2020 Transmission Maintenance and Compliance Review Stakeholder Meeting

Re: 2020 Transmission Maintenance and Compliance Review Report Date: June 26, 2020



Roll Call

<u>Roundtable</u>: Before we begin, please state your name and identify the organization you represent.



Introduction and Overview

Fernando E. Cornejo Senior Advisor, Regulatory Affairs



Stakeholder Meeting Comment Process

- After each project sponsor completes their presentation(s), there will be a "Question and Answer" segment to allow time for stakeholders to ask questions.
- If you have a question, then please type your (i) Name and (ii) Affiliated Organization in the comment window of the Skype Meeting.
- SCE will keep track of each stakeholder in the order of appearance in the comment window. This will inform the order of questions.
- Once the "Question and Answer" segment begins, you will be called on by the moderator to verbally ask your question directly to the project sponsor.
- Please refrain from speaking until your name is called so that SCE can ensure everyone has a chance to speak and no one is talking over another person.

2020 TMCR Stakeholder Meeting Agenda

| Торіс | Presenter | Time |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Introduction/Overview | Fernando Cornejo & Jeff Nelson | 10:00 - 10:30 |
| Compliance – Transmission Line Rating & Remediation (TLRR) | Corey Semrow | 10:30 - 10:55 |
| Substation Infrastructure Replacement & Compliance – Disturbance Monitoring | Jeff Shiles | 10:55 – 11:20 |
| Transmission Capital Maintenance & Wildfire Management | Randall Daffern | 11:20 - 11:45 |
| LUNCH | | 11:45 – 12:30 |
| Work Performed by Operating Agent | Tracee Reeves | 12:30 – 12:40 |
| Operations Support – Substation Capital Maintenance (ISO Facilities) | Don Neal | 12:40 – 1:00 |
| Operations Support – Seismic Activity | Jenny Pearce | 1:00 – 1:20 |
| Physical/Cyber Security | Alex Benoliel | 1:20 – 1:35 |
| Next Steps/Wrap-up | Fernando Cornejo | 1:35 – 1:50 |



Safety Moment



Meeting Disclaimer

SCE has made a good faith effort to identify the appropriate subject matter experts to speak on each topic and to provide accurate information throughout this presentation, but reserves the right to correct or supplement the information provided if it becomes aware of needed modifications or additions.



TMCR Background

- August 31, 2018, FERC accepted SCE's proposal for a new process (i.e. TMCR) which, subject to certain exceptions, will cover proposed SCE facilities and projects that will have their costs recovered through transmission rates (FERC Docket: ER18-370-00)
 - September 28, 2018, SCE submitted its compliance filing with FERC
- Tariff requires SCE to post draft TMCR Report by no later than May 15 and to subsequently host a stakeholder meeting
- Stakeholders will have an opportunity to provide comments on the draft TMCR Report
- SCE will consider stakeholders' comments in the development of the final TMCR Report
- After posting of the final TMCR Report, stakeholders may submit comments on considerations for the following year's TMCR



Stakeholder Process Timeline

| DUE DATE | ACTIVITY |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| May 15, 2020 | SCE circulated TMCR stakeholder |
| | meeting notice |
| May 15, 2020 | SCE posted 2020 draft TMCR report |
| Today: June 26, 2020 | SCE conducts stakeholder meeting |
| | and posts comments template |
| July 27, 2020 | Stakeholders comments due on draft |
| | TMCR report |
| August 10, 2020 | SCE posts stakeholder comments on |
| | draft TMCR report |
| September 29, 2020 | SCE posts final TMCR report |
| October 13, 2020 | Stakeholders comments due on final |
| | TMCR report |
| October 27, 2020 | SCE posts stakeholder comments on |
| | final TMCR report |



Overview of TMCR Process

- Annual process open to all stakeholders
- Reviews SCE transmission projects not assessed in CAISO's TPP, and other exemptions, whose costs are recovered in CAISO's TAC
- <u>In-Scope</u>: Compliance (NERC, WECC, and CPUC driven); Infrastructure Replacement; Operational Support, and Work Performed by Operating Agent
- <u>Out-of-Scope</u>: CAISO TPP or generator interconnection projects; projects initiated and online within 2 years; projects related to security; and primarily distribution projects with ancillary transmission elements
- Covers years "3-5" of a five year window (2022 2024 for this 2020 TMCR process); Years "1-2" (2020-2021) covered by FERC formula rate case process



