| c. Timing | g of Applicant's Selection of Cost Envelope Option and Payment | of Deposit | | | |
| se the Int pro- ter es for de no Up Op elig the Int Se no Fa Su | (i) For Interconnection Requests under the Fast Track Process, Applicant must select the Cost Envelope Option in its Interconnection Request to be eligible for the Cost Envelope Option, in accordance with Section F.7.a.i. In addition, for Interconnection Requests that pass the Fast Track Process, Applicant must provide the Distribution Provider a \$2,500 Cost Envelope Option deposit within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the Distribution Provider's non-binding cost estimate for the Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades required for interconnection. If Applicant fails to provide the Cost Envelope Option deposit within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the Distribution Provider's non-binding cost estimate for the Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Provider's non-binding cost estimate for the Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Provider's non-binding cost estimate for the Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades required for interconnection, Applicant's request for the Cost Envelope Option shall be deemed withdrawn and the Interconnection Request shall not be eligible for the Cost Envelope Option deposit within the required timeframe, a Generator Interconnection Agreement may be requested by Applicant in accordance with Section F.2.e, and such Generator Interconnection Agreement will reflect the non-binding cost estimate for the Distribution Provider's required Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades provide during the Initial or Supplemental Review, and Applicant shall be responsible for the actual cost of such upgrades. | | | | |
| (ii) For Interconnection Requests under the Independent Study Process, Applicar must select the Cost Envelope Option in its Interconnection Request to b eligible for the Cost Envelope Option, in accordance with Section F.7.a.ii. In the case of a NEM-1 or NEM-2 Generating Facility with a capacity of 1 MW of smaller or Solar ≤1 MW Generating Facility, Applicant must also provid Distribution Provider the Cost Envelope Option deposit of \$2,500 following completion of the Interconnection System Impact Study within the timeframe set forth in Section F.3.b.vi. | | | | | |
| | (Continued) | | | | |
| (To be inserted by utili Advice <u>3458-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> 101C20 | Caroline Choi Date File | Jun 8, 2017 | >) | | |



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 102 (N)

(Continued)

F. REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)

7. Cost Envelope Option (Continued)

An Applicant shall withdraw its request for the Cost Envelope Option following the Distribution Provider's completion of the Interconnection System Impact Study in the event the Distribution Provider and Applicant agree to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study in accordance with Section F.3.b.vii, by so indicating on Attachment B of the Detailed Study Agreement, or for NEM-1 or NEM-2 Generating Facilities by providing Distribution Provider written notice of such withdrawal prior to commencement of the Interconnection Facilities Study in accordance with Section F.3.b.vi.

d. Distribution Provider's Preparation of the Cost Envelope Estimate

For Interconnection Requests evaluated under the Fast Track Process, Distribution Provider shall complete and issue to Applicant the Cost Envelope Estimate within twenty (20) Business Days following Distribution Provider's receipt of the Cost Envelope Option deposit required pursuant to Section F.7.a.i.3. For Interconnection Requests evaluated under the Independent Study Process, the Cost Envelope Estimate will be developed as part of the Interconnection Facilities Study and will be included in the Interconnection Facilities Study report in accordance with Section F.3.b.viii.

Applicant shall be responsible for the actual cost of development of the Cost Envelope Estimate.

If full payment for the required Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades identified in the Cost Envelope Estimate has not been paid by Applicant to the Distribution Provider within two hundred ten (210) Calendar Days from the date Distribution Provider provides the Cost Envelope Estimate to Applicant, the Cost Envelope Estimate shall be subject to re-evaluation and adjustment by the Distribution Provider at Applicant's expense.

e. Cost Elements Not Subject to Cost Envelope

Any and all costs of required environmental studies, environmental mitigation, permits, and/or easements related to the construction and installation of Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades shall not be subject to the Cost Envelope. Such costs shall be the responsibility of Applicant based on the actual cost incurred by the Distribution Provider.

Any and all costs of required Network Upgrades shall not be subject to the Cost Envelope. Such costs shall be the responsibility of Applicant based on the actual cost incurred by the Distribution Provider.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E-A Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 103 (P)

(Continued)

F. REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)

7. Cost Envelope Option (Continued)

The cost of any Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades triggered by one or more earlier-queued Interconnection Requests that are also required for interconnection of a later-queued Generating Facility under the Cost Envelope Option shall not be subject to the Cost Envelope. In the event one or more of the earlier-queued projects withdraws (or is delayed to the extent the upgrade will not be completed in time to meet the later-queued Generating Facility's requested In-Service Date) all, or a portion, of Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades may become the responsibility of the later-queued Generating Facility. Upon such an occurrence, the Generator Interconnection Agreement shall be amended to reflect the Applicant's responsibility for such Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities and/or Distribution Upgrades based on actual costs unless Applicant requests in writing, within ten (10) Business Days of Applicant's receipt of Distribution Provider's non-binding estimated cost of such required facilities, that it elects to include such facilities in the Cost Envelope. Within ten (10) Business Days of Applicant's request, Distribution Provider shall provide to Applicant an estimate of the cost required to update the Cost Envelope Estimate. Applicant shall notify Distribution Provider in writing within ten (10) Business Days of Applicant's receipt of Distribution Provider's estimate whether (i) Applicant elects to proceed with the update to the Cost Envelope Estimate at Applicant's expense, or (ii) that Applicant withdraws its request to include the additional facilities in the Cost Envelope. If Applicant elects to proceed with the update to the Cost Envelope Estimate, Distribution Provider shall complete the update within the sum of the time allowed for each step utilized by the Distribution Provider in preparation of the initial Cost Envelope Estimate pursuant to Section F.2 for Interconnection Requests evaluated under the Fast Track Process, or Section F.3.b for Interconnection Requests evaluated under the Independent Study Process, from receipt of payment of the estimated cost of the update. Should Applicant fail to so notify Distribution Provider within the ten (10) Business Day period, Applicant's request to include such additional facilities in the Cost Envelope shall be deemed withdrawn and such additional facilities shall be the responsibility of Applicant based on actual cost.

f. Modifications

Under the Fast Track Process, modifications are not permitted to the Generating Facility, related equipment, Point of Interconnection or other interconnection parameters that would require a re-evaluation of the Initial Review or Supplemental Review. However, notwithstanding these restrictions, an Applicant may identify and suggest minor changes to the Interconnection Facilities (e.g., minor adjustments to physical location of switchgear or other equipment, adjustments to routing of conductor from the Point of Common Coupling to the Point of Interconnection, etc.) upon or near completion of Applicant's final design of its Interconnection Facilities. If

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E-B Decision 16-06-052 (Continued)

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



UC Sheet No. 59961-E

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 104 (P)

59961-E

(Continued)

F. REVIEW PROCESS FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS (Continued)

7. Cost Envelope Option (Continued)

an Applicant identifies such changes, Applicant shall notify Distribution Provider of the requested changes and if, in the reasonable judgement of Distribution Provider, a re-evaluation of the costs under the Cost Envelope Option is required, Distribution Provider will provide Applicant within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of Applicant's notice an estimate of the time required to re-evaluate the costs under the Cost Envelope Option and the estimated cost of such re-evaluation. Applicant may either (i) accept the additional time and cost to complete the re-evaluation, (ii) withdraw the proposed changes, or (iii) proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such changes. Applicant shall provide Distribution Provider written notice of its election within ten (10) Business Days following Applicant's receipt of Distribution Provider's estimated additional time and cost required for the re-evaluation. If Applicant elects to proceed with the re-evaluation of the costs under the Cost Envelope Option, Distribution Provider shall complete the re-evaluation within twenty (20) Business Days from receipt of all required technical data related to the proposed changes and payment of the estimated cost of the re-evaluation. Should Applicant fail to so notify Distribution Provider within such ten (10) Business Day period, Applicant's request to make the proposed changes shall be deemed withdrawn.

Under the Independent Study Process, any requested modifications, including required updates to costs under the Cost Envelope Option, shall be made in accordance with Section F.3.b.v. If Applicant elects to proceed with re-evaluation of the costs under the Cost Envelope Option pursuant to Section F.3.b.v, Distribution Provider shall complete the re-evaluation within the sum of the time allowed for each step utilized by the Distribution Provider for preparation of the initial Cost Envelope Estimate pursuant Section F.3.b from receipt of all required technical data related to the proposed changes and payment of the estimated cost of the re-evaluation.

g. Tender of the Generator Interconnection Agreement Under the Cost Envelope Option


Negotiation and execution of the Generator Interconnection Agreement shall be in accordance with Section F.2.e for Interconnection Requests evaluated under the Fast Track Process, and in accordance with Section F.3.e.ii for Interconnection Requests evaluated under the Independent Study Process.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E-B Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President






Senior Vice President

Jun 8, 2017 Effective E-4850 Resolution







Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 107 (T)

(Continued)

G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued)

1. Initial Review Screens

The Initial Review consists of Screens A through M. If any of the Screens A through H are not passed, a quick review of the failed Screen(s) may determine the requirements to address the failure(s). Otherwise, Supplemental Review is required.

Some examples of solutions that may be available to mitigate the impact of a failed Screen A through H are:

- 1. Replace an overloaded distribution transformer with a larger transformer.
- 2. Replace overloaded secondary conductors with larger conductor.
- 3. Determine if phase balancing on the transformer is possible with minimal review.
- 4. If possible without further study check if the Generating Facility will actually overstress equipment.
- a. Screen A: Is the PCC on a Networked Secondary System?
 - If Yes (fail), must go to Supplemental Review except if the Generating Facility is on a Spot Network and meets the following criteria. If the Generating Facility meets the following criteria, continue to Screen B pursuant to Section G.1.

The proposed Generating Facility must utilize an inverter-based equipment package and, together with the aggregated other inverter-based generation, shall not exceed the smaller of 5 % of a Spot Network's maximum load or 50 kW. Under no condition shall the interconnection of a Generating Facility result in a backfeed of a Spot Network or cause unnecessary operation of any Spot Network protectors.

• If No (pass), continue to Screen B.

Significance: Special considerations must be given to Generating Facilities proposed to be installed on Networked Secondary Systems because of the design and operational aspects of network protectors. There are no such considerations for radial distribution systems.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 108 (T))

(Continued)

G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued)

- 1. Initial Review Screens (Continued)
 - b. Screen B: Is Certified Equipment used?

Does the Interconnection Request propose to use Certified Equipment as set out in Section L or does the equipment have interim Distribution Provider approval?

- If Yes (pass), continue to Screen C.
- If No (fail) continue to Screen C pursuant to Section G.1.

Interim approval allows Distribution Provider to treat equipment that has not completed this Rule's Certification requirements as having met the intent of this screen. Interim approval is granted at Distribution Provider's discretion on case by case bases, and approval for one Generating Facility does not guarantee approval for any other Generating Facility.

Significance: If the Generating and/or Interconnection Facility has been Certified or previously approved by Distribution Provider, Distribution Provider does not need to repeat its full review and/or test of the Generating and/or Interconnection Facility's Protective Functions. Site Commissioning Testing may still be required to ensure that the Protective Functions are working properly.

Certification indicates that the criteria in Section L, as appropriate, have been tested and verified.

- c. Screen C: Is the Starting Voltage Drop within acceptable limits?
 - If Yes (pass), continue to Screen D.
 - If No (fail), continue to Screen D pursuant to Section G.1.

Note: This Screen only applies to Generating Facilities that start by motoring the Generator(s).

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 109 (T)

(Continued)

G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued)

- 1. Initial Review Screens (Continued)
 - c. Screen C: (Continued)

Distribution Provider has two options in determining whether Starting Voltage Drop is acceptable. The option to be used is at Distribution Provider's discretion.

Option 1: Distribution Provider may determine that the Generating Facility's starting In-rush Current is equal to or less than the continuous ampere rating of the Customer's service equipment.

Option 2: Distribution Provider may determine the impedances of the service distribution transformer (if present) and the secondary conductors to Customer's service equipment and perform a voltage drop calculation. Alternatively, Distribution Provider may use tables or nomographs to determine the voltage drop. Voltage drops caused by starting a Generator must be less than 2.5% for primary Interconnections and 5% for secondary Interconnections.

Significance:

- 1. This Screen addresses potential voltage fluctuation problems that may be caused by Generators that start by motoring.
- 2. When starting, Generating Facilities should have minimal impact on the service voltage to other Distribution Provider Customers.
- 3 Passing this Screen does not relieve Producer from ensuring that its Generating Facility complies with the flicker requirements of this Rule, Section H.2.d.
- d. Screen D: Is the transformer or secondary conductor rating exceeded?

Do the maximum aggregated Gross Ratings for all the Generating Facilities connected to a secondary distribution transformer exceed the transformer or secondary conductor rating, modified per established Distribution Provider practice, absent any Generating Facilities?

- If Yes (fail), continue to Screen E pursuant to Section G.1.
- If No (pass), continue to screen E.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3458-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>


Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet 110 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued) Initial Review Screens (Continued) d. Screen D: (Continued) Significance: This screen addresses potential secondary transformer or secondary conductor overloads. When Distribution Provider's analysis determines a transformer or conductor change is required, Distribution Provider will furnish Applicant with an explanation of why the change is needed. e. Screen E: Does the Single-Phase Generator cause unacceptable imbalance? If the proposed Generating Facility is single-phase and is to be interconnected on a center tap neutral of a 240 volt service, does it cause unacceptable imbalance between the two phases of the 240 volt service? If Yes (fail), continue to Screen F pursuant to Section G.1. If No (pass), continue to screen F. Significance: Generating Facilities connected to a single-phase transformer with 120/240 V secondary voltage must be installed such that the aggregated gross output is as balanced as practicable between the two phases of the 240 volt service. When Distribution Provider's analysis determines a transformer change is required. Distribution Provider will furnish the customer with an explanation of why the change is needed. Screen F: Is the Short Circuit Current Contribution Ratio within acceptable limits? f. If Yes (pass), continue to Screen G. If No (fail), continue to Screen G pursuant to Section G.1. Note: This Screen does not apply to Generating Facilities with a Gross Rating of 11 kVA or less. (Continued) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by

Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President





(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3458-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

111C12

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Resolution

E-4850

Rule 21 Sheet 112 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued) 1. Initial Review Screens (Continued) h. Screen H: Is the line configuration compatible with the Interconnection type? If Yes (pass), continue to Screen I. If No (fail), continue to Screen I pursuant to Section G.1. Note: This Screen does not apply to Generating Facilities with a Gross Rating of 11 kVA or less Line Configuration Screen: Identify primary distribution line configuration that will serve the Generating Facility. Based on the type of Interconnection to be used for the Generating Facility, determine from Table G.1 if the proposed Generating Facility passes the Screen. Table G-1 Type of Interconnection Type of Interconnection Primary Distribution to be made to Line Type Configuration **Primary Distribution Line** Result/Criteria Three-phase, three-wire Any type Pass Screen Three-phase, four-wire Single-phase, Pass Screen line-to-neutral Three-phase, four-wire All others To pass, aggregate (For any line that has such a Generating Facility nameplate section OR mixed three-wire & four-wire) rating must be less than or equal to 10% of Line Section peak load Significance: If the primary distribution line serving the Generating Facility is of a "three-wire" configuration, or if the Generating Facility's distribution transformer is singlephase and connected in a line-to-neutral configuration, then there is no concern about overvoltages to Distribution Provider's, or other Customer's equipment caused by loss of system neutral grounding during the operating time of the Non-Islanding Protective Function. (Continued) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by 3458-E Aug 22, 2016 Advice Caroline Choi Date Filed Jun 8, 2017 16-06-052 Senior Vice President Decision Effective

112C12



Sheet 113 (T)

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued)

- 1. Initial Review Screens (Continued)
 - i. Screen I: Will power be exported across the PCC?
 - If Yes, Continue to Screen J. This includes Options 5 and 6
 - If No, then to ensure that the Generating Facility does not export across the PCC, the Generating Facility must incorporate one of the following four options shown below. Following that selection, Initial Review is complete.

Option 1 ("Reverse Power Protection"): To ensure power is never exported across the PCC, a reverse power Protective Function may be provided. The default setting for this Protective Function shall be 0.1% (export) of the service transformer's rating, with a maximum 2.0 second time delay. For multiple tariff interconnections refer to Section J.8.

Option 2 ("Minimum Power Protection"): To ensure at least a minimum amount of power is imported across the PCC at all times (and, therefore, that power is not exported), an under-power Protective Function may be provided. The default setting for this Protective Function shall be 5% (import) of Generating Facility's total Gross Rating, with a maximum 2.0 second time delay.

Option 3 (Certified Non-Islanding Protection): To ensure the incidental export of power is limited to acceptable levels, this option requires that all of the following conditions be met: a) the total Gross Capacity of the Generating Facility must be no more than 25% of the nominal ampere rating of Producer's service equipment; b) the total Gross Capacity of the Generating Facility must be no more than 50% of Producer's service transformer capacity rating (this capacity requirement does not apply to Customers taking primary service without an intervening transformer); and c) the Generating Facility must be Certified as Non-Islanding.

The ampere rating of the Customer's service equipment to be used in this evaluation will be that rating for which the customer's utility service was originally sized or for which an upgrade has been approved. It is not the intent of this provision to allow increased export simply by increasing the size of the customer's service panel, without separate approval for the resize.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3458-EDecision16-06-052

113C15

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



An EDISON INTERNATIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

| | | | | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 114 | (T) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|--|--|------|
| G. | E١ | IGI | NEER | (Continued) ING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued) | | |
| | 1. | Ini | tial Re | eview Screens (Continued) | | |
| | | i. | Scre | en I: Will power be exported across the PCC? (Continued) | | |
| | | | Rati min that Sys | ion 4 (Relative Generating Facility Rating): This option, when used, re- ing of the Generating Facility to be so small in comparison to its imum load, that the use of additional Protective Functions is not requ power will not be exported to Distribution Provider's Distribution or tem. This option requires the Generating Facility capacity to be no gre producer's verifiable minimum Host Load over the past 12 months. | host facility's uired to ensure Transmission | |
| | | | Opt | ion 5: Inadvertent Export as described in Section M. | | |
| | | | Opt | ion 6: Inadvertent Export utilizing UL-1741 or UL-1741 SA-listed rid su (non-islanding) inverters as described in Section Mm. | pport | |
| | | | Sig | nificance: | | |
| | | | 1. | If it can be assured that the Generating Facility will not export pow Provider's Distribution or Transmission System does not need to load-carrying capability or Generating Facility power flow effects Provider voltage regulators. | be studied for | |
| | | | 2. | This Screen permits the use of reverse-power or minimum-power Non-Islanding Protective Function (Option 1, 2, and 3). | relaying as a | |
| | | | 3. | This Screen allows, under certain defined conditions, for Generating incorporate Certified Non-Islanding protection to qualify for interconn the Fast Track process without implementing reverse power or m Protective Functions (Option 3). | ection through | |
| | | j. | Scre | en J: Is the Gross Rating of the Generating Facility 11 kVA or less? | | |
| | | | • | If Yes (pass), skip Screens K, L and M: Initial Review is complete. | | |
| | | | • | If No (fail), continue to Screen K. ⁱ | | |
| | | | leve | nificance: The Generating Facility will have a minimal impact or als and any potential line overvoltages from loss of Distribution Provide tem neutral grounding. | n fault current er's Distribution | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ⁱ Inadv Scree | verte n M a | nt Ex as de | port sy | stems that meet the requirements specified in Section Mm bypass Screens K and L, and | d are processed ur | nder |
| | | | | (Continued) | | |

| (To be inserted by utility) | Issued by | (To be inser | ted by Cal. PUC) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Advice 3458-E | Caroline Choi | Date Filed | Aug 22, 2016 |
| Decision 16-06-052 | Senior Vice President | Effective | Jun 8, 2017 |
| 114C15 | | Resolution | E-4850 |



Sheet 115

(T)

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued)

- 1. Initial Review Screens (Continued)
 - k. Screen K: Is the Generating Facility a Net Energy Metering (NEM) Generating Facility with nameplate capacity less than or equal to 500kW?
 - If Yes (pass), skip screen L and continue to screen M.
 - If No (fail), continue to screen L.

