

*Southern California Edison*  
*2025-WMPs – 2025-WMPs*

**DATA REQUEST SET Cal Advocates - SCE - 2025 WMP - 06**

**To: Cal Advocates**  
**Prepared by: John Rankin**  
**Job Title: Senior Manager**  
**Received Date: 4/15/2024**

**Response Date: 4/18/2024**

---

**Question 06:**

With reference to SCE 2025 WMP Update at 21, SCE Table 2-11: 2025 Target Changes:

- a) Does SCE have any analyses, workpapers, or other documentation that justifies the lowering of SCE's system hardening targets?
- b) If the answer to (a) is "yes," provide copies of all such documentation.
- c) If the answer to (a) is "no," explain why not.

**Response to Question 06:**

*a) Does SCE have any analyses, workpapers, or other documentation that justifies the lowering of SCE's system hardening targets?*

As a threshold matter, SCE respectfully objects to the negative connotations suggested by the language “justifies the lowering of SCE’s system hardening targets” as stated in the question. SCE notes that OEIS required 2025 targets in the 2023-2025 WMP, which was submitted in February 2023, nearly three full years before 2025 targets would need to be achieved in December 2025.

Given this extended time horizon, the 2025 target values were less certain than the values for 2023 and 2024, and SCE could not set 2025 targets with critical information such as results from 2023 and 2024, operational bandwidth, financial constraints, any lessons learned, and other relevant information. SCE set its 2025 targets based on information available at that time in late 2022 and early 2023.

In its development of the 2025 WMP Update, SCE approached the question of 2025 program targets in a similar fashion as its target development process in prior years. SCE considered historical progress for the programs, upcoming operational plans and bandwidth, risk analyses, financial resources, and other factors that could be relevant.

*b) If the answer to (a) is "yes," provide copies of all such documentation.*

Please see response to part a).

*c) If the answer to (a) is "no," explain why not.*

Please see response to part a).