Overview of SCE's Transmission and Distribution System



11

2022-2024 TMCR Forecast

| AS OF 05/2020 | 2022 FORECAST | 2023 FORECAST | 2024 FORECAST | TQTAL |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| TOTAL TMCR REPORT FORECAST | \$250,970 | \$351,117 | \$386,618 | \$1,065,271 |
| COMPLIANCE | \$115,343 | \$216,831 | \$269,885 | \$602,060 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT AND CAPITAL MAINTENANCE | \$90,624 | \$86,808 | \$70,398 | \$247,831 |
| WILDFIRE MANAGEMENT | \$1,961 | \$2,255 | \$1,889 | \$6,104 |
| WORK PERF. BY OP. AGENT | \$2,321 | \$1,232 | \$1,267 | \$4,820 |
| OPERATIONS SUPPORT | \$14,322 | \$14,440 | \$22,561 | \$51,324 |
| PHYSICAL SECURITY | \$26,400 | \$29,550 | \$20,617 | \$76,566 |



Compliance: Transmission Line Rating Remediation (TLRR)

Corey Semrow Senior Project Manager, Transmission & Distribution



TLRR

Description:

• The purpose of the TLRR Program is to mitigate potential electrical clearance issues on the SCE system in support of NERC reliability, as set up in its standards, and in compliance with CPUC's General Order 95.

<u>Criteria</u>:

- SCE conducted a Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) study of all ISO-controlled lines and radial 115kV lines to identify lines potentially in violation of GO 95.
- Over 11,000 discrepancies were found that require remediation.



TLRR

<u>Methodology</u>:

| Evaluate entire circuit | Assess agency impacts to schedule | Assess associated project impacts | Evaluate outage availability | Determine overall resource constraints |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Develop a holistic solution to remediate discrepancies on an entire circuit in comparison to looking at each discrepancy in a vacuum | Develop baseline schedules to understand agency risk and duration to identify potential construction windows | Evaluate TLRR scope against other TLRR projects, MPO project, or other programmatic initiatives for cross- over scope or construction conflicts | Work with Grid Control Center to understand outage restriction for transmission lines such as generators, conflicting planned outages, and loading | Balance the volume of work happening at once to ensure we have the proper resources in place to perform the scope safely and effectively |

TLRR – Forecast

| PIN | Project Title | OD | WBS | 2022 Forecast | 2023 Forecast | 2024 Forecast | Total |
|------|---|------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Eagle Mountain-Blythe | 2023 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$8,661 | \$2,512 | \$0 | \$11,172 |
| | Big Creek No.1-Rector | 2022 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$1,725 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,725 |
| | Pardee-Pastoria (North Coast) | 2022 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$167 | \$0 | \$0 | \$167 |
| | Big Creek 3-Rector No.2 | 2022 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$5,887 | \$12 | \$0 | \$5 <i>,</i> 898 |
| | Big Creek 2-Big Creek 3 | 2025 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$12 | \$12 | \$218 | \$241 |
| | Big Creek 3-Big Creek 8 | 2024 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$12 | \$172 | \$9,695 | \$9 <i>,</i> 878 |
| | Big Creek 2-Big Creek 8 | 2025 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$12 | \$12 | \$799 | \$822 |
| | Bailey-Pardee | 2024 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$17,561 | \$9,900 | \$3,300 | \$30,761 |
| | Pardee-Pastoria-Warne (North Coast) | 2022 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$1,233 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,233 |
| | Serrano-Valley (San Jacinto) | 2023 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$200 | \$3,456 | \$0 | \$3 <i>,</i> 656 |
| | Big Creek 3-Rector No.1 | 2024 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$29,746 | \$22,713 | \$7,327 | \$59 <i>,</i> 785 |
| | La Fresa-Laguna Bell | 2022 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$200 |
| | Lugo-Victor No.1 | 2023 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$0 | \$274 | \$0 | \$274 |
| | Padua-Rancho Vista No.1 | 2022 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-792801 | \$207 | \$0 | \$0 | \$207 |
| 7298 | Transmission Line Rating Remediation (Exempt from Licensing) | | | \$65,620 | \$39,061 | \$21,338 | \$126,019 |
| 7867 | TLRR Eldorado-Lugo-Pisgah 220kV Transmission Project | 2026 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-7867* | \$10,754 | \$25,017 | \$86,668 | \$122,439 |
| 7904 | TLRR Inyokern-Ivanpah (Ivanpah-Coolwater-Kramer-Inyokern 115kV) | 2026 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-7904* | \$25,100 | \$118,470 | \$118,590 | \$262,160 |
| 7906 | TLRR Control-Silver Peak | 2026 | CET-PD-OT-PJ-7906* | \$11,853 | \$33,833 | \$43,074 | \$88,760 |
| | Transmission Line Rating Remediation (Licensing) | | | \$47,707 | \$177 <u>,</u> 320 | \$248,333 | \$473,359 |
| | Total Transmission Line Rating Remediation (TLRR) | | | \$113,327 | \$216,381 | \$269,671 | \$599 <i>,</i> 378 |