Significance: The purpose of this Screen is solely to facilitate interconnection of NEM facilities below this size threshold by allowing such facilities to bypass Screen M. The use of nameplate capacity expedites the Initial Review analysis. In Supplemental Review, the net export will be analyzed.

I. Screen L: Transmission Dependency and Transmission Stability Test

Is the Interconnection Request for an area where: (i) there are known, or posted, transient/dynamic stability limitations, or (ii) the proposed Generating Facility has interdependencies, known to Distribution Provider, with earlier queued Transmission System interconnection requests. Where (i) or (ii) above are met, the impacts of this Interconnection Request to the Transmission System may require Detailed Study.

- If Yes (fail), Supplemental Review is required.
- If No (pass), continue to Screen M.

Significance: Special consideration must be given to those areas identified as having current or future (due to currently queued interconnection requests) grid stability concerns.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

115C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Sheet 116 (T) Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued) 1. Initial Review Screens (Continued) m. Screen M: Is the aggregate Generating Facility capacity on the Line Section less than 15% of Line Section peak load for all line sections bounded by automatic sectionalizing devices?ⁱ If Yes (pass), Initial Review is complete. If No (fail), Supplemental Review is required. Significance: 1. Low penetration of Generating Facility capacity will have a minimal impact on the operation and load restoration efforts of Distribution Provider's Distribution System. 2. The operating requirements for a high penetration of Generating Facility capacity may be different since the impact on Distribution Provider's Distribution System will no longer be minimal, therefore requiring additional study or controls. The purpose of this Screen is solely to identify if the Generating Facility needs additional study and is not intended as justification for limiting the penetration of generation on a line section. 2. Supplemental Review Screens The Supplemental Review consists of Screens N through P. If any of the Screens are not passed, a guick review of the failed Screen(s) will determine the requirements to address the failure(s) or that Detailed Studies are required. In certain instances, Distribution Provider may be able to identify the necessary solution and determine that Detailed Studies are unnecessary. Some examples of solutions that may be available to mitigate the impact of a failed Screen are: Replacing a fixed capacitor bank with a switched capacitor bank. 1. 2. Adjustment of line regulation settings. 3. Simple reconfiguration of the distribution circuit.

(Continued)

| (To be inserted by utility) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Advice 3458-E | | | | | |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | | | | |
| 116C13 | | | | | |

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>

¹ Inadvertent Export systems that meet the requirements specified in Section Mm are processed under Screen M as described in Section Mm.



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 117 (T)

(Continued)

G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued)

- 2. Supplemental Review Screens (Continued)
 - a. Screen N: Penetration Test

Where 12 months of line section minimum load data is available, can be calculated, can be estimated from existing data, or determined from a power flow model, is the aggregate Generating Facility capacity on the Line Section less than 100% of the minimum load for all line sections bounded by automatic sectionalizing devices upstream of the Generating Facility?

- If yes (pass), continue to Screen O.
- If no (fail), a quick review of the failure may determine the requirements to address the failure; otherwise Electrical Independence Tests and Detailed Studies are required. Continue to Screen O. (Note: If Electrical Independence tests and Detailed Studies are required, Applicants will continue to the Electrical Independence Tests and Detailed Studies after review of the remaining Supplemental Review Screens if Applicant elects to proceed.)

Note 1: If none of the above options are available, this screen defaults to Screen N.

Note 2: The type of Generating Facility technology will be taken into account when calculating, estimating, or determining circuit or Line Section minimum load relevant for the application of this screen. For solar Generating Facilities with no battery storage, daytime minimum load will be used (i.e. 10 am to 4 pm for fixed panel solar Generating Facilities and 8 am to 6 pm for solar Generating Facilities utilizing tracking systems), while absolute minimum load will be used for all other Generating Facility technologies.

Note 3: When this screen is being applied to a NEM Generating Facility, the net export in kW, if known, which may flow across the Point of Common Coupling into Distribution Provider's Distribution System will be considered as part of the aggregate generation.


Note 4: Distribution Provider will not consider as part of the aggregate Generating Facility capacity for purposes of this screen Generating Facility capacity known to be already reflected in the minimum load data.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3458-EDecision16-06-052117C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President





(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3458-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

118C12

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President




| | | | Rule 21 Sheet 11 <u>GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS</u> | 9 (T) | | | |
|---|--|--------|---|-------|--|--|--|
| | G. | ENGI | (Continued) NEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued) | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | |
| | 4 | 2. Sı | upplemental Review Screens (Continued) | | | | |
| | | b. | Screen O: Power Quality and Voltage Tests (Continued) | | | | |
| | | | Significance: Adverse voltages and undesirable interference may be experienced other Customers on Distribution Provider's Distribution System caused by operation the Generating Facility(ies). | • | | | |
| | | c. | Screen P: Safety and Reliability Tests | | | | |
| | Does the location of the proposed Generating Facility or the aggregate generation capacity on the Line Section create impacts to safety or reliability that cannot be adequately addressed without Detailed Study? | | | | | | |
| | | | If yes (fail), review of the failure may determine the requirements to address failure; otherwise Electrical Independence Tests and Detailed Studies are require Continue to Section G.3. | | | | |
| | If no (pass), Supplemental Review is complete. | | | | | | |
| | | | Significance: In the safety and reliability test, there are several factors that may aff the nature and performance of an Interconnection. These include, but are not limit to: | | | | |
| | | | Generating Facility energy source | | | | |
| | | | 2. Modes of synchronization | | | | |
| | | | 3. Unique system topology | | | | |
| | | | 4. Possible impacts to critical load customers | | | | |
| | | | 5. Possible safety impacts | | | | |
| | | | The specific combination of these factors will determine if any system sture requirements are needed. The following are some examples of the items that may considered under this screen: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | (Continued) | | | | |
| (| To be | insert | ted by utility) Issued by (To be inserted by Cal. | PUC) | | | |

Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Resolution

E-4850



120C12



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 121 (T)

(Continued)

G. <u>ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Screens (Continued)
 - a. Screen Q: Is the Interconnection Request electrically Independent of the Transmission System? (Continued)

Distribution Provider will coordinate with the CAISO if necessary to conduct the Determination of Electrical Independence for the CAISO Controlled Grid as set forth in Section 4.2 of Appendix Y to the CAISO Tariff. The results of the incremental power flow, aggregate power flow, and short-circuit current contribution tests set out in Section 4.2 of Appendix Y to the CAISO Tariff will determine whether the Interconnection Request is electrically independent from the CAISO Controlled Grid.

- If Yes (pass), continue to Screen R.
- If No (fail), proceed to Section F.3.d.

Note 1: NEM Generating Facilitiess with net export less than or equal to 500 kW that may flow across the Point of Common Coupling will not be studied in the Transmission Cluster Study Process, but may be studied under the Independent Study Process.

Significance: Generating Facilities that are electrically interdependent with the Transmission System must be studied with other interconnection requests that have Transmission System interdependencies. It is possible to pass this Screen Q (i.e., be found to have no electrical interdependencies with earlier-queued Distribution System and/or Transmission System interconnection requests as set out above), be studied under the Independent Study Process, and still trigger a Reliability Network Upgrade.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 122 (T)

(Continued)

G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Screens (Continued)
 - b. Screen R: Is the Interconnection Request independent of other earlier-queued and yet to be studied interconnection requests interconnecting to the Distribution System?

For Interconnection Requests that are electrically independent from the CAISO Controlled Grid, Distribution Provider will evaluate each Interconnection Request for known or reasonably anticipated relationships between the Interconnection Request and any earlier-queued interconnection requests in the Distribution Group Study Process, the Independent Study Process, or interconnection requests studied under predecessor interconnection procedures that have yet to complete their respective interconnection studies. Distribution Provider may conduct incremental power flow, aggregate power flow, and/or short-circuit duty tests using existing interconnection studies, Base Case data, overall system knowledge, and engineering judgment to determine whether an Interconnection Request can be studied independently of earlier-queued interconnection requests. If the Interconnection Request being evaluated for electrical independence on the Distribution System may be electrically related to earlier-queued interconnection requests that have yet to complete interconnection studies, then it fails the evaluation of electrical independence for the Distribution System.

- If Yes (pass), continue to Independent Study Process
- If No (fail), continue to the Distribution Group Study Process

Significance: Interconnection Requests that are electrically related to earlier queued interconnection requests that have not yet been studied do not qualify for independent study.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 123 (T)

(Continued)

G. ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Screens (Continued)
 - c. Independent Study Process and Distribution Group Study Process Interconnection Studies

The Interconnection Studies shall consist of an Interconnection System Impact Study and an Interconnection Facilities Study for the Independent Study Process, or the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study and DGS Phase II Interconnection Study for the Distribution Group Study Process. The Interconnection Studies will identify Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Upgrades and Reliability Network Upgrades necessary to mitigate thermal overloads and voltage violations, and address short circuit, dynamic/stability, and reliability issues associated with the requested Interconnection Service. If Distribution Provider anticipates that Reliability Network Upgrades will be required, or the Interconnection Studies identify the need for Reliability Network Upgrades, then Distribution Provider will coordinate with the CAISO during the study process as set forth in Sections F.3.b or F.3.c above.

The estimated costs of short circuit related upgrades and shared interconnection facilities, if any, identified through a Distribution Group Study shall be assigned as provided in E.4.e.

- i) Interconnection System Impact and DGS Phase I Interconnection Study
 - (1) Scope of the Interconnection System Impact and DGS Phase I Interconnection Study.

The Interconnection System Impact Study or DGS Phase I Interconnection Study in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process may consist of a localized short circuit analysis, a stability/dynamic analysis, a power flow analysis, and any other studies that are deemed necessary. The localized short circuit analysis will evaluate impacts to the Distribution and Transmission System only with any local short circuitduty related Reliability Network Upgrades allocated to the Generating Facility or Generating Facilities that require(s) the upgrades. Short circuit duty impacts to the CAISO Controlled Grid are appropriately evaluated only in the Transmission Cluster Study Process as set forth in Section F.3.d. The short circuit duty contribution of any Interconnection Requests studied in the Independent Study Process or Distribution Group Study Process that are subsequently identified in the Transmission Cluster Study Process will be allocated their pro rata share of the short circuit duty-related Reliability Network Upgrades on the basis of the short circuit duty contribution of each Generating Facility.

(Continued) (To be inserted by utility) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Issued by 3458-E Caroline Choi Aug 22, 2016 Advice Date Filed 16-06-052 Senior Vice President Jun 8, 2017 Decision Effective Resolution E-4850 123C12



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 124 (T)

(Continued)

G. <u>ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Screens (Continued)
 - c. Independent Study Process and Distribution Group Study Process Interconnection Studies (Continued)
 - i) Interconnection System Impact Study and DGS Phase I Interconnection Study (Continued)
 - (1) Scope of the Interconnection System Impact Study and DGS Phase I Interconnection Study. (Continued)

The Interconnection System Impact Study or DGS Phase I Interconnection Study in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process, shall state the assumptions upon which it is based, state the results of the analyses, and provide the requirement or potential impediments to providing the requested Interconnection Service, including a preliminary indication of the cost and length of time that would be necessary to correct any problems identified in those analyses and implement the Interconnection.

The Interconnection System Impact Study or DGS Phase I Interconnection Study shall provide a list of Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Upgrades, and Reliability Network Upgrades that are required as a result of the Interconnection Request along with a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility and the amount of construction time required.

If at any time the Distribution Provider determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study due to the large number of Interconnection Requests in the Distribution Group Study Application window, study complexity, or unavailability of resources on a reasonable basis to perform the study in the required time frame, the Distribution Provider shall notify the Interconnection Customer(s) within the Distribution Group Study as to the schedule status of the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 125 (T)

(Continued)

G. <u>ENGINEERING REVIEW DETAILS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Detailed Study Screens (Continued)
 - c. Independent Study Process and Distribution Group Study Process Interconnection Studies (Continued)
 - i) Interconnection System Impact Study and DGS Phase I Interconnection Study (Continued)

Upon request, the Distribution Provider shall provide the Applicant(s) all supporting documentation, work papers and relevant pre-Interconnection Request and post- Interconnection Request power flow, short circuit and stability databases for the DGS Phase I Interconnection Study, subject to confidentiality arrangements as outlined in Section D.7.

- ii) Interconnection Facilities Study and DGS Phase II Interconnection Study.
 - (1) Scope and Purpose of the Interconnection Facilities and DGS Phase II Interconnection Study.

The Interconnection Facilities Study or DGS Phase II Interconnection Study in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process shall specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement, and construction work (including overheads) needed to implement the conclusions of the Interconnection System Impact Study or DGS Phase I Interconnection Study technical analyses in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Generating Facility to the Distribution or Transmission System. The Interconnection Facilities Study of DGS Phase II Interconnection Study shall also identify (i) the electrical switching configuration of the connection equipment, including, without limitation: the transformer, switchgear, meters, and other station equipment; the nature and estimated cost of any Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Upgrades, and Network Upgrades necessary to accomplish the interconnection; and an estimate of the time required to complete the construction and installation of such facilities. The analyses in the Interconnection System Impact Study (or DGS Phase I Interconnection Study in the case of the Distribution Group Study Process) will be updated, as necessary in the Interconnection Facilities Study (or DGS Phase II Interconnection Study), to account for withdrawal of interconnection requests in the interconnection queue.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 126 (T)

(Continued)

H. GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS

Section H shall be used for interconnection of non-inverter based technologies.

Section H shall also continue to be used for interconnection of inverter based technologies until September 8, 2017. Following such date, Section Hh shall apply for interconnection of inverter based technologies.ⁱ Until such date, Section Hh, may be used in all or in part, for inverter based technologies by mutual agreement of the Distribution Provider and the Applicant.

This section is consistent with the requirements of ANSI/IEEE 1547-2003 Standard for Interconnecting Distributed Resources with Electric Power Systems (IEEE 1547). Exceptions are taken to IEEE 1547 Clauses 4.1.4.2 Distribution Secondary Spot Networks and Clauses 4.1.8.1 or 5.1.3.1, which address Protection from Electromagnetic Interference. These are being studied for inclusion in a subsequent version of this Rule. Also, Rule 21 does not adopt the Generating Facility power limitation of 10 MW incorporated in IEEE 1547.

1. General Interconnection and Protective Function Requirements

The Protective Functions and requirements of this Rule are designed to protect Distribution Provider's Distribution and Transmission System and not the Generating Facility. A Producer shall be solely responsible for providing adequate protection for its Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities. Producer's Protective Functions shall not impact the operation of other Protective Functions on Distribution Provider's Distribution and Transmission System in a manner that would affect Distribution Provider's capability of providing reliable service to its customers.

a. Protective Functions Required

Generating Facilities operating in parallel with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System shall be equipped with the following Protective Functions to sense abnormal conditions on Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System and cause the Generating Facility to be automatically disconnected from Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System or to prevent the Generating Facility from being connected to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System inappropriately:

(i) Over and under voltage trip functions and over and under frequency trip functions;

Distribution Provider may require Section H to apply to interconnection of inverter based technologies where it determines that compliance with Section Hh could create safety and/or reliability issues on Distribution Provider's Distribution System or Transmission System.

(Continued)

| (To be ins | erted by utility) | |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Advice | 3458-E | |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | <u>Se</u> |
| 126C15 | | |

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> enior Vice President



An EDISON INTERNATIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

| | | | | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 127 | (T) | | | |
|-------|---|-------|------------------------|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| H. | (Continued) H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued) | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. General Interconnection and Protective Function Requirements (Continued) | | | | | | | | |
| | a. Protective Functions Required (Continued) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (ii) | A voltage and frequency sensing and time-delay function to Generating Facility from energizing a de-energized Distribution of System circuit and to prevent the Generating Facility from reco Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System unle Provider's Distribution System service voltage and frequency is w C84.1-1995 Table 1 Range B voltage Range of 106 volts to 127 v volt basis), inclusive, and a frequency range of 59.3 Hz to 60.5 and are stable for at least 60 seconds; and | r Transmission onnecting with ss Distribution vithin the ANSI volts (on a 120 | | | | |
| | | | (iii) | A function to prevent the Generating Facility from contributing to of an Unintended Island, and cease to energize Distribution Distribution System within two seconds of the formation of a Island. | on Provider's | | | | |
| | | | Syste conn Distr | Generating Facility shall cease to energize Distribution Provider em for faults on Distribution Provider's Distribution System circuit nected (IEEE 1547-4.2.1). The Generating Facility shall ceas ibution Provider's Distribution circuit prior to re-closure by Distribu ibution System equipment (IEEE 1547-4.2.2). | to which it is to energize | | | | |
| | | b. | Mom | nentary Paralleling Generating Facilities | | | | | |
| | | | Prod Prod | Distribution Provider's approval, the transfer switch or scheme us lucer's loads from Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmiss lucer's Generating Facility may be used in lieu of the Protect ired for Parallel Operation. | sion System to | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | (Continued) | | | | | |
| (To 4 | no inc | ortod | by uti | lity) Issued by (To be inse | rtod by Col. PU | \sim | | | |

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3458-EDecision16-06-052

127C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 128 (T)

(Continued)

H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)

- 1. General Interconnection and Protective Function Requirements (Continued)
 - c. Suitable Equipment Required

Circuit breakers or other interrupting equipment located at the Point of Common Coupling (PCC) must be Certified or "Listed" (as defined in Article 100, the Definitions Section of the National Electrical Code) as suitable for their intended application. This includes being capable of interrupting the maximum available fault current expected at their location. Producer's Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities shall be designed so that the failure of any single device or component shall not potentially compromise the safety and reliability of Distribution Provider's Distribution and Transmission System. The Generating Facility paralleling-device shall be capable of withstanding 220% of the Interconnection Facility shall have the capability to withstand voltage and current surges in accordance with the environments defined in IEEE Std C62.41.2-2002 or IEEE Std C37.90.1-2002 as applicable and as described in L.3.e (IEEE 1547-4.1.8.2).

d. Visible Disconnect Required

When required by Distribution Provider's operating practices, Producer shall furnish and install a ganged, manually-operated isolating switch (or a comparable device mutually agreed upon by Distribution Provider and Producer) near the Point of Interconnection to isolate the Generating Facility from Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. The device does not have to be rated for load break nor provide over-current protection.