(Forecast in thousands)



Question & Answer



Substation Infrastructure Replacement

Jeff Shiles Principal Manager, Transmission & Distribution



Substation Infrastructure Replacement (Sub IR)

Description:

- Sub IR program reduces the impact of aging infrastructure on the reliability and safety of SCE's grid by proactively replacing substation equipment and structures before they cause an unplanned outage
 - Proactive replacements (rather than running to failure) decrease the likelihood and impact of extended outages due to in-service failures
- Seven Sub IR programs in scope for TMCR
 - Bulk Power Circuit Breaker Replacement; Substation Transformer Bank Replacement; Critical Spare Transformer Equipment Program (STEP); Bulk Power Relay Replacement; Non-Bulk Relay Replacement; Substation Miscellaneous Equipment Replacement; Substation Switchrack Rebuild

<u>Criteria</u>:

- Aged assets that are nearing end of life
- Assets that have become obsolete in the industry
- System criticality
- Assets with poor maintenance history / excessive maintenance costs



Substation Infrastructure Replacement (Sub IR)

<u>Methodology</u>:

- 1. Combining analysis of historical failure rates (Weibull curves) with current age of SCE fleet assets yields forecast annual replacement volume
 - 2. Additional **asset-specific data** further refines selection of specific units to replace
 - **Health Indexing** a score as a function of age, loading, fault history, maintenance history, mechanisms, oil quality, severity of in-service failure consequences
 - **Maintenance Decision Tool** (MDT) an algorithm-derived prioritization which yields a five-year replacement plan
 - **Technical expert review** and **construction-schedule optimization** includes evaluation of factors difficult to quantify, and optimization of construction schedule
 - 3. **Scoping Job Walks** on each project confirm full scope in advance of engineering design; evaluate bundling opportunities
 - 4. **Annual Integrated Work Planning meetings** ensure coordination with other T&D programs and initiatives to avoid unnecessary work
 - 5. **Bottom-Up Operational Planning** is based on project-specific scope, using historical unit costs and/or preliminary engineering design information where available



Applicable to circuit breakers & transformers only

Substation Infrastructure Replacement (Sub IR) - Forecast

| 2022 FORECAST | 2023 FORECAST | 2024 FORECAST | TOTAL |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| \$49,122 | \$52,491 | \$36,081 | \$137,694 |

| 4211 | Replace Bulk Power Circuit Breakers -Vincent Replace Bulk Power Circuit Breakers - Devers Replace Bulk Power Circuit Breakers - Mira Loma Bulk Power Circuit Breakers/Switches Replacement Program | 4,168,115 809,569 1,912,327 6,890,011 | 3,279,435 - 819,569 4,099,004 | - - - | 7,447,550 809,569 2,731,896 10,989,015 |
|----------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 5210 | Substation Transformer Bank Replacement (AA-Bank & A-Bank) - Vincent Substation Transformer Bank Replacement Program (AA-Bank & A-Bank) | 4,674,577 4,674,577 | 5,410,201 5,410,201 | - | 10,084,778 10,0<u>8</u>4,778 |
| 3362 5089 4343 | Critical Spare Equipment Program Bulk Power 500kV & 220kV Line Relay Replacement Non-Bulk Relay Replacement Program ("SRRP") | 8,869,003 8,319,042 1,158,168 | 16,417,624 8,000,000 1,192,262 | 9,343,855 8,000,000 1,000,000 | 34,630,481 24,319,042 3,350,430 |
| 4756 7716 | Substation Miscellaneous Equipment Additions & Betterment Substation Batteries and Chargers Substation Miscellaneous Equipment Replacement | 13,361,618 349,408 13,711,026 | 11,886,864 974,916 12,861,780 | 12,500,000 - 12,500,000 | 37,748,482 1,324,324 39,072,806 |
| 7713 | Substation Switchrack Rebuild | 5,500,000 | 4,510,000 | 5,237,343 | 15,247,343 |