The device must:

- (i) allow visible verification that separation has been accomplished. (This requirement may be met by opening the enclosure to observe contact separation.)
- (ii) include markings or signage that clearly indicates open and closed positions.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 129 (T)

(Continued)

H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)

- 1. General Interconnection and Protective Function Requirements (Continued)
 - d. Visible Disconnect Required (Continued)
 - (iii) be capable of being reached:
 - a) for Emergency purposes quickly and conveniently 24 hours a day by Distribution Provider personnel for construction, operation, maintenance, inspection, testing or to isolate the Generating Facility from Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System without obstacles or requiring those seeking access to obtain keys, special permission, or security clearances.
 - b) for Non-Emergency purposes during normal business hours. Distribution Provider, where possible, will provide notice to Customer for gaining access to Customer's premises.
 - (iv) be capable of being locked in the open position
 - (v) be clearly marked on the submitted single line diagram and its type and location approved by Distribution Provider prior to installation. If the device is not adjacent to the PCC, permanent signage must be installed at a Distribution Provider approved location providing a clear description of the location of the device. If the switch is not accessible outside the locked premises, signage with contact information and a Distribution Provider approved locking device for the premises shall be installed.

Generating Facilities with Non-Islanding inverters totaling one (1) kilovolt-ampere (kVA) or less are exempt from this requirement.

e. Drawings Required

Prior to Parallel Operation or Momentary Parallel Operation of the Generating Facility, Distribution Provider shall approve Producer's Protective Function and control diagrams. Generating Facilities equipped with Protective Functions and a control scheme previously approved by Distribution Provider for system-wide application or only Certified Equipment may satisfy this requirement by reference to previously approved drawings and diagrams.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Sheet 130

(T)

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)

- 1. General Interconnection and Protective Function Requirements (Continued)
 - f. Generating Facility Conditions Not Identified

In the event this Rule does not address the Interconnection conditions for a particular Generating Facility, Distribution Provider and Producer may agree upon other arrangements.

2. Prevention of Interference

Producer shall not operate Generating or Interconnection Facilities that superimpose a voltage or current upon Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System that interferes with Distribution Provider operations, service to Distribution Provider Customers, or communication facilities. If such interference occurs, Producer must diligently pursue and take corrective action at its own expense after being given notice and reasonable time to do so by Distribution Provider. If Producer does not take corrective action in a timely manner, or continues to operate the facilities causing interference without restriction or limit, Distribution Provider may, without liability, disconnect Producer's facilities from Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System, in accordance with Section D.9 of this Rule. To eliminate undesirable interference caused by its operation, each Generating Facility shall meet the following criteria:

a. Voltage Regulation

The Generating Facility shall not actively regulate the voltage at the PCC while in parallel with Distribution Provider's Distribution System. The Generating Facility shall not cause the service voltage at other customers to go outside the requirements of ANSI C84.1-1995, Range A (IEEE 1547-4.1.1).

b. Voltage Trip Setting

The voltage ranges in Table H.1 define protective trip limits for the Protective Function and are not intended to define or imply a voltage regulation Function. Generating Facilities shall cease to energize Distribution Provider's Distribution System within the prescribed trip time whenever the voltage at the PCC deviates from the allowable voltage operating range. The Protection Function shall detect and respond to voltage on all phases to which the Generating Facility is connected.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 131 (T)

(Continued)

H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)

- 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued)
 - b. Voltage Trip Setting (Continued)
 - i) Generating Facilities (30 kVA or less)

Generating Facilities with a Gross Rating of 30 kVA or less shall be capable of operating within the voltage range normally experienced on Distribution Provider's Distribution System from plus to minus 5% of the nominal voltage (e.g. 114 volts to 126 volts, on a 120 volt base), at the service panel or PCC. The trip settings at the generator terminals may be selected in a manner that minimizes nuisance tripping between 106 volts and 132 volts on a 120-volt base (88%-110% of nominal voltage) to compensate for voltage drop between the generator terminals and the PCC. Voltage may be detected at either the PCC or the Point of Interconnection. However, the voltage range at the PCC, with the generator on-line, shall stay within +/-5% of nominal.

ii) Generating Facilities (greater than 30 kVA)

Distribution Provider may have specific operating voltage ranges for Generating Facilities with Gross Ratings greater than 30 kVA, and may require adjustable operating voltage settings. In the absence of such requirements, the Generating Facility shall be capable of operating at a range between 88% and 110% of the applicable interconnection voltage. Voltage shall be detected at either the PCC or the Point of Interconnection, with settings compensated to account for the voltage at the PCC. However, the voltage range at the PCC, with the generator on-line, shall stay within +/-5% of nominal.

iii) Voltage Disturbances

Whenever Distribution Provider's Distribution System voltage at the PCC varies from and remains outside normal (Nominally 120 volts) for the predetermined parameters set forth in Table H-1, the Generating Facility's Protective Functions shall cause the Generator(s) to become isolated from Distribution Provider's Distribution System:

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 132 (T)

(Continued)

H. GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued)
 - b. Voltage Trip Setting (Continued)
 - iii) Voltage Disturbances (Continued)

| Table H.1: Voltage Trip Settings for Generating Facilities* | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| used to trip the generato | Coupling (the ranges below are r during abnormal distribution conditions) | Maximum | n Trip Time** | | | | |
| Assuming 120 Volt Base | % of Nominal Voltage | # of Cycles (Assuming 60 Hz Nominal) | Seconds | | | | |
| Less than 60 volts | Less than 50% | 10 Cycles | 0.16 Seconds | | | | |
| Greater than or equal to 60 volts but less than 106 volts | Greater than or equal to 50% but less than 88% | 120 Cycles | 2 Seconds | | | | |
| Greater than 132 volts but less than or equal to 144 volts | Greater than 110% but less than or equal to 120% | 60 Cycles | 1 Second | | | | |
| Greater than 144 volts | Greater than 120% | 10 Cycles | 0.16 Seconds | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

*For Generating Facilities with a Rating greater than 30 kVA, set points shall be field adjustable and different voltage set points and trip times from those in Table H.1 may be negotiated with Distribution Provider

** "Maximum Trip Time" refers to the time between the onset of the abnormal condition and the Generating Facility ceasing to energize Distribution Provider's Distribution System. Protective Function equipment and circuits may remain connected to Distribution Provider's Distribution System to allow sensing of electrical conditions for use by the "reconnect" feature. The purpose of the allowed time delay is to allow for a Generating Facility to minimize tripping during short term system disturbances. Set points shall not be user adjustable for generating facilities less than 30 kW.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 133 (T)

(Continued)

H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)

- 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued)
 - c. Paralleling

The Generating Facility shall parallel with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System without causing a voltage fluctuation at the PCC greater than plus/minus 5% of the prevailing voltage level of Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System at the PCC, and meet the flicker requirements of Section H.2.d. Section L, Certification and Testing Criteria, provides technology-specific tests for evaluating the paralleling Function. (IEEE 1547-4.1.3)

d. Flicker

The Generating Facility shall not create objectionable flicker for other customers on Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. To minimize the adverse voltage effects experienced by other customers (IEEE 1547-4.3.2), flicker at the PCC caused by the Generating Facility should not exceed the limits defined by the "Maximum Borderline of Irritation Curve" identified in IEEE 519-1992 (IEEE Recommended Practices and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electric Power Systems, IEEE STD 519-1992). This requirement is necessary to minimize the adverse voltage affects experienced by other Customers on Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Generators may be connected and brought up to synchronous speed (as an induction motor) provided these flicker limits are not exceeded.

e. Integration with Distribution Provider's Distribution System Grounding

The grounding scheme of the Generating Facility shall not cause over-voltages that exceed the rating of the equipment connected to Distribution Provider's Distribution System and shall not disrupt the coordination of the ground fault protection on Distribution Provider's Distribution System (IEEE 1547-4.1.2) (See Section G.1.i, line configuration).

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 134 (T)

(Continued)

H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)

- 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued)
 - f. Frequency

Distribution Provider controls system frequency, and the Generating Facility shall operate in synchronism with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Whenever Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System frequency at the PCC varies from and remains outside normal (nominally 60 Hz) by the predetermined amounts set forth in Table H.2, the Generating Facility's Protective Functions shall cease to energize Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System within the stated maximum trip time.

Table H.2Frequency Trip Settings

| <u>Generating Facility Rating</u> Less or equal to 30kW | Frequency Range (Assuming 60Hz Nominal) Less than 59.3 Hz Greater than 60.5 Hz | Maximum Trip Time [1] (Assuming 60 Cycles per Second) 10 Cycles 10 Cycles |
|--|--|---|
| Greater than 30 kW | Less than 57.0 Hz Less than an adjustable value between 59.8 Hz and 57 Hz but greater than 57 Hz. <i>[2]</i> Greater than 60.5 Hz. | 10 Cycles Adjustable between 10 and 18,000 Cycles. <i>[2, 3]</i> 10 Cycles |

- [1] "Maximum Trip time" refers to the time between the onset of the abnormal condition and the Generating Facility ceasing to energize Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Protective Function sensing equipment and circuits may remain connected to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System to allow sensing of electrical conditions for use by the "reconnect" feature. The purpose of the allowed time delay is to allow a Generating Facility to "ride through" short-term disturbances to avoid nuisance tripping. Set points shall not be user adjustable (though they may be field adjustable by qualified personnel). For Generating Facilities with a Gross Rating greater than 30 kVA, set points shall be field adjustable and different voltage set points and trip times from those in Table H.2 may be negotiated with Distribution Provider.
- [2] Unless otherwise required by Distribution Provider, a trip frequency of 59.3 Hz and a maximum trip time of 10 cycles shall be used.
- [3] When a 10 cycle Maximum trip time is used, a second under frequency trip setting is not required.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3458-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 135 (T)

(Continued)

H. GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued)
 - g. Harmonics

When the Generating Facility is serving balanced linear loads, harmonic current injection into Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System at the PCC shall not exceed the limits stated in Table H.3. The harmonic current injections shall be exclusive of any harmonic currents due to harmonic voltage distortion present in Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System without the Generating Facility connected (IEEE 1547-4.3.3.). The harmonic distortion of a Generating Facility shall be evaluated using the same criteria as for the Host Loads.

Table H.3 Maximum harmonic current distortion in percent of current (I) [1,2]

| Individual harmonic order, h (odd harmonics) [3] | h<11 | 11 <u><</u> h<17 | 17 <u>< h</u> <23 | 23 <u>< h</u> <35 | 35 <u><</u> h | Total demand distortion |
|--|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Max Distortion (%) | 4.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 5.0 |

[1] - IEEE1547-4.3.3

- [2] I = the greater of the maximum Host Load current average demand over 15 or 30 minutes without the GF, or the GF rated current capacity (transformed to the PCC when a transformer exists between the GF and the PCC).
- [3] Even harmonics are limited to 25% of the odd harmonic limits above.

(Continued)

| (To be inserted by utility) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Advice | 3458-E | | | | |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | | | | |
| 135C12 | | | | | |

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 136 (T)

(Continued)

H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)

- 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued)
 - h. Direct Current Injection

Generating Facilities should not inject direct current greater than 0.5% of rated output current into Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System.

i. Power Factor

Producer shall provide adequate reactive power compensation on site to maintain the Generating Facility power factor near unity at rated output or an Distribution Provider specified power factor within a power factor range from 0.9 leading to 0.9 lagging, based on local system conditions. While not required, for generators that do not have inherent reactive power control capability Distribution Provider at its option may offer reactive power support in the form of power factor correction capacitors on its Distribution or Transmission System, under a Generator Interconnection Agreement or an Added Facilities or Special Facilities agreement, as described in Rule 2.H,as applicable.

- 3. Technology Specific Requirements
 - a. Technology Specific Requirements

Three-Phase Synchronous Generators: For three phase Generators, the Generating Facility circuit breakers shall be three-phase devices with electronic or electromechanical control. Producer shall be responsible for properly synchronizing its Generating Facility with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System by means of either manual or automatic synchronous equipment. Automatic synchronizing is required for all synchronous Generators that have a Short Circuit Contribution Ratio (SCCR) exceeding 0.05. Loss of synchronism protection is not required except as may be necessary to meet Section H.2.d (Flicker) (IEEE1547-4.2.5). Unless otherwise agreed upon by Producer and Distribution Provider, synchronous Generators shall automatically regulate power factor, not voltage, while operating in parallel with Distribution Provider's Distribution System. A power system stabilization Function is specifically not required for Generating Facilities under 10 MW Net Rating.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Sheet 137 (T)

Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)

- 3. Technology Specific Requirements (Continued)
 - b. Induction Generators

Induction Generators (except self-excited Induction Generators) do not require a synchronizing Function. Starting or rapid load fluctuations on induction Generators can adversely impact Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System voltage. Corrective step-switched capacitors or other techniques may be necessary and may cause undesirable ferro-resonance. When these counter measures (e.g. additional capacitors) are installed on Producer's side of the PCC, Distribution Provider must review these measures. Additional equipment may be required as determined in a Supplemental Review or an Interconnection Study.

c. Inverters

Grid-interactive inverters do not require separate synchronizing equipment. Non-gridinteractive or "stand-alone" inverters shall not be used for Parallel Operation with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System.

- d. Limitations on inverters not classified as Smart Inverters
 - i. Inverter based systems may continue to be installed per Section H until September 8, 2017. Section Hh, may be used in all or in part, for inverter based technologies by mutual agreement of the Distribution Provider and the Applicant.
 - ii. The replacement of an existing inverter to an inverter that is of equal or greater ability than the original is allowed per Section H. Section Hh, may be used in all or in part, for replacement inverter-based technologies by mutual agreement of the Distribution Provider and the Applicant.
- 4. Supplemental Generating Facility Requirements
 - a. Fault Detection

A Generating Facility with an SCCR exceeding 0.1 or one that does not cease to energize Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System within two seconds of the formation of an Unintended Island shall be equipped with Protective Functions designed to detect Distribution or Transmission System faults, both line-toline and line-to-ground, and cease to energize Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System within two seconds of the initiation of a fault.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 138 (T)

(Continued)

H. <u>GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)

- 4. Supplemental Generating Facility Requirements (Continued)
 - b. Transfer Trip

For a Generating Facility that cannot detect Distribution or Transmission System faults (both line-to-line and line-to-ground) or the formation of an Unintended Island, and cease to energize Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System within two seconds, Distribution Provider may require a Transfer Trip system or an equivalent Protective Function.

c. Reclose Blocking

Where the aggregate Generating Facility capacity exceeds 15% of the peak load on any automatic reclosing device, Distribution Provider may require additional Protective Functions, including, but not limited to recluse-blocking on some of the automatic reclosing devices.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Sheet 139 Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) SMART INVERTER GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS Hh. Section H shall continue to be used for interconnection of inverter based technologies until September 8, 2017. Following such date, Section Hh shall apply for interconnection of inverter based technologies.ⁱ Until such date, Section Hh may be used in all or in part, for inverter based technologies by mutual agreement of the Distribution Provider and the Applicant. The inverter requirements are intended to be consistent with UL 1741 – Supplement SA using (N) Section Hh of Rule 21 as the source requirement document and ANSI/IEEE 1547-2003 and (N) 1547a Standard for Interconnecting Distributed Resources with Electric Power Systems (IEEE 1547 including amendment 1547a), where possible. In the event of conflict between this Rule and UL 1741 – Supplement SA and/or IEEE 1547-2003 or IEEE 1547a, this Rule shall take (N) precedence. Exceptions are taken to IEEE 1547 Clauses 4.1.4.2 Distribution Secondary Spot Networks and Clauses 4.1.8.1 or 5.1.3.1, which address Protection from Electromagnetic Interference. Rule 21 does not adopt the Generating Facility power limitation of 10 MW incorporated in IEEE 1547. 1. General Interconnection and Protective Function Requirements. The Protective Functions and requirements of this Rule are designed to protect Distribution Provider's Distribution and Transmission System and not the Generating Facility. A Producer shall be solely responsible for providing adequate protection for its Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities. Producer's Protective Functions shall not impact the operation of other Protective Functions on Distribution Provider's Distribution and Transmission System in a manner that would affect Distribution Provider's capability of providing reliable service to its customers. a. Protective Functions Required Smart Inverters operating in parallel with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System shall be equipped with the following Protective Functions to sense abnormal conditions on Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System and cause the Smart Inverter to be automatically disconnected from Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System or to prevent the Smart Inverter from being connected to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System inappropriately: (i) Over and under voltage trip functions and over and under frequency trip functions;

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3623-E Decision 14-12-035 Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>


(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJun 27, 2017EffectiveJul 27, 2017ResolutionJul 27, 2017

Distribution Provider may require Section H to apply to interconnection of inverter based technologies where it determines that compliance with Section Hh could create safety and/or reliability issues on Distribution Provider's Distribution System or Transmission System.