Compliance: Disturbance Monitoring

Jeff Shiles Principal Manager, Transmission & Distribution



Compliance: Disturbance Monitoring

Description:

- NERC requires each Transmission Owner to install Disturbance Monitoring Equipment (DME) and report on disturbance data to facilitate analysis of events and verify system models.
- SCE installs Digital Fault Recorders (DFR) and Phasor Measurement Unit (PMU) devices for post event analysis, situational awareness, and for use with mis-operation investigations.

<u>Criteria</u>:

- Obsolescence of hardware
- SCE's PRC-002-2 sites are upgraded in time to meet the NERC compliance deadline.
- Requests from SCE's Grid Control Center (GCC) for upgrades to ensure GCC personnel have the necessary situational awareness

<u>Methodology</u>:

- Replacement of an obsolete PMU is accomplished through a combination of infrastructure replacement work and bundled capital projects.
- SCE takes advantage of substation construction projects to upgrade PMUs when possible, as efficiencies can be realized by coupling the PMU installation with other capital work.



Compliance: Disturbance Monitoring - Forecast

| 2022 FORECAST | 2023 FORECAST | 2024 FORECAST | TOTAL |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| \$2,017 | \$451 | \$214 | \$2,682 |

| | Control 115/55 kV Substation | 2024 | CET-ET-GA-EM-644600 | 0 | 451 | 214 | 665 |
|------|---|------|---------------------|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| | Johanna 220/66 kV Substation | 2022 | CET-ET-GA-EM-644600 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| | Walnut 220/66 kV Substation | 2022 | CET-ET-GA-EM-644600 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 396 |
| | Hinson 220/66 kV Substation | 2022 | CET-ET-GA-EM-644600 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 396 |
| | Chevmain 220/66 kV Substation | 2021 | CET-ET-GA-EM-644600 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| | Pastoria 220/66 kV Substation | 2022 | CET-ET-GA-EM-644610 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 445 |
| 6446 | Phasor Measurement System Installations | | | 2,017 | 451 | 214 | 2,682 |



Question & Answer



Transmission Capital Maintenance

Randall Daffern Principal Manager, Transmission



Transmission Capital Maintenance

<u>Description</u>: Transmission Capital Maintenance includes the costs to remove, replace, and retire assets on a planned or reactive basis in three main areas (1) Tower Corrosion, (2) Transmission Grid-Based Capital Maintenance and (3) IR Overhead Conductor.

(1) Tower Corrosion:

Criteria & Methodology

- 30 years is the average age at which the first signs of tower corrosion, from minor to severe, generally are revealed.
- Sample Assessment and testing will take place on 2235 SCE towers to identify further remediation needs and prioritization of work.

(2) Transmission Grid-Based Capital Maintenance:

Criteria & Methodology

- Per CPUC requirements for inspection and maintenance programs, SCE inspects right of ways, conductors, encroachment, structures and hardware components for "break/fix" items.
- In 2021, SCE is starting aerial inspections, which will also result in capital replacements based on notifications.
- Based on these inspections, capital replacements are identified.