Resolution

E-4850




140C12





| (To be inserted by utility) | | Issued by | (To be inser | ted by Cal. PUC) |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Advice | 3458-E | Caroline Choi | Date Filed | Aug 22, 2016 |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | Senior Vice President | Effective | Jun 8, 2017 |
| 141C12 | | | Resolution | E-4850 |





142C12




143C12

Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

E-4850

Resolution





Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 144 (T)

(Continued)

- Hh. <u>SMART INVERTER GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued)
 - 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued)
 - b. Voltage Trip and Ride-Through Setting (Continued)
 - ii) Voltage Disturbances (Continued)

Table Hh-1: Voltage Ride-Through Table

| Region | Voltage at Point of Common Coupling (% Nominal Voltage) | Ride-Through Until | Operating Mode | Maximum Trip Time |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| High Voltage 2 (HV2) | V ≥ 120 | | | 0.16 seconds |
| High Voltage 1 (HV1) | 110 < V < 120 | 12 seconds | Momentary Cessation | 13 seconds |
| Near Nominal (NN) | 88 ≤ V ≤ 110 | Indefinite | Continuous Operation | Not Applicable |
| Low Voltage 1 (LV1) | 70 ≤ V < 88 | 20 seconds | Mandatory Operation | 21 seconds |
| Low Voltage 2 (LV2) | 50 ≤ V < 70 | 10 seconds | Mandatory Operation | 11 seconds |
| Low Voltage 3 (LV3) | V < 50 | 1 seconds | Momentary Cessation | 1.5 seconds |

c. Paralleling

The Smart Inverter shall parallel with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System without causing a voltage fluctuation at the PCC greater than plus/minus 5% of the prevailing voltage level of Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System at the PCC, and meet the flicker requirements of Section H.2.d. Section L, Certification and Testing Criteria, provides technology-specific tests for evaluating the paralleling Function. (IEEE 1547-4.1.3)

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3458-EDecision16-06-052144C12

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet 145 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) SMART INVERTER GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS Hh. (Continued) 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued) d. Flicker The Smart Inverter shall not create objectionable flicker for other customers on Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. To minimize the adverse voltage effects experienced by other customers (IEEE 1547-4.3.2), flicker at the PCC caused by the Generating Facility should not exceed the limits defined by the "Maximum Borderline of Irritation Curve" identified in IEEE 519-1992 (IEEE Recommended Practices and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electric Power Systems, IEEE STD 519-1992). This requirement is necessary to minimize the adverse voltage affects experienced by other Customers on Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Generators may be connected and brought up to synchronous speed (as an induction motor) provided these flicker limits are not exceeded. e. Integration with Distribution Provider's Distribution System Grounding The grounding scheme of the Smart Inverter shall not cause over-voltages that exceed the rating of the equipment connected to Distribution Provider's Distribution System and shall not disrupt the coordination of the ground fault protection on Distribution Provider's Distribution System (IEEE 1547-4.1.2) (See Section G.1.i, line configuration). f. Frequency Distribution Provider controls system frequency, and the Smart Inverter shall operate in synchronism with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Whenever Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System frequency at the PCC varies from and remains outside normal (nominally 60 Hz) by the predetermined amounts set forth in Table Hh-2, the Smart Inverter's Protective Functions shall cease to energize Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System within the stated maximum trip time. (Continued) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by 3458-E Caroline Choi Date Filed Aug 22, 2016 Advice

Senior Vice President

Jun 8, 2017

E-4850

Effective Resolution

145C12

Decision

16-06-052



| | | | | | <u>GENERATI</u> | <u>Rule 21</u> ING FACILITY INT | ERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 14 | 46 (T |
|----|----|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|-----------------------|
| ٦. | | | <u>T INVE</u> nued) | ERTE | <u>R GENERATING</u> | (Continued | I) GN AND OPERATI | ING REQUIREME | <u>NTS</u> |
| | 2. | | , | n of l | nterference (Cont | inued) | | | |
| | ۷. | | | | | inueu) | | | |
| | | f. | Frequ | Jency | (Continued) | | | | |
| | | | i) | Freq | uency Ride-Throu | ugh Requirements | | | |
| | | | | Prov frequence elec time | vider's Distributic uency-time range tric grid during a range. frequency values | on or Transmissi e indicated in Ta high or low frequ s are shown in T | I remain connecte on System while t ble Hh-2, and shal ency event that is c able Hh-2. These abnormal frequencie | the grid is within Il disconnect from outside that freque values provide de | the the ncy- |
| | | | | disco Hz a pern othe the | onnect by the defa and 61.5 Hz, or nitted to reduce re r frequency value | ault clearing times some other mutu al power output un mutually agreed b | . In the high frequen ually agreed range, ntil it ceases to expo petween the generati d microgrids may | cy range between the Smart Invert rt power by 61.5 H ing facility operator | er is z, or and |
| | | | Table | disco Hz a pern othe the frequ | onnect by the defa and 61.5 Hz, or nitted to reduce re r frequency value Distribution Prov uency settings. | ault clearing times some other mutu al power output un mutually agreed b vider. Islands and | . In the high frequen ually agreed range, ntil it ceases to expo petween the generati | cy range between the Smart Invert rt power by 61.5 H ing facility operator | er is z, or and |
| | | Fre D | Table ystem equency refault ngs (H | disco Hz a perm othe the frequ e Hh- | onnect by the defa and 61.5 Hz, or nitted to reduce re r frequency value Distribution Prov uency settings. | ault clearing times some other mutu al power output un mutually agreed b vider. Islands and | . In the high frequen ually agreed range, ntil it ceases to expo petween the generati d microgrids may | cy range between the Smart Invert rt power by 61.5 H ing facility operator | er is z, or and |
| | Ş | Fre D setti | ystem quency efault | disco Hz a perm othe the frequ e Hh- | onnect by the defa and 61.5 Hz, or nitted to reduce re r frequency value Distribution Prov uency settings. 2: Frequency Rid Minimum Range of Adjustability | ault clearing times some other mutu al power output un mutually agreed b vider. Islands and de-Through and T Ride Through | In the high frequen ually agreed range, ntil it ceases to expo petween the generati d microgrids may rip Settings Table Ride Through Operational | cy range between the Smart Invert rt power by 61.5 H ing facility operator need different de Maximum | er is z, or and |
| | | Fre D setti f | ystem quency vefault ngs (H | disco Hz a perm othe the frequ e Hh- | onnect by the defa and 61.5 Hz, or nitted to reduce re r frequency value Distribution Prov uency settings. 2: Frequency Rid Minimum Range of Adjustability (Hz) | ault clearing times some other mutu cal power output un mutually agreed b rider. Islands and de-Through and T Ride Through until No Ride | In the high frequen ually agreed range, ntil it ceases to expo between the generati d microgrids may rip Settings Table Ride Through Operational Mode | cy range between the Smart Invert rt power by 61.5 H ing facility operator need different de Maximum Trip Time | er is z, or and |
| | (| Fre D setti f 60.5 | ystem quency refault ngs (H > 62 | disco Hz a perm othe the frequ e Hh- z) | onnect by the defa and 61.5 Hz, or nitted to reduce re r frequency value Distribution Provuency settings. 2: Frequency Rid Minimum Range of Adjustability (Hz) 62 - 64 | ault clearing times some other mutu al power output un mutually agreed b rider. Islands and de-Through and T Ride Through until No Ride Through | . In the high frequen ually agreed range, ntil it ceases to expo- between the generation microgrids may rip Settings Table Ride Through Operational Mode Not Applicable Mandatory | cy range between the Smart Invert rt power by 61.5 H ing facility operator need different de Maximum Trip Time 0.16 seconds | er is z, or and |
| | 6 | Fre D setti f 60.5 8.5 | ystem equency refault ngs (H > 62 $5 < f \le 6$ | disco Hz a perm othe the frequ e Hh- z) 52 0.5 | onnect by the defa and 61.5 Hz, or nitted to reduce re r frequency value Distribution Provuency settings. 2: Frequency Rid Minimum Range of Adjustability (Hz) 62 - 64 60.1 - 62 | ault clearing times some other mutu al power output un mutually agreed b rider. Islands and de-Through and T Ride Through until No Ride Through 299 seconds | . In the high frequen ually agreed range, ntil it ceases to expo- between the generation d microgrids may rip Settings Table Ride Through Operational Mode Not Applicable Mandatory Operation Continuous | cy range between the Smart Invert rt power by 61.5 H ing facility operator need different de Maximum Trip Time 0.16 seconds 300 seconds Not | er is z, or and |

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3458-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledAug 22, 2016EffectiveJun 8, 2017ResolutionE-4850



| | GENERA | Rule 21 TING FACILITY INTERCONNECT | Sheet 147 (T) TIONS | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| (Continued) | | | | | | |
| (Continued) | | | | | | |
| | Hh. <u>SMART INVERTER GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued) | | | | | |
| 2. F | Prevention of Interference (Co | ontinued) | | | | |
| g | g. Harmonics | | | | | |
| | into Distribution Provider's exceed the limits stated exclusive of any harmon Distribution Provider's Dis connected (IEEE 1547-4. | is serving balanced linear loads, H s Distribution or Transmission Sys in Table Hh-4. The harmonic cu ic currents due to harmonic volt tribution or Transmission System 3.3.). The harmonic distortion of criteria as for the Host Loads. | tem at the PCC shall not urrent injections shall be age distortion present in without the Smart Inverter | | | |
| | Maximum harmonic cur | Table Hh-3 rent distortion in percent of current | (1) [1 2] | | | |
| | Individual | | | | | |
| | harmonic order, h (odd harmonics) [3] $h<11$ Max Distortion (%) 4.0 [1] – IEEE1547-4.3.3 [2] – I = the greater of the maxin GF, or the GF rated current cap and the PCC). | $11 \le h < 17$ $17 \le h < 23$ $23 \le h < 35$ 2.0 1.5 0.6 num Host Load current average demand or bacity (transformed to the PCC when a transformed to the PCC when a transformed to the PCC when a transformed to 25% of the odd harmonic limits above. | | | | |
| ł | n. Direct Current Injection | | | | | |
| | | ot inject direct current greater th ovider's Distribution or Transmission | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | (Continued) | | | | |
| (To be inse | erted by utility) | Issued by | (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) | | | |
| Advice _ Decision | 3458-E 16-06-052 | Caroline Choi Senior Vice President | Date Filed Aug 22, 2016 Effective Jun 8, 2017 | | | |

Senior Vice President

Resolution E-4850



An EDISON INTERNATIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

| | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 148 | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| (Continued) | | | | | |
| Hh. <u>SMART INVERTE</u> (Continued) | Hh. <u>SMART INVERTER GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued) | | | | |
| 2. Prevention of I | 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued) | | | | |
| i. Fixed Pow | i. Fixed Power Factor | | | | |
| Smart Inve | shall provide adequate reactive power compensation on site erter power factor near unity at rated output or a Distribution P or in accordance with the following requirements: | | | | |
| | efault Power Factor setting: Absorbing reactive power at 0.9 ctor. | 5 lagging power | (T) | | |
| | gate generating facility is greater than 15 kW: 1.0 +/- 0.15 (eading) down to 20% rated power irrespective of real power pr | | (T) | | |
| | gate generating facility is less than or equal to 15 kW: 1.0 ig to 0.90 Leading) down to 20% rated power irrespective ction. | | (T) | | |
| The Smart of +/- 0.85 0.9 PF for real power | ′olt/Var Operations Inverter shall be capable of operating dynamically within a pow PF for larger (>15 kW) systems, down to 20% of rated active p smaller systems (≤15 kW), down to 20% of rated active power, production. This dynamic volt/var capability shall be able to be d in accordance with Distribution Provider requirements. | ower, and +/- | (T) (T) | | |
| larger pow the implen | bution Provider may permit or require the Smart Inverter system er factor ranges, including in 4-quadrant operations for storage nentation of additional anti-islanding protection as determined b n Provider. | systems with | | | |
| | Inverter shall be capable of providing dynamic reactive power /olt/Var operation) within the following constraints: | compensation | | | |
| ind | e Smart Inverter shall be able to consume reactive power in re crease in line voltage, and produce reactive power in response line voltage. | | | | |
| pr | e reactive power provided shall be per the range irrespective o oduction, but the maximum reactive power provided to the syst ected by the Distribution Provider. | | (T) (T) | | |
| | eduction of real power production is allowed to meet the require wer ranges. | ed reactive | (N) (N) | | |
| | (Continued) | | | | |
| (To be inserted by utility) Advice 3723-E | | erted by Cal. PUC Dec 29. 2017 |) | | |

Decision 14-12-035

Senior Vice President




Rule 21 Sheet 149 (N) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) Hh. SMART INVERTER GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS (Continued) 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued) Dynamic Volt/Var Operations Default Settings Table Hh-4 and Figure Hh-1 depict the default setting, which should be applied for all inverter size. Specific volt/var settings may be required for larger generating facilities (such as 100 kW or greater) or for specific areas with the Distribution System as determined by the Distribution Provider. Default Open Loop Response Time for volt/var operation setting should be five (5) seconds. Table Hh-4: Voltage and Reactive Default Settings Voltage Reactive Reactive Voltage Value Setpoint Setpoint Value Operation V1 92.0% 30% **Reactive Power Injection** Q1 V2 96.7% Q2 0 Unity Power Factor 0 V3 103.3% Q3 Unity Power Factor V4 107.0% Q4 30% **Reactive Power Absorption** Figure Hh-1: Voltage and Reactive Default Settings 80 92. +30% Capacitive VA Rating (Var) Voltage (%V Nom) Inductive 39% 103. -30% 107 (Continued) (To be inserted by utility) (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Issued by

Advice3623-EDecision14-12-035

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledJun 27, 2017EffectiveJul 27, 2017Resolution





16-06-052

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 Apr 26, 2018 Effective E-4898 Resolution




151C23

Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

Resolution

E-4898






Original Cal. PUC Sheet No. 62073-E* Cal. PUC Sheet No.

Resolution

E-4898





An EDISON INTERNATIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. 62074-E* Cal. PUC Sheet No.

Resolution E-4898

| | , | | 0 | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------|
| | | <u>Rule 2</u> GENERATING FACILITY I | | Sheet 153 |
| Hh. | <u>SMART INVE</u> (Continued) | (Continu RTER GENERATING FACILITY DES | | UIREMENTS |
| | 2. Preventio | n of Interference (Continued) | | |
| | p. Defau | It Activation States for Phase 1 Funct | ions | |
| | Provi | es otherwise provided by Distribution der's Distribution Generation Interc gs will be as follows: | | |
| | Table Hh-4: D | Pefault Activation States for Phase 1 F | unctions | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | F | 0 | _ |
| | | Function Anti-islanding | State Activated | |
| | | Anti-Islanding | Activated | |
| | | Low/High Voltage Ride Through | Activated | |
| | | Low/High Frequency Ride Through | Activated | |
| | | Dynamic Volt/Var operations | Activated | |
| | | Ramp rates | Activated | |
| | | Fixed power factor | Deactivated | |
| | | Reconnect by "soft-start" methods | Activated | |
| | | Frequency/Watt | Activated | |
| | | Volt/Watt | Activated | |
| | | Set Active Power Function Mode(Op | | |
| | | | mutual agreeme | |
| | | Dynamic Reactive Power Support M | | |
| | | (Optional) | mutual agreeme | nt |
| (Tab | | (Continu | · · · | |
| | be inserted by ι | | | erted by Cal. PUC) |
| Advie Decis | | 52 <u>Caroline</u> 52 <u>Senior Vice F</u> | | Aug 18, 2017 Apr 26, 2018 |
| | 00-00-03 | | | API 20, 2010 |



Resolution E-4898

| | | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCO | Sheet 154 | (T) | |
|-------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Hh. | (Continued) Ih. <u>SMART INVERTER GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMEN</u> (Continued) | | | | |
| | 2. | 2. Prevention of Interference (Continued) | | | |
| | | These default activation states may be modified by mutu Provider and Producer. | al agreement between Distribution | | |
| | | q. Load Shedding or Transfer | | (T) | |
| | | The voltage and frequency ride-through requirement apply if either: a) The real power across the Point maintained at a value less than 10% of the agg connected to the Generating Facility prior to any vo Facility disconnects from the Distribution Provider's along with Generating Facility load, such that the ne the Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmis aggregate Smart Inverter capacity; or b) Generat equal to 90% to 120% of the predisturbance aggreg within 0.1 seconds of Smart Inverter disconnection. | of Common Coupling is continuous regate rating of the Smart Inverted ltage disturbance, and the Generati Distribution or Transmission Syste et change in real power flow from or sion System is less than 10% of t ing Facility load real power dema | sly ers on m, to he nd | |
| | 3. | Technology Specific Requirements | | | |
| | | Grid-interactive inverters do not require separate suinteractive or "stand-alone" inverters shall not be used f Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. | | | |
| | 4. | . Supplemental Smart Inverter Requirements | | | |
| | | a. Fault Detection | | | |
| | | A Smart Inverter with an SCCR exceeding 0.1 or Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission formation of an Unintended Island shall be equipped to detect Distribution or Transmission System faults and cease to energize Distribution Provider's Distri- two seconds of the initiation of a fault. | n System within two seconds of t ed with Protective Functions design s, both line-to-line and line-to-grour | he ed nd, | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | (Continued) | | | |
| (To b | e in | inserted by utility) Issued by | (To be inserted by Cal. Pl | JC) | |
| Advid | | | Date Filed Aug 18, 201 | , | |
| Decis | sion | on 16-06-052 Senior Vice President | Effective Apr 26, 2018 | 3 | |



| | GENERAT | Rule 21 ING FACILITY INTERCONNECT | Sheet 155 TIONS | (T) |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|----------------------|
| | | (Continued) | | |
| Hh. <u>SMAR</u> (Contir | | FACILITY DESIGN AND OPER | ATING REQUIREMENTS | |
| 4. Su | upplemental Generating Facilit | ty Requirements (Continued) | | |
| b. | Transfer Trip | | | |
| | faults (both line-to-line and and cease to energize Dis | that cannot detect Distribution line-to-ground) or the formation tribution Provider's Distribution ution Provider may require a Tr on. | of an Unintended Island, or Transmission System | |
| с. | Reclose Blocking | | | |
| | any automatic reclosing dev | rating Facility capacity exceeds ice, Distribution Provider may re ot limited to recluse-blocking o | quire additional Protective | |
| 5. Co | ommunication Requirements | | | |
| for Re rel | r Generating Facilities utilizing equest is submitted on or after lease of the SunSpec Alliance | quirements included in this Hh.5 y inverter-based technologies for the later of (a) March 1, 2018 o communication protocol certific gnized communication protocol | r which an Interconnection or (b) nine months after the cation test standard or the | (T) |
| Un teo coi the Dis no | ntil such date, this subsecti chnologies by mutual agreem mmunications requirements f e individual Generating Facilit stribution Provider and comm | ion may be used in all or in nent of the Distribution Provider nerein shall be between (i) the y's inverter control or energy ma unication to the Generating Faci enerating Facility'; or (iii) other o | n part by inverter-based r and the Applicant. The Distribution Provider and anagement system; (ii) the ility through an aggregator | (N) (N) |
| a. | following communication Distribution Provider and the Rule does not specify the co | ng inverter-based technologies protocol requirements for e communication option selected ommunication between the selected ormance will be enforced by this | communication between d under section Hh.5. This cted communication option | (N) (N) |
| | i. Shall be capable of com | imunications; | | |
| | ii. Software shall be update | eable via communications remot | ely; | |
| | · · · | ocol shall be TCP/IP; and, | | |
| | iv. The default application Profile 2.0 (SEP 2)) as Guide, but other applica the parties including I control and IEC 61850. | -level protocol shall be IEEE 20 s defined in the California IEE ation-level protocols may be use EEE 1815/DNP3 for SCADA | 030.5 (<i>i.e.</i> , Smart Energy E 2030.5 Implementation d by mutual agreement of real-time monitoring and | |
| ⁱ Communica | ation utilizing this aggregator a | uction is not permitted at this time (Continued) | Э. | |
| (To be inserte Advice 3 | ed by utility) 647-E | Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> | (To be inserted by Cal. P Date Filed Aug 18, 201 | |

16-06-052 Decision

155C18

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 Apr 26, 2018 Effective Resolution E-4898