Forecast

(3) IR Overhead Conductor

Criteria & Methodology

- Overhead conductor review and poor performing circuits are used to identify interruptions and prioritize overhead conductors to be replaced.
- In order to determine prioritization of replacement, SCE completes overhead conductor assessments of the wire and related components, analyzes performance data, and completes a risk analysis on the structures and location where there is a risk of ignition. An additional visual inspection of the condition of the conductor is also performed through infrared and corona scans.

| 3364 | Transmission Grid-Based Maintenance | 13,011,561 | 13,309,593 | 13,309,593 | 39,630,747 |
|------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Chevmain-El Nido 220 kV lines Reconductoring | 2,500,000 | - | - | 2,500,000 |
| | Chevmain-El Segundo 220 kV lines Reconductoring | 2,500,000 | - | - | 2,500,000 |
| | El Nido-El Segundo 220 kV lines Reconductoring | 2,500,000 | - | - | 2,500,000 |
| 3364 | Transmission IR Overhead Conductor | 7,500,000 | - | - | 7,500,000 |
| 3364 | Transmission Tower Corrosion Program | 20,990,509 | 21,007,700 | 21,007,700 | 63,005,909 |



Wildfire Management

Randall Daffern Principal Manager, Transmission



Wildfire Management: High Fire Risk Informed Inspection

Description:

- CPUC GO 95 Rule 18 has designated adjusted compliance timeframes for issues identified in HFRA.
- In 2020, SCE is incorporating lessons learned and best practices from Enhanced Overhead Inspection into a broader redesign of our inspection practices, which will be performed as part of the emergent High Fire Risk Informed Inspection Program (HFRI).

<u>Criteria</u>:

• All overhead transmission structures and equipment in HFRA with a focus on potential ignition risk conditions.

Methodology:

- The HFRI program uses advanced wildfire risk modeling to estimate the amount of risk expected at particular locations that require remediation.
- This risk modeling evaluates the probability of failure and likelihood of ignition, fire propagation potential, and the associated impacts.

| 2022 FORECAST | 2023 FORECAST | 2024 FORECAST | TOTAL |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| \$1,961 | \$2,255 | \$1,889 | \$6,104 |



Question & Answer



Work Performed by Operating Agent

Tracee Reeves Principal Manager, Transmission & Distribution



Work Performed by Operating Agent

Description:

 Work activities are coordinated by Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) (Operator of the Pacific Direct Current Intertie (PDCI).

<u>Criteria:</u>

 Replacement of approximately 80,000 old porcelain insulators, which are not compliant with current industry standards, with new glass insulators

<u>Methodology</u>: Prioritization and planning of work belongs to LADWP.

| 2022 FORECAST | 2023 FORECAST | 2024 FORECAST | TOTAL |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| \$2,321 | \$1,232 | \$1,267 | \$4,820 |



Question & Answer



Operation Support: Substation Capital Maintenance of ISO Facilities

Don Neal Director, Corporate Real Estate



Operation Support: Substation Capital Maintenance of ISO Facilities

<u>Description</u>:

- Preserve the value of SCE's buildings, equipment, and grounds, by making them as safe, reliable, and productive as reasonably possible.
- Corrective facility work orders are entered to respond to emergent issues.

<u>Criteria</u>

- Repair or replace building systems and components that are damaged, degraded, non-operational, noncompliant, or have reached their end of useful life
- This program addresses issues related to:
 - 1. Electrical/Fire systems
 - 2. Fencing and Walls,
 - 3. Flooring
 - 4. HVAC
 - 5. Paving
 - 6. Roof Repairs
 - 7. Lighting
 - 8. Restroom Remodels
 - 9. Specialty Equipment
 - 10. Other Repairs



Operation Support: Substation Capital Maintenance of ISO Facilities

Methodology:

SCE evaluates four factors:

- 1. the condition of a facility (Facility Condition Index);
- 2. the need for a facility to deliver utility services to SCE customers (Asset Priority Index);
- 3. the functionality and utility of a facility for business use(s) (Fitness for Purpose); and
- 4. the requirement to account for federal and state laws, regulations impacting facility use, maintenance, design, construction practices, and building codes (Compliance).

| 2022 FORECAST | 2023 FORECAST | 2024 FORECAST | TOTAL |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| \$5,902 | \$6,020 | \$6,161 | \$18,084 |

Question & Answer



Operation Support: Seismic Activity

Jennifer Pearce Principal Manager, Business Resiliency



Operation Support – Seismic Activity

Background and Scope:

The Seismic Program, consolidated under Business Resiliency, is part of a larger, mostly CPUC funded effort beyond just the FERC dollar request. The broader seismic program centralizes and coordinates across organization units to assess and perform mitigations as identified to increase safety, infrastructure reliability and maintain regulatory requirements. Program scope includes electric infrastructure (transmission lines and substations), non-electric facilities, generation facilities, and telecom infrastructure.