An EDISON INTERNATIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

Resolution E-4898

| Rule 21 Sho GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | eet 156 (T) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| (Continued) | | | | |
| Hh. <u>SMART INVERTER GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued) | | | | |
| 5. Communication Requirements (Continued) | | | | |
| Additional communication protocol requirements shall also apply to Gene Facilities utilizing inverter-based technologies as provided in the following docur | | | | |
| i. Distribution Provider Generation Interconnection Handbook, which shall incl | lude: | | | |
| A. Details and guidelines for the implementation of communication Generating Facilities utilizing inverter-based technologies; | is with | | | |
| B. Cybersecurity and privacy requirements (these may additiona alternatively be included in the application-level protocol impleme guide); and, | | | | |
| C. Generic device communications registration management require including how to register individual Generating Facilities, Generatin | acilities ements | | | |
| ii. Application-Level Protocol Implementation Guide, which shall provide | | | | |
| A. Communication requirements and implementation guidelines to consistent interoperability of the Generating Facilities with all Ca investor-owned utilities under the Commission's jurisdiction. | | | | |
| 6. Scheduling Capability Requirements | (N) | | | |
| Generating Facilities which incorporate Smart Inverters shall incorporate sche capabilities with minimum scheduling memory capability of at least 24 events capability for this requirement will become mandatory for Generating Facilities u inverter-based technologies for which an Interconnection Request is submitted on of the later of (a) March 1, 2018 or (b) nine months after the release of the SunSpec A communication protocol certification test standard or the release of another in recognized communication protocol certification test standard. Each event is com of modifications to each, selected group of, or all of the following Smart Inverter func- | s. The utilizing or after Alliance idustry- nposed | | | |
| (Continued) | | | | |
| (To be inserted by utility) Issued by (To be inserted b | y Cal. PUC) | | | |
| | g 18, 2017 26, 2018 | | | |



ATIONAL Company Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. 62078-E Cal. PUC Sheet No.

| GENERA | Rule 21 TING FACILITY INTERCONNI | Sheet 157 ECTIONS | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Hh. <u>SMART INVERTER GENERATIN</u> (Continued) | (Continued) G FACILITY DESIGN AND OF | PERATING REQUIREMENTS | | | |
| 6. Scheduling Capability Requirements (Continued) | | | | | |
| Modifications to the voltage and reactive set-points of the Dynamic volt/var function | | | | | |
| Modification to the normal | ramp-up rate and reconnect ra | imp-up rate set-points. | | | |
| Modifications to the reactive | ve power set-points for the fixed | d power factor function. | | | |
| Modifications to the volt function. | age and watt-reduction level | set-points for the volt/watt | | | |
| The Generating Facility's sche more of the following options: | eduling capability requirement I | herein shall be met by one or | | | |
| Management System (G | uirements may be stored at th FEMS). The GFEMS shall on nverters within 10 minutes fror | communicate the necessary | | | |
| (SMCU) within the Gene | uirements may be stored at the erating Facility. The SMCU sl Inverters within 10 minutes fro | hall communicate necessary | | | |
| within the Generating Fa | uirements may be stored at a acility. The aggregator shall Inverter within 15 minutes of | communicate the necessary | | | |
| Other options may be un Distribution Provider | tilized by mutual agreement | between the Applicant and | | | |
| operational commands to the | ntrol system shall store the Smart Inverters as required by e Smart Inverter shall respor no unreasonable delay. | y the schedule received from | | | |
| Inverter should return to its de | ation shall include and start-tim fault settings at the end of the o cted by the scheduling control s | duration time or shall enter a | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | (Continued) | | | | |
| | (Continued) | | | | |
| (To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E | Issued by Caroline Choi | (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 | | | |

157C9

Decision 16-06-052

Senior Vice President

Apr 26, 2018 Effective Resolution E-4898



Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. 62079-E Cal. PUC Sheet No.

| | <u>GENERATING</u> | Rule 21 FACILITY INTERCONNECT | Sheet 158 TONS |
|--|---|--|--|
| Hh. <u>SMAR</u> (Contir | T INVERTER GENERATING FA | (Continued) CILITY DESIGN AND OPER | ATING REQUIREMENTS |
| (Contin | lueu) | | |
| 7. | Monitoring and Telemetry Requ | lirements | |
| util or Alli ind | e capability for this requirement lizing inverter-based technologies after the later of (a) March 1, 201 iance communication protocol of lustry-recognized communication all have the capability to commun | s for which an Interconnection 18 or (b) nine months after th certification test standard or protocol certification test star | n Request is submitted on e release of the SunSpec r the release of another ndard. The Smart Inverter |
| a. | Smart Inverter production or cor | nsumption of active power (w | atts). |
| b. | Smart Inverter consumption or p | production of reactive power (| (vars) |
| C. | Phase currents measure at the | AC terminal of the Smart Inve | erter (amps) |
| d. | Phase measured at the AC term | ninals of the Smart Inverter (v | rolts) |
| e. | Frequency measured at the AC | terminals of the Smart Invert | er (Hz) |
| Wł mc | nen the Generating Facility includ | des energy-storage with Sma is required: | art Inverters, the following |
| sto use | e Smart Inverter shall be capable orage. Available kWh of the enered ed to support the energy needs a load within the generating facility | ergy storage system is amou of the electric system includ | unt energy which can be |
| Th | perational State as In-Service or e Smart Inverter shall be capable providing electric services as follo | e of communicating when the | n capability requirements. Smart Inverter is capable |
| | In-Service state | | |
| | electric system and operat | indicates that the Smart Inv ting as determined locally b control system as outlined in | y the Generating Facility |
| | No In-Service state | | |
| | connecting to the electric | indicates that the Smart In system and not capable o ed locally or as commanded in Hh.6 | of providing any type of |
| Monitoring and performance information should be communicated in aggregate Generating Facility as follows: | | | ated in aggregate at the |
| | | | |
| | | (Continued) | |
| (To be inserte | ed by utility) | Issued by | (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) |
| | 647-E | Caroline Choi | Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 |

16-06-052 Decision 158C9

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President

Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 Apr 26, 2018 Effective Resolution E-4898



159C9

Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E) Original

Cal. PUC Sheet No. Cal. PUC Sheet No.

Resolution

E-4898

| 62080-E |
|---------|
|---------|

| (| , | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| GEN | Rule 21 IERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECT | IONS | Sheet 159 |
| Hh. <u>SMART INVERTER GENER</u> (Continued) | (Continued) ATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPER | ATING REQU | IIREMENTS |
| 7. Monitoring and Telemetry | Requirements (Continued) | | |
| consumption of | rating Facility includes only Smart Inv active and reactive power shall b Smart Inverters within the Generating Fa | e communica | roduction or ated as an |
| When a Generati as synchronous communicate the | ng Facility includes Smart Inverters and or induction generation systems, the following: | d other techno Generating F | blogies such Facility shall |
| The proc communi Facility. | duction or consumption of active and icated in aggregate of all Smart Invert | reactive pow ers within the | ver shall be Generating |
| communi | duction or consumption of active and icated in aggregate of all the other ng Facility. | | |
| storage systems | ating Facility with Smart Inverters includ . The available kWh energy should he energy storage systems. | | |
| 8. Control through communication | on capabilities | | |
| utilizing inverter-based te or after the approval of I | requirement will become mandatory chnologies for which an Interconnectior EEE 1547.1 standard revisions. Smar an operational controls through commun | n Request is s t Inverters sh | ubmitted on all have the |
| a. Cease to energize co | ntrol command | | |
| communication it mu initiate the opening c | Inverter receives a cease-to-energ ust enter into a cease-to-energize sta of the DER switch referenced in the inv e DER system from the Distribution Sys | ate of operativerter termina | ion or shall |
| b. Return to service con | trol command | | |
| Inverter may return to | rerter receives a return-to-service cont o service operation as required by Gene heduling control system as required by | erating Facility | |
| c. Limit Active Power co | ommand | | |
| Smart Inverter shall | erter receives a command to limit its pro reduce its real power production to the Smart Inverter or to a specified real po | specified per | |
| | (Continued) | | |
| (To be inserted by utility) | Issued by | • | ted by Cal. PUC) |
| Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> | <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President | Date Filed Effective | Aug 18, 2017 Apr 26, 2018 |
| | | | · |



Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

Original Cancelling

Cal. PUC Sheet No. 62081-E* Cal. PUC Sheet No.

| Rule 21 Sheet 160 <u>GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS</u> | |
|--|--|
| (Continued) Hh. <u>SMART INVERTER GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Continued) | |
| 8. Control through communication capabilities (Continued) | |
| d. Suspension of Active Power restriction | |
| When the Smart Inverter receives a command to suspend the command for active power reduction, the Smart Inverter may return to normal operation as required by Generating Facility operator or as required by the scheduling control system as required by section H.6 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) 3647-E Advice 16-06-052 Decision 160C12

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 Apr 26, 2018 Effective Resolution E-4898



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 161 (T)

(Continued)

- I. Third-Party Installations, Reservation of Unused Facilities, and Refund of Salvage Value
 - 1. Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades

Except as provided for in the Generator Interconnection Agreement of this Rule, Interconnection Facilities connected to Distribution Provider's side of the PCC and Distribution Upgrades shall be provided, installed, owned, and maintained by Distribution Provider at Producer's expense.

2. Third-Party Installations

Subject to the approval of Distribution Provider, a Producer may, at its option, employ a qualified contractor to provide and install Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades, to be owned and operated by Distribution Provider, on Distribution Provider's side of the PCC. Such Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades shall be installed in accordance with Distribution Provider's design and specifications. Upon final inspection and acceptance by Distribution Provider, Producer shall transfer ownership of such Producer installed Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades to Distribution Provider and such facilities shall thereafter be owned and maintained by Distribution Provider at Producer's expense. Producer shall pay Distribution for such facilities to ensure compliance with Distribution Provider's requirements. Producer shall also be responsible for all costs, including any income tax liability, associated with the transfer of Producer installed Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades to Distribution Provider.

3. Reservation of Unused Facilities

When a Producer wishes to reserve Distribution Provider-owned Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades installed and operated as Added Facilities for Producer at Producer's expense, but idled by a change in the operation of Producer's Generating Facility or otherwise, Producer may elect to abandon or reserve such facilities consistent with the terms of its agreement with Distribution Provider. If Producer elects to reserve idle Interconnection Facilities or Distribution Upgrades, Distribution Provider shall be entitled to continue to charge Producer for the costs related to the ongoing operation and maintenance of the Added Facilities.

4. Refund of Salvage Value

When a Producer elects to abandon the Special Facilities or Added Facilities for which it has either advanced the installed costs or constructed and transferred to Distribution Provider, Producer shall, at a minimum, receive from Distribution Provider a credit for the net salvage value of the Added Facilities.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 162 (T)

(Continued)

J. METERING, MONITORING AND TELEMETERING

1. General Requirements

All Generating Facilities shall be metered in accordance with this Section J and shall meet all applicable standards of Distribution Provider contained in Distribution Provider's applicable tariffs and published Distribution Provider manuals dealing with Metering specifications.

2. Metering by Non-Distribution Provider Parties

The ownership, installation, operation, reading, and testing of revenue Metering Equipment for Generating Facilities shall be by Distribution Provider except to the extent that the Commission authorizes any or all these services be performed by others.

3. Net Generation Output Metering

Generating Facility customers may be required to install Net Generation Output Metering for evaluation, monitoring, and verification purposes and to determine applicable standby and non-bypassable charges as defined in Distribution Provider's tariffs, to satisfy applicable California Independent System Operator (CAISO) reliability requirements, and for Distribution System planning and operations.

However, Generating Facility customers do not need to install Net Generation Output Metering where less intrusive and/or more cost effective options, for Producer/Customer, are available for providing generator data to Distribution Provider. These Generating Facilities may opt to have Distribution Provider estimate load data in accordance with Distribution Provider's applicable tariffs to determine or meet applicable standby and non-bypassable and other applicable charges and tariff requirements. However, if a Generating Facility customer objects to Distribution Provider's estimate of the Generator(s) output, the customer may elect to install the Net Generation Output Metering, or have Distribution Provider install Net Generation Output Metering at the customer's expense.

All metering options available to the customer must conform to the requirements set forth in Distribution Provider's Rule 22. If Distribution Provider does not receive meter data in accordance with Rule 22, Distribution Provider shall have the right to install Distribution Provider-owned Net Generation Output Metering at the customer's expense. The relevant factors in determining the need for Net Generation Output Metering are as listed below:

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



| | | | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 163 | (T) | | |
|--|--|-----|---|--------------------|--------|--|--|
| J. | (Continued) J. <u>METERING, MONITORING AND TELEMETERING</u> (Continued) | | | | | | |
| | 3. Net Generation Output Metering (Continued) | | | | | | |
| | | (a) | Data requirements in proportion to need for information; | | | | |
| | | (b) | Producer's election to install equipment that adequately addr Provider's operational requirements; | esses Distribution | | | |
| | | (c) | Accuracy and type of required Metering consistent with purp data; | oses of collecting | | | |
| | | (d) | Cost of Metering relative to the need for and accuracy of the da | ıta; | | | |
| | | (e) | The Generating Facility's size relative to the cost of the Meterin | g/monitoring; | | | |
| | | (f) | Other means of obtaining the data (e.g. Generating Facility etc.); | logs, proxy data, | | | |
| | | (g) | Requirements under any Generator Interconnection Agreemen | t with Producer. | | | |
| The requirements in this Section may not apply to Metering of Generating Facilities operating under Distribution Provider's Net Energy Metering tariffs. Nothing in this Section J.3 supersedes Section D.4, Compliance with Laws, Rules and Tarit Schedules. | | | | | | | |
| | Distribution Provider will report to the Commission or designated authority, on quarterly basis, the rationale for requiring Net Generation Output Metering equipmen each instance along with the size and location of the facility. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | (Continued) | | | | |
| (To be inserted by utility) | | | | nserted by Cal. PU | C) | | |

Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> 163C17 Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 164 (T)

(Continued)

J. <u>METERING, MONITORING AND TELEMETERING</u> (Continued)

4. Point of Common Coupling (PCC) Metering

For purposes of assessing Distribution Provider's charges for retail service, Producer's PCC Metering shall be reviewed by Distribution Provider, and if required, replaced to ensure that it will appropriately measure electric power according to the provisions of the Customer's electric service Tariff. Where required, the Customer's existing meter may be replaced with a bi-directional meter so that power deliveries to and from Producer's site can be separately recorded. Alternately, Producer may, at its sole option and cost, require Distribution Provider to install multi-metering equipment to separately record power deliveries to Distribution Provider's Distribution System and retail purchases from Distribution Provider. Where necessary, such PCC Metering shall be designed to prevent reverse registration.

Generating Facilities participating in Net Energy Metering shall have metering provided pursuant to the terms of the applicable Net Energy Metering tariff Schedule.

5. Telemetering

If the nameplate rating of the Generating Facility is 1 MW or greater, Telemetering equipment at the Net Generation Output Metering location may be required at Producer's expense. If the Generating Facility is Interconnected to a portion of Distribution Provider's Distribution System operating at a voltage below 10 kV, then Telemetering equipment may be required on Generating Facilities 250 kW or greater. Distribution Provider shall only require Telemetering to the extent that less intrusive and/or more cost effective options for providing the necessary data in real time are not available. Distribution Provider will report to the Commission or designated authority, on a quarterly basis, the rationale for requiring Telemetering equipment in each instance along with the size and location of the facility.

6. Location

Where Distribution Provider-owned Metering is located on Producer's premises, Producer shall provide, at no expense to Distribution Provider, a suitable location for all such Metering Equipment.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 165 (T)

(Continued)

J. <u>METERING, MONITORING AND TELEMETERING</u> (Continued)

7. Costs of Metering

Producer will bear all costs of the Metering required by this Rule, including the incremental costs of operating and maintaining the Metering Equipment.

8. Multiple Tariff Metering

The requirements of Section J.3 may not apply where a Generating Facility includes multiple generators eligible for service under more than one Net Energy Metering (NEM) tariff schedule (e.g. NEM-1, NEM-2, BG-NEM, FC-NEM), or where a Generating Facility consists of one or more NEM-eligible generators in combination with one or more non-NEM eligible generators without Non-Export relays ("Reverse Power Protection"). To ensure proper tariff administration, metering will be required at the PCC and at each of the NEM eligible generator groups eligible for service under the same NEM tariff schedule. For combinations of multiple NEM eligible generators under different tariffs, billing administration and metering requirements will be as specified in the appropriate NEM tariff schedule.

Where a Generating Facility consists of one or more NEM eligible generator groups in combination with one or more non-NEM generators, metering of the non-NEM generators is not required, except as specified in Section J.3.

K. DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS

In addition to the informal procedures for timeline-related disputes set out in Section F.1.d, the following procedures will apply for disputes arising from this Rule:

1. Scope

The Commission shall have initial jurisdiction to interpret, add, delete or modify any provision of this Rule or of any agreements entered into between Distribution Provider and Applicant or Producer to implement this tariff ("Implementing Agreements") and to resolve disputes regarding Distribution Provider's performance of its obligations under Commission-jurisdictional tariffs, the applicable agreements, and requirements related to the interconnection of Applicant's or Producer's Generating Facility or Interconnection Facilities pursuant to this Rule.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 166 (T)

(Continued)

K. DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS (Continued)

2. Procedures

Any dispute arising between Distribution Provider and Producer (individually referred to in Section K as "Party" and collectively "the Parties") regarding Distribution Provider's or Producer's performance of its obligations under its tariffs, the Implementing Agreements, and requirements related to the interconnection of Producer's Facilities pursuant to this Rule shall be resolved according to the following procedures:

a. The dispute shall be documented in a written notice ("notice")by the aggrieved Party to the other Party containing the relevant known facts pertaining to the dispute, the specific dispute and the relief sought, and express notice by the aggrieved Party that it is invoking the procedures under this Section. The notice shall be sent to the Party's email address and physical address set forth in the Generator Interconnection Agreement or Interconnection Request, if there is no Generator Interconnection Agreement. A copy of the notice shall also be sent to the Energy Division, Office of the Director, at the Commission. The receiving Party shall acknowledge the notice within five (5) Calendar Days of its receipt.

Upon the aggrieved Party notifying the other Party of the dispute, each Party must designate a representative with the authority to make decisions for its respective Party to review the dispute within seven (7) Calendar Days. In addition, upon receipt of the notice, Distribution Provider shall provide the aggrieved Party with all relevant regulatory and/or technical details and analysis regarding any Distribution Provider interconnection requirements under dispute within twenty-one (21) Calendar Days.

Within forty-five (45) Calendar Days of the date of the notice, the Parties' authorized representatives will be required to meet and confer to try to resolve the dispute. Parties are expected to operate in good faith and use best efforts to resolve the dispute.

b. If a resolution is not reached in forty-five (45) Calendar Days from the date of the notice, either 1) a Party may request to continue negotiations for an additional forty-five (45) Calendar Days or 2) the Parties may by mutual agreement make a written request for mediation to the ADR Coordinator in the Commission's ALJ Division. The request may be submitted by electronic mail to adr_program@cpuc.ca.gov. Alternatively, both Parties by mutual agreement may request mediation from an outside third-party mediator with costs to be shared equally between the Parties.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 167 (T)

(Continued)

K. <u>DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS</u> (Continued)

- 2. Procedures (Continued)
 - c. At any time, either Party may file a formal complaint before the Commission pursuant to California PUC section 1702 and Article 4 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the rights of any Party to exercise rights and remedies under Commission law.

3. Performance During Dispute

Pending resolution of any dispute under this Section, the Parties shall proceed diligently with the performance of their respective obligations under this Rule and the Implementing Agreements, unless the Implementing Agreements have been terminated. Disputes as to the Interconnection Request and implementation of this Section shall be subject to resolution pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Section.

L. <u>CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA</u>

1. Introduction

This Section describes the test procedures and requirements for equipment used for the Interconnection of Generating Facilities to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Included are Type Testing, Production Testing, Commissioning Testing, and Periodic Testing. The procedures listed rely heavily on those described in appropriate Underwriters Laboratory (UL), Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) documents—most notably UL 1741 (including UL 1741 – Supplement SA).

(T)

The tests described here, together with the technical requirements in Sections H and Hh (T) of this Rule, are intended to provide assurance that the Generating Facility's equipment will not adversely affect Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System and that a Generating Facility will cease providing power to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System under abnormal conditions. The tests were developed assuming a low level of Generating Facility penetration or number of connections to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. At high levels of Generating Facility penetration, additional requirements and corresponding test procedures may need to be defined.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3647-EDecision16-06-052167017

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 168 (T)

(Continued)

L. CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued)

1. Introduction (Continued)

Section L also provides criteria for "Certifying" Generators or inverters. Once a Generator or inverter has been Certified per this Rule, it may be considered suitable for Interconnection with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Subject to the exceptions described in Section L, Distribution Provider will not repeat the design review or require retesting of such Certified Equipment. It should be noted that the Certification process is intended to facilitate Generating Facilities Interconnections. Certification is not a prerequisite to interconnect a Generating Facility for Section H but it is a prerequisite for inverters installed after September 8, 2017, pursuant to Section H but of this Rule.

(D)

(T)

(T)

- 2. Certified and Non-Certified Interconnection Equipment
 - a. Certified Equipment

Equipment tested and approved (i.e. "Listed") by an accredited NRTL as having met both the Type Testing and Production Testing requirements described in this document is considered to be Certified Equipment for purposes of Interconnection with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Certification may apply to either a pre-packaged system or an assembly of components that address the necessary functions. Type Testing may be done in the manufacturer's factory or test laboratory, or in the field. At the discretion of the testing laboratory, field-certification may apply only to the particular installation tested. In such cases, some or all of the tests may need to be repeated at other installations.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



| | | | | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | Sheet 169 | (T) | | | | |
|--|--|-------|--|--|---------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | (Continued) | | | | | | |
| L. | L. <u>CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA</u> (Continued) | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. | Certi | ified ar | d and Non-Certified Interconnection Equipment (Continued) | | | | | | |
| | | a. | Certi | ertified Equipment (Continued) | | | | | | |
| | | | manı | When equipment is Certified by a NRTL, the NRTL shall provide to the manufacturer, at a minimum, a Certificate with the following information for each device: | | | | | | |
| | | | Admi | Administrative: | | | | | | |
| | | | (1) | The effective date of Certification or applicable serial number in series), and/or other proof that certification is current; | (range or first | | | | | |
| | | | (2) | Equipment model number(s) of the Certified equipment; | | | | | | |
| | | | (3) | The software version utilized in the equipment, if applicable; | | | | | | |
| | | | (4) | Test procedures specified (including date or revision number); and | | | | | | |
| (5) Laboratory accreditation (by whom and to what standard). | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Tech | nical (as appropriate): | | | | | | |
| | | | (1) | Device ratings (kW, kV, Volts, amps, etc.); | | | | | | |
| | | | (2) | Maximum available fault current in amps; | | | | | | |
| | | | (3) | In-rush Current in amps; | | | | | | |
| | | | (4) | Trip points, if factory set (trip value and timing); | | | | | | |
| | | | (5) | Trip point and timing ranges for adjustable settings; | | | | | | |
| | | | (6) | Nominal power factor or range if adjustable; | | | | | | |
| | | | (7) | If the equipment is Certified as Non-Exporting and the method used (reverse power or underpower); and | | | | | | |
| | | | (8) | If the equipment is Certified as Non-Islanding | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | (Continued) | | | | | | |
| (To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E | | | | Issued by (To be inser Caroline Choi Date Filed | rted by Cal. PU Aug 18, 2017 | , | | | | |
| Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u> | | | <u>Senior Vice President</u> Effective | Apr 26, 2018 | | | | | | |

169C17

Effective Apr 26, 2018 Resolution E-4898



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 170 (T)

(Continued)

L. <u>CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA</u> (Continued)

- 2. Certified and Non-Certified Interconnection Equipment (Continued)
 - a. Certified Equipment (Continued)

It is the responsibility of the equipment manufacturer to ensure that Certification information is made publicly available by the manufacturer, the testing laboratory, or by a third party.

b. Non-Certified Equipment

For non-Certified equipment, some or all of the tests described in this Rule may be required by Distribution Provider for each Generating and/or Interconnection Facility. The manufacturer or a laboratory acceptable to Distribution Provider may perform these tests. Test results for non-Certified equipment must be submitted to Distribution Provider for the Supplemental Review. Approval by Distribution Provider for equipment used in a particular Generating and/or Interconnection Facility does not guarantee Distribution Provider's approval for use in other Generating and/or Interconnection Facilities.

- 3. Type Testing
 - a. Type Tests and Criteria for Interconnection Equipment Certification

Type testing provides a basis for determining that equipment meets the specifications for being designated as Certified equipment under this Rule. The requirements described in this Section cover only issues related to Interconnection and are not intended to address device safety or other issues.

Table L.1 defines the test criteria by Generator or inverter technology. While UL 1741(1) and UL 1741 – Supplement SA were written specifically for inverters, the requirements are readily adaptable to synchronous Generators, induction Generators, as well as single/multi-function controllers and protection relays. Until a universal test standard is developed, Distribution Provider or NRTL shall adopt the procedures referenced in Table L.1 as appropriate and necessary for a Generating Facility and/or Interconnection Facilities or associated equipment performance and its control and Protection Functions. These tests shall be performed in the sequence shown in Table L.2.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC)Date FiledAug 18, 2017EffectiveApr 26, 2018ResolutionE-4898

(T)



Rule 21 **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS**

Sheet 171 (T)

(Continued)

CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued) L.

| Table L.1 | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Type Test and Requirements | for Interconnection | Equipment Certification | | | | |

| | | | Smart | Synchronous | Induction |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Type Test | Referance (1) | Inverter (6) | Inverter (7) | Generators | Generators |
| Utility Interaction | UL 1741 - 39, 40 | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Utility Compatibility (Required testing to 1547 and 1547.1 | UL 1741 - 46 | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| DC Isolation | IEEE 1547.1(8) -5.6 | х | Х | - | - |
| Dielectric Voltage Withstand | IEEE1547.1(8) -5.5.3 | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Harmonic Distortion | IEEE-1547.1(8) -5.11 | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| DC Injection | IEEE1547.1(8) -5.6 | х | Х | - | - |
| Distribution Provider Voltage Variations | IEEE1547.1 - 5.2 | х | - | Х | х |
| Distribution Provider Frequency Variations | IEEE1547.1 - 5.3 | х | - | Х | х |
| Abnormal Tests | UL 1741 - 47 | | | | |
| Loss of Control Circuit | UL 1741 - 47.8 | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Short Circuit | UL 1741 - 47.3 | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Load Transfer | UL 1741 - 47.7 | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Surge Withstand Capability | L.3.e | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Anti-Islanding (Traditional) | L.3.b | (2) | - | (2) | (2) |
| Non-Export | L.3.c | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| In-rush Current | L.3.d | - | - | - | (4) |
| Synchronization | L.3.f | (5) | (5) | Х | (5) |
| Anti-islanding (Smart Inverters) | UL 1741 SA - SA8 | - | Х | - | - |
| Low and High Voltage Ride-through | UL 1741 SA - SA9 | - | х | - | - |
| Low and High Frequency Ride-through | UL 1741 SA - SA10 | - | х | - | - |
| Normal and Soft-Start Ramp Rate | UL 1741 SA - SA11 | - | Х | - | - |
| Specified Power Factor | UL 1741 SA - SA12 | - | х | - | - |
| Volt/Var Mode (Q(V)) | UL 1741 SA - SA13 | - | х | - | - |
| Frequency-Watt (optional) | UL 1741 SA - SA14 | - | х | - | - |
| Volt-Watt (optional) | UL 1741 SA - SA15 | - | х | - | - |
| Markings and Instructions | UL 1741 SA - SA6 , SA16 | - | х | - | - |

References are to section numbers in either UL 1741 and/or UL 1741 – Supplement SA (Inverters, Converters and Charge Controllers for Use in Independent Power Systems) or this Rule. References in UL 1741 to "photovoltaics" or "inverter" may have to be adapted to the other technologies by the testing laboratory to Table Notes: (1)

(2) (3)

(4) (5)

appropriately apply in the tests to other technologies. Required only if Non-Islanding designation Required only if Non-Export designation is desired. Required for Generators that use Distribution Provider power to motor to speed. Required for all self-excited induction Generators as well as Inverters that operate as voltage sources when connected to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System.

- (6) Inverters compliant with Section H
- Inverters compliant with Section Hh IEEE-1547.1 refers to the 2005 revision
- (7) (8) X =

Required - =

Not Required

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E 16-06-052 Decision 171C17

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 Apr 26, 2018 Effective E-4898 Resolution

(T)

(N)

(Ń)



| | | Rule 21 Sheet 172 (T) GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS Sheet 172 (T) |
|---------------|---------|--|
| L. <u>CER</u> | | (Continued) <u>TION AND TESTING CRITERIA</u> (Continued) |
| 3. | Тур | e Testing (Continued) |
| | a. | Type Tests and Criteria for Interconnection Equipment Certification (Continued) |
| | | <u>Table L.2</u> Type Tests Sequence for Interconnection Equipment Certification |
| | | <u>Test No.</u> <u>Type Test</u> 1. Distribution Provider Voltage and Frequency Variation |
| | | 2. Synchronization |
| | | 3. Surge Withstand Capability |
| | | 4. Distribution Provider Voltage and Frequency Variation, including ride through (N) |
| | | 5. Synchronization |
| | | 6. Other Required and Optional Tests |
| | | Tests 1, 2, and 3 must be done first and in the order shown. Tests 4 and on follow in order convenient to the test agency. |
| | b. | Anti-Islanding Test |
| | | Devices that pass the Anti-Islanding test procedures described in UL 1741 (T) Section 46.3 and/or UL 1741 – Supplement SA Section SA8 will be considered (T) Non-Islanding for the purposes of these Interconnection requirements. The test is required only for devices for which a Certified Non-Islanding designation is desired. |
| | C. | Non-Export Test |
| | | Equipment that passes the Non-Export test procedure described in Section L.7.a will be considered Non-Exporting for the purposes of these Interconnection requirements. This test is required only for devices for which a Certified Non-Export designation is desired. |
| | | |
| | | (Continued) |
| (To be insert | ed by u | tility) Issued by (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) |

 Advice
 3647-E

 Decision
 16-06-052

 172C17
 172C17

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 173 (T)

(Continued)

L. <u>CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA</u> (Continued)

- 3. Type Testing (Continued)
 - d. In-rush Current Test

Generation equipment that utilizes Distribution Provider power to motor up to speed will be tested using the procedure defined in Section L.7.b to determine the maximum current drawn during this startup process. The resulting In-rush Current is used to estimate the Starting Voltage Drop.

e. Surge Withstand Capability Test

The interconnection equipment shall be tested for the surge withstand requirement in Section H.1.c in all normal operating modes in accordance with IEEE Std C62.45-2002 for equipment rates less than 1000 V to confirm that the surge withstand capability is met by using the selected test level(s) from IEEE Std C62.41.2-2002. Interconnection equipment rated greater than 1000 V shall be tested in accordance with manufacturer or system integrator designated applicable standards. For interconnection equipment signal and control circuits, use IEEE Std C37.90.1-2002. These tests shall confirm the equipment did not fail, did not misoperate, and did not provide misinformation (IEEE 1547-5.1.3.2).

The location/exposure category for which the equipment has been tested shall be clearly marked on the equipment label or in the equipment documentation. External surge protection may be used to protect the equipment in harsher location/exposure categories.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 174 (T)

E-4898

Resolution

(Continued)

L. CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued)

- 3. Type Testing (Continued)
 - f. Synchronization Test

This test is applied to synchronous Generators, self-excited induction generators, and inverters capable of operating as voltage-source while connected to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. The test is also applied to the resynchronization Function (transition from standalone to parallel operation) on equipment that provides such functionality. This test may not need to be performed on both the synchronization and resynchronization functions if the manufacturers can verify to the satisfaction of the testing organization that monitoring and controls hardware and software are common to both functions. This test is not necessary for induction generators or current-source inverters. Instead, the In-rush Current test Section L.3.d shall be applied to those generators.

This test shall demonstrate that at the moment of the paralleling-device closure, all three synchronization parameters in Table L.3 are within the stated limits. This test shall also demonstrate that if any of the parameters are outside of the limits stated in the table, the paralleling-device shall not close (IEEE 1547-5.1.2A). The test will start with only one of the three parameters: (1) voltage difference between Generating Facility and Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System; (2) frequency difference; or (3) phase angle outside of the synchronization specification. Verify that the Generating Facility is brought within specification prior to synchronization. Repeat the test five times for each of the three parameters. For manual synchronization with synch check or manual control with auto synchronization, the test must verify that paralleling does not occur until the parameters are brought within specifications.

Table L.3 Synchronization Parameter Limits [1]

| Aggregate Rating | Frequency | Voltage Difference Phase Angl | e |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| of Generator Units | Difference | (ΔV, %) | Difference |
| (kVA) | (∆f, Hz) | | $(\Delta \Phi, \circ)$ |
| 0-500 | 0.3 | 10 | 20 |
| > 500-1,500 | 0.2 | 5 | 15 |
| > 1,500-10,000 | 0.1 | 3 | 10 |
| <i>[1]</i> -IEEE 1547-5.1 | .1B | | |
| | | (Continued) | |
| | | (Continued) | |
| (To be inserted by utility) | | Issued by | (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) |
| Advice 3647-E | | Caroline Choi | Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 |
| Decision 16-06-052 | Se | <u>nior Vice President</u> | Effective Apr 26, 2018 |



Rule 21 Sheet 175 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued) L. 3. Type Testing (Continued) Paralleling Device Withstand Test g. The di-electric voltage withstand test specified in Section L.1 shall be performed on the paralleling device to ensure compliance with those requirements specified in Section H.1.c (IEEE 1547-5.1.3.3). 4. **Production Testing** At a minimum, each interconnection system shall be subjected to Distribution Provider Voltage and Frequency Variation Test procedure described in UL1741 under Manufacturing and Production Tests, Section 68 and the Synchronization test specified in Section L.3.f. Interconnection systems with adjustable set points shall be tested at a single set of set points as specified by the manufacturer. This test may be performed in the factory or as part of a Commissioning Test (Section L.5). 5. Commissioning Testing Commissioning Testing a. Commissioning Testing, where required, will be performed on-site to verify protective settings and functionality. Upon initial Parallel Operation of a Generating Facility, or any time interface hardware or software is changed that may affect the functions listed below, a Commissioning Test must be performed. An individual qualified in testing protective equipment (professional engineer, factory-certified technician, or licensed electrician with experience in testing protective equipment) must perform Commissioning Testing in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended test procedure to verify the settings and requirements per this Rule. Distribution Provider may require written Commissioning test procedure be submitted to Distribution Provider at least 10 working days prior to the performance of the Commissioning Test. Distribution Provider has the right to witness Commissioning Test, Distribution Provider may also require written certification by the installer describing which tests were performed and their results. Protective Functions to be tested during commissioning, particularly with respect to non-Certified equipment, may consist of the following: (Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

175C17

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



| | | | Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNEC | Sheet 176 TIONS | (T) |
|--|-----|-----------------|--|--|---|
| L. | CEF | RTIFIC | (Continued) ATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued) | | |
| | 5. | | missioning Testing (Continued) | | |
| | | a. | Commissioning Testing (Continued) | | |
| | | | (1) Over and under voltage | | |
| | | | (2) Over and under frequency | | |
| | | | (3) Anti-Islanding function (if applicable) | | |
| | | | (4) Non-Exporting function (if applicable) | | |
| | | | (5) Inability to energize dead line | | |
| | | | (6) Time delay on restart after Distribution Provider sou | irce is stable | |
| | | | (7) Distribution Provider system fault detection (if used) | 1 | |
| | | | (8) Synchronizing controls (if applicable) | | |
| | | | (9) Other Interconnection Protective Functions that ma Generator Interconnection Agreement | ay be required as part of the | ; |
| | | | Commissioning Test shall include visual inspection equipment and protective settings to confirm complian requirements. | | |
| | | b. | Review, Study, and Additional Commissioning Test Veri | ification Costs | |
| studie Sectio throug Produ Costs within Distrib by Dis from t any, v not s | | | A Producer shall be responsible for the reasonably ind studies and additional Commissioning Test verificat Section E of this Rule. If the initial Commissioning Test through no fault of Distribution Provider, Distribution Producer a cost based charge for subsequent Commis Costs for additional Commissioning Test verifications within thirty days of receipt of Distribution Provider's invo Distribution Provider shall consist of the hourly rate mu by Distribution Provider and will separately specify the from that spent in roundtrip travel to the Commissioning any, will be specified on the invoice. If the initial Com- not successful through the fault of Distribution Pro- considered the initial Commissioning Test verification. | tions conducted pursuant to at verification is not successful Provider may impose upon sioning Test verifications. Al s shall be paid by Producer oice. The invoice provided by ultiplied by the hours incurred amount of time spent on-site g Test site. Additional cost, it missioning Test verification is |) / |
| | | | (Continued) | | |
| (To l Advi | | erted b 3647 | y utility) Issued by -E Caroline Choi | (To be inserted by Cal. PU Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 | , |

176C17

Decision

16-06-052

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet 177 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued) L. 5. Commissioning Testing (Continued) Other Checks and Tests C. Other checks and tests that may need to be performed include: (1) Verifying final Protective Function settings (2) Trip test (L.5.g) (3) In-service tests (L.5.h) d. Certified Equipment Generating Facilities qualifying for interconnection through the Fast Track process incorporate Certified Equipment that have, at a minimum, passed the Type Tests and Production Tests described in this Rule and are judged to have little or no potential impact on Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. For such Generating Facilities, it is necessary to perform only the following tests: (1) Protective Function settings that have been changed after Production Testing will require field verification. Tests shall be performed using injected secondary frequencies, voltages and currents, applied waveforms, at a test connection using a Generator to simulate abnormal Distribution Provider voltage or frequency, or varying the set points to show that the device trips at the measured (actual) Distribution Provider voltage or frequency. (2) The Non-Islanding function shall be checked by operating a load break disconnect switch to verify the Interconnection equipment ceases to energize Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System and does not reenergize it for the required time delay after the switch is closed. (3) The Non-Exporting function shall be checked using secondary injection techniques. This function may also be tested by adjusting the Generating Facility output and local loads to verify that the applicable Non-Exporting criteria (i.e., reverse power or underpower) are met. (Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)Advice3647-EDecision16-06-052177C17

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet

Sheet 178 (T)

(Continued)

L. <u>CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA</u> (Continued)

- 5. Commissioning Testing (Continued)
 - d. Certified Equipment (Continued)

The Supplemental Review or an Interconnection Study may impose additional components or additional testing.

e. Non-Certified Equipment

Non-certified Equipment shall be subjected to the appropriate tests described in Type Testing (Section L.3) as well as those described in Certified Equipment Commissioning Tests (Section L.5.d). With Distribution Provider's approval, these tests may be performed in the factory, in the field as part of commissioning, or a combination of both. Distribution Provider, at its discretion, may also approve a reduced set of tests for a particular Generating Facility or, for example, if it determines it has sufficient experience with the equipment.

f. Verification of Settings

At the completion of Commission testing, Producer shall confirm all devices are set to Distribution Provider-approved settings. Verification shall be documented in the Commissioning Test Certification.

g. Trip Tests

Interconnection Protective Functions and devices (e.g. reverse power relays) that have not previously been tested as part of the Interconnection Facilities with their associated interrupting devices (e.g. contactor or circuit breaker) shall be trip tested during commissioning. The trip test shall be adequate to prove that the associated interrupting devices open when the protective devices operate. Interlocking circuits between Protective Function devices or between interrupting devices shall be similarly tested unless they are part of a system that has been tested and approved during manufacturing.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 179 (T)

(Continued)

L. CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued)

- 5. Commissioning Testing (Continued)
 - h. In-service Tests

Interconnection Protective Functions and devices that have not previously been tested as part of the Interconnection Facilities with their associated instrument transformers or that are wired in the field shall be given an in-service test during commissioning. This test will verify proper wiring, polarity, CT/PT ratios, and proper operation of the measuring circuits. The in-service test shall be made with the power system energized and carrying a known level of current. A measurement shall be made of the magnitude and phase angle of each Alternating Current (AC) voltage and current connected to the protective device and the results compared to expected values. For protective devices with built-in Metering Functions that report current and voltage magnitudes and phase angles, or magnitudes of current, voltage, and real and reactive power, the metered values may be used for in-service testing. Otherwise, portable ammeters, voltmeters, and phase-angle meters shall be used.