Methodology:

- SCE conducts hazard and vulnerability assessments on its infrastructure in order to:
 - 1. Understand the seismic exposure and impacts of seismic events
 - 2. Assess the functionality and stability of the infrastructure if a seismic event occurred
 - 3. Identify applicable design standards and codes.
- Assessments utilize a combination of site surveys, seismic modeling, and geographic information systems
- Prioritization
 - Projects with the highest safety, reliability, and compliance impact will be executed first. This includes populated buildings and transmission, distribution, generation, and telecom infrastructure critical to maintaining business continuity and operational reliability.
 - Projects may be escalated in order to bundle work for efficiency purposes and to minimize outages.
 - Projects related to high-hazards dams with pending FERC reviews will be prioritized accordingly.

Operation Support: Seismic Activity

Description:

The primary objectives of the Seismic Assessment and Mitigation Program are to:

- 1. Assess SCE's electric infrastructure (transmission lines and substations), non-electric facilities, generation, and telecom infrastructure and identify what seismic mitigations are needed
- 2. Mitigate risks by making the necessary retrofits and improvements in order to increase reliability and reduce the risk of harm to workers, customers and communities due to a moderate or major earthquake.

<u>Criteria:</u>

- SCE addresses the seismic mitigation activities pertaining to SCE's transmission system assets, which include both transmission line infrastructure and substation assets.
- Examples of mitigations for these assets include bracing and anchoring electrical equipment in substations, improving conductor slack, structural work to reinforce building wall to roof connections, and replacing aged equipment with modern equipment designed to withstand greater levels of seismic activity.

| Description | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2022 | # of Units | 2023 | # of Units | 2024 | # of Units | Total Units |
| Transmission Line Assets (Towers) | \$ 3,300,000.00 | 4 | \$ 3,300,000.00 | 4 | \$ 10,000,000.00 | 10 | 18 |
| Trans Subs (MEERS) | \$ 5,120,000.00 | 5 | \$ 5,120,000.00 | 5 | \$ 6,400,000.00 | 6 | 16 |
| | \$ 8,420,000.00 | 9 | \$ 8,420,000.00 | 9 | \$ 16,400,000.00 | 16 | 34 |
| SOUTHERN CALIF | | | | | | | |



Question & Answer



Physical/Cyber Security

Alex Benoliel Director, Corporate Security



Physical/Cyber Security

Description:

- SCE's Grid Infrastructure Protection Tier Program is dedicated to the physical protection of SCE employees, the general public, and SCE assets at electric facilities.
- This program protects SCE's grid infrastructure assets against physical attacks, theft, vandalism, security breaches, and more.

<u>Criteria</u>:

- For compliance projects, such as NERC CIP 014, SCE adheres to the applicable regulations and laws.
- For non-compliance security programs and projects, apply risk-based security mitigations to protect facilities based on criticality that have inadequate or beyond-service life security controls, or where new security controls are required to mitigate emerging risks
- Examples of security controls include cameras, walls, access control systems, gates, smart keys, lighting, and alarms



Physical/Cyber Security

Methodology:

- For all critical infrastructure projects, SCE conducts security assessments in order to:
 - Assess threats and vulnerabilities
 - Evaluate the impacts of a security risk
 - Identify security control risk mitigations
- Prioritization
 - Projects with the highest safety, reliability, and compliance impact will be executed first. This includes grid infrastructure critical to maintaining reliability and customer service
 - Compliance-related projects are prioritized based on the compliance dates of the requirements.
 - Projects may be escalated based on changes in the security environment, and to synchronize with other T&D work for efficiency and cost-reduction purposes

<u>Forecast</u>

The expected cost is approximately \$76.566 million for 2022-2024.

Question & Answer



Next Steps

July 27 Stakeholder comments are due on draft TMCR Report

August 10 SCE posts stakeholder comments*

September 29 SCE posts final TMCR Report

October 13 Stakeholder comments are due on Final TMCR Report

*Submit comments to FERCCaseadmin@sce.com