6. Periodic Testing

Periodic Testing of Interconnection-related Protective Functions shall be performed as specified by the manufacturer, or at least every four years. All Periodic Tests prescribed by the manufacturer shall be performed. Producer shall maintain Periodic Test reports or a log for inspection by Distribution Provider. Periodic Testing conforming to Distribution Provider test intervals for the particular Line Section may be specified by Distribution Provider under special circumstances, such as high fire hazard areas. Batteries used to activate any Protective Function shall be checked and logged once per month for proper voltage. Once every four years, the battery must be either replaced or a discharge test performed.

7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards

This Section describes the additional Type Tests necessary to qualify a device as Certified under this Rule. These Type Tests are not contained in Underwriters Laboratories UL 1741 Standard *Inverters, Converters and Controllers for Use in Independent Power Systems,* or other referenced standards.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 180 (T)

(Continued)

L. CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued)

- 7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards (Continued)
 - a. Non-Exporting Test Procedures

The Non-Exporting test is intended to verify the operation of relays, controllers and inverters designed to limit the export of power and certify the equipment as meeting the requirements of Screen I, Options 1 and 2, of the review process. Tests are provided for discrete relay packages and for controllers and inverters with the intended Functions integrated.

i) Discrete Reverse Power Relay Test

This version of the Non-Exporting test procedure is intended for discrete reverse power and underpower relay packages provided to meet the requirements of Options 1 and 2 of Screen I. It should be understood that in the reverse power application, the relay will provide a trip output with power flowing in the export (toward Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System) direction.

Step 1: Power Flow Test at Minimum, Midpoint and Maximum Pickup Level Settings

Determine the corresponding secondary pickup current for the desired export power flow of 0.5 secondary watts (the minimum pickup setting, assumes 5 amp and 120V CT/PT secondary). Apply nominal voltage with minimum current setting at zero (0) degrees phase angle in the trip direction. Increase the current to pickup level. Observe the relay's (LCD or computer display) indication of power values. Note the indicated power level at which the relay trips. The power indication should be within 2% of the expected power. For relays with adjustable settings, repeat this test at the midpoint, and maximum settings. Repeat at phase angles of 90, 180 and 270 degrees and verify that the relay does <u>not</u> operate (measured watts will be zero or negative).

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 181 (T)

(Continued)

L. <u>CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA</u> (Continued)

- 7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards (Continued)
 - a. Non-Exporting Test Procedures (Continued)
 - i) Discrete Reverse Power Relay Test (Continued)

Step 2: Leading Power Factor Test

Apply rated voltage with a minimum pickup current setting (calculated value for system application) and apply a leading power factor load current in the non-trip direction (current lagging voltage by 135 degrees). Increase the current to relay rated current and verify that the relay does <u>not</u> operate. For relays with adjustable settings, this test should be repeated at the minimum, midpoint, and maximum settings.

Step 3: Minimum Power Factor Test

At nominal voltage and with the minimum pickup (or ranges) determined in Step 1, adjust the current phase angle to 84 or 276 degrees. Increase the current level to pickup (about 10 times higher than at 0 degrees) and verify that the relay operates. Repeat for phase angles of 90, 180 and 270 degrees and verify that the relay does <u>not</u> operate.

Step 4: Negative Sequence Voltage Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated relay voltage and current at 180 degrees from tripping direction, to simulate normal load conditions (for three-phase relays, use I_a at 180, I_b at 60 and I_c at 300 degrees). Remove phase-1 voltage and observe that the relay does not operate. Repeat for phases-2 and 3.

Step 5: Load Current Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated voltage and current at 180 degrees from the tripping direction, to simulate normal load conditions (use I_a at 180, I_b at 300 and I_c at 60 degrees). Observe that the relay does <u>not</u> operate.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> <u>Senior Vice President</u>



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 182 (T)

(Continued)

L. CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued)

- 7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards (Continued)
 - a. Non-Exporting Test Procedures (Continued)
 - i) Discrete Reverse Power Relay Test (Continued)

Step 6: Unbalanced Fault Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated voltage and 2 times rated current, to simulate an unbalanced fault in the non-trip direction (use V_a at 0 degrees, V_b and V_c at 180 degrees, I_a at 180 degrees, I_b at 0 degrees, and I_c at 180 degrees). Observe that the relay, especially single phase, does operate properly.

Step 7: Time Delay Settings Test

Apply Step 1 settings and set time delay to minimum setting. Adjust the current source to the appropriate level to determine operating time, and compare against calculated values. Verify that the timer stops when the relay trips. Repeat at midpoint and maximum delay settings.

Step 8: Dielectric Test

Perform the test described in IEC 414 using 2 kV RMS for 1 minute.

Step 9: Surge Withstand Test

Perform the surge withstand test described in IEEE C37.90.1.1989 or the surge withstand capability test described in L.3.e.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 183 (T)

(Continued)

L. CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued)

- 7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards (Continued)
 - a. Non-Exporting Test Procedures (Continued)
 - ii) Discrete Underpower Relay Test

This version of the Non-Exporting test procedure is intended for discrete underpower relay packages and meets the requirements of Option 2 of Screen I. A trip output will be provided when import power (toward Producer's load) drops below the specified level.

Note: For an underpower relay, pickup is defined as the highest power level at which the relay indicates that the power is <u>less</u> than the set level.

Step 1: Power Flow Test at Minimum, Midpoint and Maximum Pickup Level Settings

Determine the corresponding secondary pickup current for the desired power flow pickup level of 5% of peak load minimum pickup setting. Apply rated voltage and current at 0 (zero) degrees phase angle in the direction of normal load current.

Decrease the current to pickup level. Observe the relay's (LCD or computer display) indication of power values. Note the indicated power level at which the relay trips. The power indication should be within 2% of the expected power. For relays with adjustable settings, repeat the test at the midpoint, and maximum settings. Repeat at phase angles of 90, 180 and 270 degrees and verify that the relay operates (measured watts will be zero or negative).

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 184 (T)

(Continued)

L. CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued)

- 7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards (Continued)
 - a. Non-Exporting Test Procedures (Continued)
 - ii) Discrete Underpower Relay Test (Continued)

Step 2: Leading Power Factor Test

Using the pickup current setting determined in Step 1, apply rated voltage and rated leading power factor load current in the normal load direction (current leading voltage by 45 degrees). Decrease the current to 145% of the pickup level determined in Step 1 and verify that the relay does <u>not</u> operate. For relays with adjustable settings, repeat the test at the minimum, midpoint, and maximum settings.

Step 3: Minimum Power Factor Test

At nominal voltage and with the minimum pickup (or ranges) determined in Step 1, adjust the current phase angle to 84 or 276 degrees. Decrease the current level to pickup (about 10% of the value at 0 degrees) and verify that the relay operates. Repeat for phase angles 90, 180 and 270 degrees and verify that the relay operates for any current less than rated current.

Step 4: Negative Sequence Voltage Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated relay voltage and 25% of rated current in the normal load direction, to simulate light load conditions. Remove phase 1 voltage and observe that the relay does <u>not</u> operate. Repeat for Phases-2 and 3.

Step 5: Unbalanced Fault Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated voltage and two times rated current, to simulate an unbalanced fault in the normal load direction (use V_a at 0 degrees, V_b and V_c at 180 degrees, I_a at 0 degrees, I_b at 180 degrees, and I_c at 0 degrees). Observe that the relay (especially single-phase types) operates properly.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet 185 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued) L. 7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards (Continued) a. Non-Exporting Test Procedures (Continued) ii) Discrete Underpower Relay Test (Continued) Step 6: Time Delay Settings Test Apply Step 1 settings and set time delay to minimum setting. Adjust the current source to the appropriate level to determine operating time, and compare against calculated values. Verify that the timer stops when the relay trips. Repeat at midpoint and maximum delay settings. Step 7: **Dielectric Test** Perform the test described in IEC 414 using 2 kV RMS for 1 minute. Step 8: Surge Withstand Test Perform the surge withstand test described in IEEE C37.90.1.1989 or the surge withstand test described in Section L.3.e. Tests for Inverters and Controllers with Integrated Functions iii) Inverters and controllers designed to provide reverse or underpower functions shall be tested to certify the intended operation of this function. Two methods are acceptable: Method 1: If the inverter or controller utilizes external current/voltage measurement to determine the reverse or underpower condition, then the inverter or controller shall be functionally tested by application of appropriate secondary currents and potentials as described in the Discrete Reverse Power Relay Test, Section L.7.a.i of this Rule. (Continued) (To be inserted by utility) Issued by (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) 3647-E Advice

Decision 185C17

16-06-052

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President

Aug 18, 2017 Date Filed Apr 26, 2018 Effective Resolution E-4898



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 186 (T)

(Continued)

L. CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA (Continued)

- 7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards (Continued)
 - a. Non-Exporting Test Procedures (Continued)
 - iii) Tests for Inverters and Controllers with Integrated Functions (Continued)

Method 2: If external secondary current or voltage signals are not used, then unit-specific tests must be conducted to verify that power cannot be exported across the PCC for a period exceeding two seconds. These may be factory tests, if the measurement and control points are integral to the unit, or they may be performed in the field.

Inverters and controllers designed to provide reverse or underpower functions shall be tested to certify the intended operation of this function. Two methods are acceptable:

Method 1: If the inverter or controller utilizes external current/voltage measurement to determine the reverse or underpower condition, then the inverter or controller shall be functionally tested by application of appropriate secondary currents and potentials as described in the Discrete Reverse Power Relay Test, Section L.7.a.i of this Rule.

Method 2: If external secondary current or voltage signals are not used, then unit-specific tests must be conducted to verify that power cannot be exported across the PCC for a period exceeding two seconds. These may be factory tests, if the measurement and control points are integral to the unit, or they may be performed in the field.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 187 (T)

(Continued)

L. <u>CERTIFICATION AND TESTING CRITERIA</u> (Continued)

- 7. Type Testing Procedures Not Defined in Other Standards (Continued)
 - b. In-rush Current Test Procedures

This test will determine the maximum In-rush Current drawn by the Generator.

(i) Locked-Rotor Method

Use the test procedure defined in NEMA MG-1 (manufacturer's data is acceptable if available).

(ii) Start-up Method

Install and setup the Generating Facility equipment as specified by the manufacturer. Using a calibrated oscilloscope or data acquisition equipment with appropriate speed and accuracy, measure the current draw at the Point of Interconnection as the Generating Facility starts up and parallels with Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. Startup shall follow the normal, manufacturer-specified procedure. Sufficient time and current resolution and accuracy shall be used to capture the maximum current draw within 5%. In-rush Current is defined as the maximum current draw from Distribution Provider during the startup process, using a 10-cycle moving average. During the test, Distribution Provider source, real or simulated, must be capable of maintaining voltage within +/- 5% of rated at the connection to the unit under test. Repeat this test five times. Report the highest 10-cycle current A graphical representation of the time-current as the In-rush Current. characteristic along with the certified In-rush Current must be included in the test report and made available to Distribution Provider.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 188 (T)

(Continued)

M. INADVERTENT EXPORT

Under certain operating conditions, an Applicant may choose to completely offset their facility load by installing generation systems which are optimally sized to meet their peak demand with load following functionality on the Generator controls to ensure conditional export of electrical power from the Generating Facility to Distribution Provider's Distribution or Transmission System. In situations where the loading changes rapidly and/or the Generator cannot ramp down quickly enough, the Generating Facility may need to export small amounts of power for limited duration. The event of exporting uncompensated power for a short time is referred to as Inadvertent Export.

It is proposed that the following criteria be the minimum requirements for Inadvertent Export systems. It should be understood that other factors relevant to the interconnection study process (15% screen results, short circuit current ratio, etc.) may necessitate additional technical requirements (e.g. reclose block, transfer trip, ground bank, etc.) that are not explicitly noted here. Also, it should be noted that Inadvertent Export may not be available for interconnections to Networked Secondary Systems.

- 1) If a Generating Facility is proposed with Inadvertent Export, additional Protective Functions and equipment to detect Distribution or Transmission System faults (per Distribution Providers standard practices) may be required over and above the basic Protective Functions and equipment associated with the four options in the Export Screen. Protective Functions may include, but are not limited to, directional overcurrent/voltage-restraint overcurrent Protective Functions for line-to-line fault detection and overcurrent/overvoltage Protective Functions for line-to-ground detection. The addition of a ground bank or ground detector may also be necessary.
- 2) The effect on equipment ratings can be mitigated by limiting the amount of inadvertent export allowed. To a large degree, Voltage Regulation may be similarly handled. The amount of Inadvertent Export is dependent on specific Distribution Provider requirements and should be limited to the lesser of the following values:
 - a. 50% of the Generating Facility Capacity, or
 - b. 10% of the continuous conductor rating in watts at 0.9 power factor for the lowest rated feeder conductor upstream of the GF (i.e. 200kW @ 12kV), or
 - c. 110% of the largest load block in the facility, or

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 189 (T)

(Continued)

- M. <u>INADVERTENT EXPORT</u> (Continued)
 - 2) (Continued)
 - d. 500kW or some other maximum level indicated by Distribution Provider

To govern this quantity, a reverse power Protective Function will be provided to trip the connected Generator(s) within two seconds if the proposed amount of Inadvertent Export is exceeded.

3) Similarly, to ensure limited impact to the Distribution or Transmission System, the expected frequency of Inadvertent Export occurrences should be less than two occurrences per 24-hour period. Additionally, a separate reverse power or underpower Protective Function will be required (in addition to the reverse power Protective Function described in 2) above) to trip the connected Generator(s) if the duration of reverse power or underpower (i.e. ANY export) exceeds 60 seconds.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Rule 21 Sheet 190 (T) **GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS** (Continued) Mm. INADVERTENT EXPORT UTILIZING UL-1741 OR UL-1741 SA-LISTED GRID SUPPORT (NON-ISLANDING) INVERTERS The following are the minimum requirements for Inadvertent Export systems that meet the criteria specified below. Other factors relevant to the interconnection study process (e.g., 15% screen results, short circuit current ratio, etc.) may necessitate additional technical requirements (e.g., reclose block, transfer trip, ground break, etc.) that are not explicitly noted This option may not be available for interconnections to Networked Secondary here. Systems. The certified control functions internal to the inverter control or external control systems may be used to replace the discrete reverse/under power relay functions described in Section M provided the requirements outlined below are met. 1. All of the following requirements must be met by the Generating Facility to be eligible for Inadvertent Export under this Section. a. The Generating Facility must utilize only UL-1741 certified or UL-1741 SA-listed grid support non-islanding inverters; and, The Generating Facility must have an aggregate maximum nameplate b. capacity of 500 kVA or less; and, The Generating Facility must limit export to not exceed its nameplate rating c. (kVA-gross) multiplied by 0.1 hours per day (e.g., for a 100 kVA-gross nameplate Generating Facility, the maximum energy allowed to be exported for one day is 10 kWh and the maximum energy allowed to be exported for a 30-day period is 300 kWh); and, Export from the Generating Facility across the PCC to the Distribution System d. is less than 100 kVA. 2. To govern the level of Inadvertent Export allowable under this Section, the Generating Facility must utilize a NRTL-certified control system or NRTL-certified inverter system that meets all of the following requirements. Must result in the Generating Facility disconnecting from the Distribution a. System, ceasing to energize the Distribution System or halting energy production within two (2) seconds after either: i. The period of continuous export exceeds 30 seconds; or, ii. The level of export exceeds 100 kVA. (Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



| | Rule 21 Sheet 191 (T) GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | (Continued) <u>(ERTENT EXPORT UTILIZING UL-1741 OR UL-1741 SA-LISTED GRID SUPPORT</u> ISLANDING) INVERTERS (Continued) | | | |
| 2. | (Continued) | | | |
| | b. Must limit the total energy export within the allowable energy export outlined above in 1.c in a 30-day period and issue a notification (e.g., electronic notification, alarm, etc.) if that energy export limit is exceeded. | | | |
| | c. Failure of the control or inverter system for more than thirty (30) seconds, resulting from loss of control signal, loss of control power or a single component failure or related control sensing of the control circuitry, must result in the Generating Facility entering Non-Export operation whereby no energy is exported across the PCC to the Distribution System. | | | |
| | Interim approval of the control or inverter system may be permitted upon mutual agreement of SCE and the Producer. | | | |
| Applicability of Engineering Review Screens. | | | | |
| Inadvertent Export systems that meet the requirements described herein are processed under Initial Review Screens A through J as described in Section H. If these systems fail Screen J, they then bypass Screens K and L and are processed under Screens M and M1 as described below. | | | | |
| Screen M: Is the aggregate Generating Facility capacity on the Line Section less than 15% of Line Section peak load for all line sections bound by automatic sectionalizing devices? | | | | |
| If Yes (pass), Initial Review is complete. If No (fail), continue to Screen M1. | | | | |
| | Screen M1: Is the aggregate of all distributed energy resources (DER) causing reverse power flow (1) at a line section with a voltage regulator device(s) or (2) at a protection device, including the circuit breaker / field recloser?*** | | | |
| | If No (pass), existing DER does not cause reverse power flow at (1) or (2) and Initial Review is complete. If Yes (fail), existing DER causes reverse power flow at (1) or (2); fail Initial Review and Supplemental Review is required. | | | |
| | *For the purposes of applying Screen M1 herein, Distribution Provider shall utilize a zero coincidence factor when considering the impact of other Inadvertent Export systems that meet the requirements of Section Mm (i.e., projects that qualify for Option 6 under Section G.1.i) such that those Inadvertent Export systems do not impact Screen M1's aggregate analysis determination for the individual Inadvertent Export project being evaluated. | | | |
| **The prese reliable gric | nce of existing non-certified DER on the line section may require additional review to ensure safe and operation. | | | |
| | (Continued) | | | |
| (To be inser Advice 3 | ed by utility) Issued by (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) 647-E <u>Caroline Choi</u> Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 | | | |

Caroline Choi Senior Vice President Date Filed Aug 18, 2017 Apr 26, 2018 Effective Resolution E-4898



Rule 21

Sheet 192 (T)

GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

(Continued)

N. <u>EXPEDITED INTERCONNECTION PROCESS FOR NON-EXPORT ENERGY STORAGE</u> <u>GENERATING FACILITIES</u>

Upon implementation by Distribution Provider,ⁱ Applicants with Interconnection Requests for Non-Export Energy Storage Generating Facilities who meet the requirements outlined below are eligible for expedited interconnection, as provided herein, in accordance with the Fast Track Process technical review requirements of Section F.2.

1. Eligibility Requirements.

Applicants seeking to interconnect a Generating Facility under the provisions of this Section N must meet the following eligibility requirements.

- a. Applicant must submit a completed Interconnection Request in which the expedited interconnection option is selected, including completing all application fields and submitting all supporting documentation necessary to facilitate the expedited review as required by Distribution Provider. Such documentation may include, but is not limited to, single line diagrams with specific details, manufacturer data sheets for proposed equipment, description of control systems, validation of the right to do business in the state, etc. Distribution Provider shall clearly communicate these requirements as part of the application process.
- b. Applicant's Generating Facility must meet the requirements outlined in Section N.2 below.
- c. Applicant's Interconnection Request must be eligible for and select the Fast Track Process.
- d. Applicant's Interconnection Request must pass Fast Track Initial Review and not require any Interconnection Facilities, Distribution Upgrades or Network Upgrades to remain eligible under this Section. As such, Interconnection Requests that select the Cost Envelope Option are not eligible.
- 2. Generating Facility Eligibility Criteria.

An Applicant's Generating Facility must meet and adhere to the following criteria.

- a. The Generating Facility must be comprised solely of the following specific categories of generation technology: Non-Exporting inverter-based energy storage.
- b. The Generating Facility must have an aggregate maximum inverter nameplate rating of no greater than 500 kW. There is no limitation on an energy storage device's kWh capacity rating.

¹ Implementation is targeted for no later than the end of Q2 2017. In accordance with Advice 3493-E/E-A/E-B, the provisions provided for herein are being implemented under a pilot-like approach with a July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 reporting period. As such, the provisions may be continued, modified and/or withdrawn as determined by the Commission.

| (To be ins | erted by utility) | Issued by | (To be inser | ted by Cal. PUC) |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Advice | 3647-E | Caroline Choi | Date Filed | Aug 18, 2017 |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | Senior Vice President | Effective | Apr 26, 2018 |
| 192C17 | | | Resolution | E-4898 |

(Continued)



| | | | Rule 21 Sheet 193 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | (T) |
|------|------------------|-----------------|--|-----|
| | | | (Continued) | |
| N. | | | DITED INTERCONNECTION PROCESS FOR NON-EXPORT ENERGY STORAGE RATING FACILITIES (Continued) | |
| | 2. | Ge | enerating Facility Criteria. (Continued) | |
| | | C. | The Generating Facility must be located behind an existing single retail meter and Point of Common Coupling with a single, clearly marked and accessible disconnect. ⁱ No other Generators, other than isolated back-up Generators, may be at the same Point of Interconnection or Point of Common Coupling. | |
| | | d. | The Generating Facility must utilize Option 3 or Option 4 to meet the non-export protection requirements of Screen I in Section G.1.i. | |
| | | e. | The Generating Facility must have a single or coordinated control system for all charging functions if utilizing multiple inverters. The control system must also ensure that there is no increase in the Interconnection Customer's existing peak load demand. | |
| | | f. | The Generating Facility must be inverter-based and utilize only UL 1741 and UL 1741 SA-listed equipment. Additionally, all installed equipment must meet Distribution Provider's current electric service requirements with no violations or variances. | |
| | 3. | Ex | pedited Processing Provisions. | |
| | | a. | Applicants with Interconnection Requests that meet the requirements specified in Sections N.1 and N.2 above shall be issued a draft Generator Interconnection Agreement within 15 Business Days of Distribution Provider providing written notice that the Interconnection Request is deemed complete and valid, pursuant to the requirements of Sections E.5.a and E.5.b, and of Distribution Provider's receipt of all applicable fees. ⁱⁱ In accordance with Section D.16, if Distribution Provider is unable to meet this timeline, Distribution Provider shall notify Applicant. | |
| | | b. | For otherwise eligible Interconnection Requests that require additional information from Applicant as identified by Distribution Provider during the Fast Track Initial Review, Distribution Provider shall request such additional information from Applicant. When such a request is made by Distribution Provider, additional time shall be added to the 15 Business Days applicable under Section N.3.a above on a Business Day- for-Business Day basis (but not less than 1 Business Day) from the date Distribution Provider issues the request to the date the Applicant provides the necessary information. | |
| ii . | discor To fac | nect ilitate | ating Facilities interconnecting at 120/240V service, Distribution Provider may utilize the meter to satisfy the requirement if the Interconnection Customer's panel is equipped with a main breaker. This process, Distribution Provider may provide Applicant with a preliminary Generator Interconnection that uses information from Applicant's Interconnection Request. | |

Agreement that uses information from Applicant's Interconnection Request. Applicant shall communicate any requested changes to the Generator Interconnection Agreement to Distribution Provider prior to the issuance of the draft Generator Interconnection Agreement.

(Continued)

| (To be inserted by utility) | | Issued by | (To be inserted by Cal. PUC) | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Advice | 3647-E | <u>Caroline Choi</u> | Date Filed | Aug 18, 2017 | |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | Senior Vice President | Effective | Apr 26, 2018 | |
| 193C17 | | | Resolution | E-4898 | |



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 194 (T)

(Continued)

N. <u>EXPEDITED INTERCONNECTION PROCESS FOR NON-EXPORT ENERGY STORAGE</u> <u>GENERATING FACILITIES</u> (Continued)

- 3. Expedited Processing Provisions. (Continued)
 - c Any Interconnection Request that fails to meet the requirements specified in Section N is no longer eligible for processing under the provisions of this Section N. Such Interconnection Requests shall continue to be processed in accordance with the applicable provisions and timelines of Rule 21, unless the Applicant chooses to withdraw the Interconnection Request.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



| Number Pre-Application Request For Generation request 14-922 Rule 21 Pre-Application Report Request Rule 21 For Generation request to request to local distribution 14-926 Rule 21 Detailed Study Agreement Rule 21 Independent Distribution 14-926 Rule 21 Detailed Study Agreement Rule 21 Independent Distribution 14-926 Rule 21 Detailed Study Agreement Rule 21 Used with Stribution 16-344 NEM-1 and NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for >1 MW Generating Facilities NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-974 NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for >1 MW Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-973 Biogas Digester Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-923 <10 kW Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-97 14-755 Fuel Cell Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-97 14-773 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-97 Form 14-97 | heet 195 (1 |
|---|---|
| Form Number Title Associated Tariffs Use O Pre-Application Request 14-922 Rule 21 Pre-Application Report Request Rule 21 For Generation request 14-926 Rule 21 Pre-Application Report Request Rule 21 Independent to request be local distribution 14-926 Rule 21 Detailed Study Agreement Rule 21 Independent Distribution 14-926 Rule 21 Detailed Study Agreement Rule 21 Used with Form 16-344 NEM, RES-BCT and Non-Export Interconnection Forms Independent Distribution Process Sture 16-344 NEM-1 and NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for \$1 MW Generating Facilities NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-974 NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for \$1 MW Generating Facilities NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-750 Biogas Digester Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-923 \$10 kW Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-755 Fuel Cell Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-5 1 | |
| NumberTariffsUsed ofPre-Application Request14-922Rule 21 Pre-Application Report RequestRule 21For Genera to request b local distr14-922Rule 21 Pre-Application Report RequestRule 21For Genera to request b local distr14-926Rule 21 Detailed Study AgreementRule 21Independe Distributior Process Study14-926Rule 21 Detailed Study AgreementRule 21Independe | |
| 14-922 Rule 21 Pre-Application Report Request Rule 21 For Generation requests to request | Guidance |
| 14-922 Rule 21 Pre-Application Report Request Rule 21 to request to local distribution local distribution 14-926 Rule 21 Detailed Study Agreement Rule 21 Independer Distribution Process Study 14-926 Rule 21 Detailed Study Agreement Rule 21 Independer Distribution Process Study 16-344 NEM, RES-BCT and Non-Export Interconnection Forms Image: Study Agreement for Study Agreement Study Agreement for Study Agreement Study Agr | |
| 14-926 Rule 21 Detailed Study Agreement Rule 21 Independe Distribution Process Stu- Process Stu- NEM, RES-BCT and Non-Export Interconnection Forms 16-344 NEM-1 and NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for ≤ 1 MW Generating Facilities NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-974 NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for > 1 MW Generating Facilities NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-974 NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for > 1 MW Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-973 Biogas Digester Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-923 NEM-1 and NEM-2 Solar and Wind ≤10 kW Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-755 Fuel Cell Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-773 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-1 and NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for ≤1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-5 14-972 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for >1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-5 14-972 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-2 Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing – Virtual Net M | ator Developer asic info about bution circuit |
| 14-926Rule 21 Detailed Study AgreementRule 21Distribution Process StuNEM, RES-BCT and Non-Export Interconnection Forms16-344NEM-1 and NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for ≤ 1 MW Generating FacilitiesNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-974NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for > 1 MW Generating FacilitiesNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-974NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for > 1 MW Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-923Biogas Digester Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-955Fuel Cell Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-773Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement for ≤1 MW Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form 14-5514-972Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-1 and NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for ≤1 MW Generating Facilities)NEM, Rule 21Used with Form 14-5514-972Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for >1 MW Generating Facilities)NEM, Rule 21Used with Form 14-5514-973Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing – Virtual Net (NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for >1 MW Generating Facilities)NEM, Rule 21Used with Form 14-5514-974Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing – Virtual Net Metering Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form | |
| 16-344NEM-1 and NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for ≤ 1 MW Generating FacilitiesNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-974NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for > 1 MW Generating FacilitiesNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-974Biogas Digester Electrical Generating FacilitiesNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-750Biogas Digester Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with | ent Study and Group Study udy Agreement |
| 10-344≤ 1 MW Generating FacilitiesNEM, Rule 21Form14-974NEM-2 Interconnection Agreement for >1 MW Generating FacilitiesNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-974Biogas Digester Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-750Biogas Digester Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-923NEM-1 and NEM-2 Solar and Wind | |
| 14-974>1 MW Generating FacilitiesNEM, Rule 21Form14-974Biogas Digester Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-923NEM-1 and NEM-2 Solar and Wind ≤10 kW Generating Facility Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-975Fuel Cell Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-755Fuel Cell Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-773Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement for ≤1 MW Generating Facilities)NEM, Rule 21Used with Form 14-914-972Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for >1 MW Generating Facilities)NEM, Rule 21Used with Form 14-914-973Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing – Virtual Net Metering Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form 14-914-653Metering Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form 14-9 | Application 14-957 |
| 14-750Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Form14-923NEM-1 and NEM-2 Solar and Wind ≤10 kW Generating Faclity Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with Form14-755Fuel Cell Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection AgreementNEM, Rule 21Used with | Application 14-957 |
| 14-923 ≤10 kW Generating Faclity Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Form 14-755 Fuel Cell Electrical Generating Facility Net Energy Metering and Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-773 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-773 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-1 and NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for ≤1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 14-972 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for >1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 14-653 Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing – Virtual Net Metering Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 | Application 14-957 |
| 14-755 Metering and Interconnection Agreement INEM, Rule 21 Form 14-755 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Form 14-773 (NEM-1 and NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for ≤1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 14-972 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for >1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 14-972 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for >1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 14-653 Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing – Virtual Net Metering Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 | Application 14-957 |
| 14-773 (NEM-1 and NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for ≤1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Osed with Form 14-9 14-972 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for >1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 14-972 Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing – Virtual Net Metering Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 | n Application 14-957 |
| 14-972 Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (NEM-2 Multiple Tariff for >1 MW Generating Facilities) NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing – Virtual Net Metering Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with Form 14-9 | n Application 157 or 14-732 |
| 14-653 Metering Interconnection Agreement NEM, Rule 21 Used with | Application 57 or 14-732 |
| | Application 14-957 |
| Generating Facilities (NEM-2) | n Application 14-957 |
| | n Application 14-957 |

ⁱ The forms can be accessed at the following SCE website: https://www.sce.com/wps/portal/home/regulatory/tariff-books/filed-forms (Continued)

| (To be ins | erted by utility) | Issued by |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Advice | 3647-E | Caroline Choi |
| Decision | 16-06-052 | Senior Vice President |

195C17



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 196 (T)

(Continued)

Appendix A (Continued)

Forms Associated with Rule 21 Generating Facility Interconnections

| NEM, RES-BCT and Non-Export Interconnection Forms (Continued) | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|--|
| 14-973 | Virtual Net Energy Metering For Multi-Tenant and Multi- Meter Properties Interconnection Agreement for >1 MW Generating Facilities (NEM-2) | NEM, Rule 21 | Used with Application Form 14-957 |
| 14-788 | Local Government Renewable Energy Self-Generation Bill Credit Transfer (RES-BCT) Interconnection Agreement | RES-BCT, Rule 21 | Used with Application Form 14-732 |
| 14-731 | Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (Non-Exporting) | Rule 21 | Used with Application Form 14-732 |
| 14-957 | Net Energy Metering (NEM) Generating Facility Interconnection Application | NEM, Rule 21 | Used with Interconnection Agreements 16-344, 14-923, 14-750, 14-755, 14-773, 14-653, 14-909, 14-971, 14-972, 14-973, 14-974 |
| 14-732 | Generating Facility Interconnection Application | Rule 21 | Application typically used for Non-NEM Generation Interconnection Agreements 14-731, 14- 931, 14-742, 14-743, 14- 744, 14-745, 14-972 |
| 14-742 | Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (3 rd Party Non-Exporting) | Rule 21 | Used with Forms 14-732 & 14-744 |
| 14-743 | Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (3rd Party Inadvertent-Exporting) | Rule 21 | Used with Forms 14-732 & 14-744 |
| 14-744 | Customer Generation Agreement | Rule 21 | Used with Forms, 14-732 & 14-743 or 14-742 |
| 14-745 | Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement (Inadvertent-Export) | Rule 21 | Used with Form 14-732 |
| 14-931 | Export Addendum to Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement for Non-Export Generating Facilities (Form 14- 731 or Form 14-742) Exporting One MW or Less | Rule 21 | Used with form 14-731 or 14-742 |

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President



Г

Southern California Edison Rosemead, California (U 338-E)

| Rule 21 Sheet 197 (T) GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | (Continued) Appendix A (Continued) Forms Associated with Rule 21 Generating Facility Interconnections Other NEM Forms | | | | |
| 14-903 | NEM / NEMV / NEMVMASH Inspection Report (NEM-1 Only) | NEM, Rule 21 | Customer with an existing generating facility who enters into a new NEM contract, unless the facility was installed or inspected within the last 3 year. | | |
| 14-935 | Renewable Energy Credits Compensation (NEM-1 and NEM-2) | NEM | Information required to receive Renewable Energy Credits | | |
| 14-654 | MASH Allocation Request Form (NEM-1 and NEM-2) | NEM | Designate accounts to receive allocated kWh | | |
| 14-910 | NEM-V Allocation Request Form (NEM-1 and NEM-2) | NEM | Designate accounts to receive allocated kWh | | |
| 14-912 | Eligible Customer-Generator Warranty (NEM-1 and NEM-2) | NEM | Warrant that Generating Facility meets NEM eligibility requirements | | |
| 14-936 | NEM One-Time Relevant Period Change Request (NEM-1 and NEM-2) | NEM | Change the start date of the Customer's NEM Relevant Period | | |
| 14-937 | NEM-A Account Information Form (NEM-1 and NEM-2) | NEM | Designate accounts to receive allocated kWh | | |
| 16-345 | NEM Monthly Billing Option Request Form (NEM-1 and NEM-2) | NEM | Request monthly billing option | | |
| | Export for Sale Interconnection | Forms | · · · · · · | | |
| 14-918 | Rule 21 Exporting Generator Interconnection Request | Rule 21 | To be used with the GIA For Exporting GFs Interconnecting Under The Detailed Study Process | | |
| 14-919 | Rule 21 Generator Interconnection Agreement for Exporting Generating Facilities Interconnecting Under the Fast Track Process | Rule 21 | Used with Form 14-918 | | |
| 14-925 | Rule 21 Generator Interconnection Agreement (GIA) For Exporting Generating Facilities Interconnecting Under The Detailed Study Process | Rule 21 | Used with Form 14-918 Interconnection Agreement to be used for Interconnecting Under The Independent Study, Distribution Group Study, or Transmission Cluster Study Process | | |
| (Continued) | | | | | |

(To be inserted by utility) Advice 3647-E Decision 16-06-052 Issued by Caroline Choi Senior Vice President



Rule 21 GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTIONS

Sheet 198 (T)

(Continued) APPENDIX B

Unit Cost Guide

Distribution Provider shall publish a Unit Cost Guide for facilities generally required to interconnect generation in Distribution Provider's Distribution System. The Unit Cost Guide shall not be binding for actual facility costs and is provided only for additional cost transparency, developer reference, and Distribution Provider's reference when preparing the study estimate. The Unit Cost Guide shall not replace the estimated cost provided by Distribution Provider in an Interconnection Study or an initial or supplemental review under the Fast Track Process.

The Unit Cost Guide shall include the anticipated cost of procuring and installing Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades generally utilized by Applicant. An annual adjustment shall be performed within the Unit Cost Guide for five (5) years to account for the anticipated timing of procurement to accommodate a potential range of Commercial Operation Dates.

The Unit Cost Guide shall be updated annually in accordance with the process set forth in D.16-06-052.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility) Advice <u>3647-E</u> Decision <u>16-06-052</u>

Issued by <u>Caroline Choi</u> Senior Vice President